

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

## **MEMORANDUM**

- TO: The Commission Staff Director Acting General Counsel
- FROM: Office of the Commission Secretary
- DATE: February 16, 2024
- SUBJECT: Statement for Advisory Opinion 2024-02 (Citizens for Waters) – Vice Chair Ellen L. Weintraub and Commissioner Shana M. Broussard

15

The attached Statement for Advisory Opinion 2024-02 is circulated for information.

Attachment



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

### STATEMENT OF VICE CHAIR ELLEN L. WEINTRAUB AND COMMISSIONER SHANA M. BROUSSARD REGARDING ADVISORY OPINION 2024-02 (WATERS)

On February 15, 2024, the Commission approved Advisory Opinion 2024-02 (Waters). In that request, Congresswoman Maxine Waters and her principal campaign committee, Citizens for Waters, stated that they intended to "design, publish and mail brochures featuring her endorsements of federal and non-federal candidates"<sup>1</sup> and asked, in part, whether Citizens for Waters could receive reimbursements from non-federal candidates and committees, including state candidate committees and state independent expenditure-only committees, for each non-federal candidate's share of the costs to design, publish, and mail the brochure. Although the request stated that Citizens for Waters would require these non-federal candidates and committees to attest that their payments were from funds that do not exceed the Act's contribution limits or source prohibitions,<sup>2</sup> the requestors stated that they did not intend to ask the non-federal committees to attest that the payments were from funds that complied with the Act's reporting requirements.<sup>3</sup>

The draft approved by our colleagues states that "the Act does not require non-federal candidates and committees to separately report their reimbursement of a federal candidate for their pro-rata share of" a communication that features endorsements of federal candidates. Mysteriously, the advisory opinion does not acknowledge the existence of the Act's provisions stating that "a candidate for State or local office may not spend any funds" for a public communication that promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified candidate for federal office, "regardless of whether a candidate for State or local office is also mentioned or identified" in the communication.<sup>4</sup> The approved draft further appears to assert that funds that are not subject to the reporting requirements of the Act."<sup>5</sup> Such circular logic essentially renders the Act's mandate that a federal candidate and her principal campaign committee "*shall not* solicit [or] receive funds in connection with an election for Federal office... *unless the funds are subject* to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Advisory Opinion Request ("AOR") at AOR001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AOR002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Advisory Opinion Request Supplement (February 7, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(f)(1); see also 52 U.S.C. § 30101(20)(A)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Advisory Opinion 2024-02 (Waters) at 4.

Advisory Opinion 2024-02 (Waters) Statement of Vice-Chair Ellen L. Weintraub and Commissioner Shana M. Broussard

limitations, prohibitions, *and reporting requirements* of the Act" meaningless and directly contradicts how the Commission has applied that provision in past enforcement matters.<sup>6</sup>

We supported Draft B, attached. For the reasons set out in Draft B and because our colleagues' draft fails to engage with the statutory language passed by Congress in BCRA, we voted against the draft adopted today.

February 15, 2024 Date

Ellen L. Weintrand

Ellen L. Weintraub Vice-Chair

February 15, 2024 Date

Sharah. Proumand

Shana M. Broussard Commissioner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A). *See also* Factual & Legal Analysis at 5-6, MUR 7337 (Lesko) (Aug. 13, 2019) (stating "the nature of the funds and the funds being subject to the Act's reporting requirements are separate requirements, and the failure to comply with any one aspect is sufficient to comprise a violation."); Factual & Legal Analysis at 14-15, MUR 5646 (Cohen for New Hampshire) (Mar. 3, 2005); Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 5426 (Dale Schultz for Congress, et al.) (Feb. 17, 2005); Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 6253 (Trey Gowdy for Congress, et al.) (Oct. 1, 2010); Factual & Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 6219 (Kuhl for Congress, et al.) (Oct. 21, 2009).

1	ADVISORY OPINION 2024-02			
2 3 4 5 6 7	Leilani Beaver, Esq.DRAFT BBeaver Legal CorporationDRAFT B220 S. Pine St, Suite 109Sisters, OR 97759			
, 8 9	Dear Ms. Beaver:			
9 10	We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Congresswoma	an		
11	Maxine Waters and Citizens for Waters (collectively, "Requestors"), concerning the			
12	application of the Federal Election Campaign Act, 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101-45 (the "Act"),			
13	and Commission regulations to Requestors' proposal to solicit and receive reimburseme	ent		
14	from non-federal sources of Requestors' costs to design, print, and mail certain			
15	brochures. The Commission concludes that the Act and Commission regulations would	l		
16	prohibit Requestors from soliciting or receiving reimbursement of funds from the non-			
17	federal sources as proposed in the request because although the Requestors represent that			
18	the funds will comply with the Act's source prohibitions and amount limitations, the			
19	Requestors do not represent that the funds will comply with the Act's reporting			
20	requirements.			
21	Background			
22	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on			
23	January 25, 2024, and your emails received on February 1, 2024 and February 7, 2024.			
24	Congresswoman Maxine Waters represents California's 43rd District in the			
25	United States House of Representatives. Citizens for Waters is her principal campaign			
26	committee.			
27	Requestors intend to design, publish, and mail brochures featuring			
28	Congresswoman Waters's endorsements of federal and non-federal candidates, together	•		

1	with her positions of support for or opposition to ballot measures to be voted on the			
2	March 5, 2024, California primary ballot. Citizens for Waters will pay for the costs of			
3	designing, publishing, and mailing the brochures. The brochures will use the same			
4	design and layout as those described in Advisory Opinion 2004-37 (Waters). The space			
5	devoted to each candidate and ballot measure and its prominence on the brochure will be			
6	determined by the candidate's or ballot measure's prominence on the primary election			
7	ballot. As in Advisory Opinion 2004-37 (Waters), Requestors anticipate distributing at			
8	least 500 pieces of each version of their proposed brochure by U.S. Mail.			
9	Requestors state that they will use only federally permissible funds to pay for the			
9 10	Requestors state that they will use only federally permissible funds to pay for the design, publishing, and mailing of the brochures. <sup>1</sup> Requestors propose to solicit and			
10	design, publishing, and mailing of the brochures. <sup>1</sup> Requestors propose to solicit and			
10 11	design, publishing, and mailing of the brochures. <sup>1</sup> Requestors propose to solicit and receive reimbursement from several types of non-federal sources: (1) the featured non-			
10 11 12	design, publishing, and mailing of the brochures. <sup>1</sup> Requestors propose to solicit and receive reimbursement from several types of non-federal sources: (1) the featured non-federal candidates' personal funds, (2) the non-federal candidates' committees; (3) state			
10 11 12 13	design, publishing, and mailing of the brochures. <sup>1</sup> Requestors propose to solicit and receive reimbursement from several types of non-federal sources: (1) the featured non-federal candidates' personal funds, (2) the non-federal candidates' committees; (3) state independent expenditure-only political committees supporting or opposing the non-			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Requestors state that the term "federally permissible funds" as used in their request means "funds that do not exceed the applicable contribution limitations of the Act and from sources that would not be prohibited under the Act from contributing directly to Congresswoman Waters." Advisory Opinion Request ("AOR") at AOR002 n. 3. The Commission understands that with respect to the funds used by Citizens for Waters to pay for the brochures, these funds will also have been reported subject to the Act's reporting requirements.

1 non-federal candidate and ballot measure, as determined by the candidate's or ballot measure's pro rata share of the costs to design, publish, and mail the brochure.<sup>2</sup> 2 3 Citizens for Waters will invoice the non-federal sources for their pro rata share of 4 the brochures' costs. Each invoice will state that Requestors are soliciting and will 5 accept only "federally permissible funds." The non-federal sources that reimburse 6 Citizens for Waters will be required to submit signed invoices attesting that their 7 payments are from "funds that do not exceed the applicable contribution limits of the Act 8 and from sources that would not be prohibited under the Act from contributing directly to Congresswoman Waters."<sup>3</sup> Citizens for Waters further states that it will not ask the non-9 federal sources about reporting under the Act.<sup>4</sup> Citizens for Waters will not accept any 10 11 reimbursements that exceed the non-federal candidate's or committee's pro rata share of 12 the costs. Congresswoman Waters will not establish, finance, maintain, or control any of 13 the non-federal sources reimbursing Citizens for Waters. 14 **Question Presented** 15 May Citizens for Waters receive reimbursements from individuals, non-federal

16 candidate committees, state independent expenditure-only political committees, and

- 17 ballot measure committees to appear in the brochure using funds that do not exceed the
- 18 applicable contribution limitations of the Act and from sources that would not be
- 19 prohibited under the Act from contributing directly to Congresswoman Waters?

Pro rata shares will be determined according to the "space or time" method described in 11 C.F.R.
§ 106.1(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AOR002 n.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Advisory Opinion Request Supplement (February 7, 2024) ("AOR Supp.") at 1.

#### 1 Legal Analysis

2 Citizens for Waters may not solicit and receive reimbursements from non-federal 3 candidates, non-federal candidate committees, state independent expenditure-only 4 political committees, and ballot measure committees to appear in the proposed brochures 5 because, although the Requestors represent that the funds will comply with the Act's 6 source prohibitions and amount limitations, the Requestors do not represent that the funds 7 will comply with the Act's reporting requirements. 8 Under the Act, federal candidates and their committees may not "solicit, receive, 9 direct, transfer, or spend funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity, unless the funds are subject to the 10 [amount] limitations, [source] prohibitions, and reporting requirements of [the] Act."<sup>5</sup> 11 12 The term "federal election activity" includes "a public communication that refers to a 13 clearly identified candidate for Federal office (regardless of whether a candidate for State 14 or local office is also mentioned or identified) and that promotes or supports a candidate 15 for that office, or attacks or opposes a candidate for that office (regardless of whether the communication expressly advocates a vote for or against a candidate)."<sup>6</sup> The term 16 17 "public communication" includes a "communication by means of any . . . mass mailing, ... or any other form of general public political advertising."<sup>7</sup> A "mass mailing" is "a 18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30101(20)(A)(iii); see also 11 C.F.R. § 100.24 (b)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.26.

1 mailing by United States mail or facsimile of more than 500 pieces of mail matter of an identical or substantially similar nature within any 30-day period."8 2 3 Here, Requestors state that they anticipate distributing at least 500 pieces of each version of their proposed brochure by U.S. Mail. Such a distribution would be a "mass 4 mailing" and, therefore, a public communication.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, the brochures for which 5 6 Requestors propose to obtain reimbursement would refer to and contain Congresswoman 7 Waters's endorsements of certain clearly identified candidates, including federal candidates.<sup>10</sup> As such, the brochures would be public communications that refer to and 8 9 promote or support clearly identified federal candidates. Accordingly, the brochures would be "federal election activity" under the Act and Commission regulations.<sup>11</sup> 10 11 As noted above, the only funds that federal candidates and officeholders and their 12 committees may spend and receive for federal election activity are those "subject to" the Act's contribution limitations, source prohibitions, and reporting requirements.<sup>12</sup> The 13

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* § 100.27.

<sup>9</sup> See Advisory Opinion 2004-37 (Waters) at 6.

<sup>10</sup> AOR001-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Compare* Advisory Opinion 2003-25 (Weinzapfel). There, the Commission considered a public communication that referred to both a federal candidate and a non-federal candidate, but only endorsed the non-federal candidate. The Commission concluded that the communication was not federal election activity because it did not promote, support, attack, or oppose the federal candidate. In contrast, here the proposed brochures would both refer to and endorse one or more federal candidates in addition to the non-federal candidates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See 11 C.F.R. § 300.61 ("No person described in 11 CFR 300.60 shall solicit, receive, direct, transfer, spend, or disburse funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity as defined in 11 CFR 100.24, unless the amounts consist of Federal funds that are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act."); see also 11 C.F.R. § 300.2(g) (defining "Federal funds").

1	Act thus requires that any funds, before they are tendered to Citizens for Waters, "must	
2	not have been received by the [non-federal sources] in amounts in excess of those	
3	permitted with respect to contributions to Federal candidates" or from sources prohibited	
4	by the Act, and they must have been federally reported. <sup>13</sup>	
5	Moreover, a federal candidate's solicitation or receipt of funds in connection with	
6	an election for Federal office that fail to comply with any one of the Act's amount	
7	limitations, source prohibitions, or reporting requirements, on the other, "is sufficient to	
8	comprise a violation." <sup>14</sup> The Commission has repeatedly found reason to believe the Act	
9	was violated where the funds in question were subject to the Act's amount limitations and	
10	source prohibitions but "[n]evertheless, none of the funds were subject to the Act's	
11	reporting provisions as required by Section [30125](e)(1)(A)[.]" <sup>15</sup>	
12	Here, Requestors state that Citizens for Waters will invoice the non-federal	
13	sources featured in the brochures for their pro rata shares of the costs to design, publish,	
14	and mail the brochures, and that these invoices will state that Congresswoman Waters	
15	and Citizens for Waters will accept only "federally permissible funds." In addition,	
16	Requestors propose to require the non-federal sources reimbursing Citizens for Waters to	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Advisory Opinion 2007-26 (Schock) at 4. In that request, the provision at issue was 52 U.S.C. \$ 30125(e)(1)(B). Nonetheless, the Commission's application of the language in that provision informs its application of the nearly identical language in \$ 30125(e)(1)(A) in this instance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Factual & Legal Analysis at 5-6, MUR 7337 (Lesko) (Aug. 13, 2019) (stating "the nature of the funds and the funds being subject to the Act's reporting requirements are separate requirements, and the failure to comply with any one aspect is sufficient to comprise a violation.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Factual & Legal Analysis at 14-15, MUR 5646 (Cohen for New Hampshire) (Mar. 3, 2005). *See also* Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 5426 (Dale Schultz for Congress, et al.) (Feb. 17, 2005); Factual & Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 6253 (Trey Gowdy for Congress, et al.) (Oct. 1, 2010); Factual & Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 6219 (Kuhl for Congress, et al.) (Oct. 21, 2009).

1 submit signed invoices attesting that their payments are made with "federally permissible 2 funds." Requestors explain that the term "federally permissible funds" as used in their 3 request means "funds that do not exceed the applicable contribution limitations of the Act 4 and from sources that would not be prohibited under the Act from contributing directly to Congresswoman Waters."<sup>16</sup> Based on these representations, the Commission assumes for 5 6 purposes of this advisory opinion that the non-federal sources' reimbursement funds will 7 comply with the Act's source prohibitions and amount limitations. 8 The only remaining question, then, is whether any funds solicited and accepted by 9 Citizens for Waters from the non-federal sources for reimbursement, as proposed, must 10 comply with the Act's reporting requirements. The Commission concludes that they 11 must. As explained above, satisfying the Act's reporting requirements under 52 U.S.C. 12 § 30125(e)(1)(A) is a separate requirement that must be met when the federal candidate 13 solicits or receives funds in connection with an election for Federal office, even if the 14 funds are otherwise from permissible sources and within the federal contribution limits. 15 Requestors propose soliciting and accepting funds from several types of non-federal 16 sources. The first two, non-federal candidates and their committees, are separately subject to reporting under the Act if they pay for communications, such as those 17 18 described in the request, that promote, support, attack, or oppose a federal candidate, even if the communication also mentions a state or local candidate.<sup>17</sup> 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> AOR002 n.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(f)(1) (prohibiting a candidate for state or local office, or an agent of such a candidate or individual, form spending "any funds for a communication described in [52 U.S.C. § 30101(20)(A)(iii)] unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements

1	The Act does not impose any reporting requirements on the other types of non-
2	federal sources from which the Requestors plan to solicit reimbursement, state
3	independent expenditure-only political committees supporting or opposing the non-
4	federal candidates and ballot measure committees supporting or opposing the featured
5	ballot measures. Nevertheless, the Requestors propose to use the solicited funds to pay
6	for federal election activity, and thus, any funds solicited or accepted must comply with
7	the Act's reporting requirements. <sup>18</sup> Funds raised, reported, and maintained by a non-
8	federal entity in a federal account pursuant to the requirements of the Act and
9	Commission regulations, for example, would satisfy this requirement. <sup>19</sup>
10	The funds that Requestors propose to solicit and receive from non-federal sources
11	are intended to reimburse Requestors' costs to produce and distribute their brochures,
12	which will promote, support, attack, or oppose federal candidates. <sup>20</sup> Accordingly, the

of this Act"); 11 C.F.R. § 300.71; *see also* 52 U.S.C. § 30101(20)(A)(iii) (defining "federal election activity" to include "a public communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate for Federal office (*regardless of whether a candidate for State or local office is also mentioned or identified*) and that promotes or supports…or attacks or opposes a candidate for that office.") (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> By contrast, if the brochure did not promote, support, attack, or oppose any federal candidates, then the brochure would not be federal election activity under 52 U.S.C. § 30101(20)(A)(iii). Accordingly, a federal candidate's solicitation or receipt of funds as reimbursement for such brochures would be governed under 52 U.S.C. § 31025(e)(1)(B), which does not include a requirement that the funds be subject to the Act's reporting requirements. *See also* 52 U.S.C. § 30125(f)(2) (providing an exception for communications solely in connection with a state or local election from the requirement that a state or local candidate use funds subject to the amount limitations, source prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act); 11 C.F.R. § 300.72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See, e.g., 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.5, 300.36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Although the Commission concluded in Advisory Opinion 2004-37 (Waters) that reimbursements to Congresswoman Waters's authorized committee for costs relating to similar brochures were not contributions to the committee, the restrictions of section 30125(e) extend beyond contributions to a federal candidate's committee, covering all funds that the candidate solicits, receives, directs, transfers, or spends in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity.

1	Commission concludes that Citizens for Waters may not solicit or receive funds for the
2	brochures described in the request because the Requestors do not represent that the funds
3	solicited and received from non-federal sources will have been reported to the
4	Commission, as required by the Act and Commission regulations.
5	The Commission does not address the potential application of any state or local
6	laws to Requestors' proposed activities because such matters do not fall within the
7	Commission's jurisdiction.
8	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
9	Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
10	request. <sup>21</sup> The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or
11	assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion
12	presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as
13	support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or
14	activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or
15	activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory
16	opinion. <sup>22</sup> Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be
17	affected by subsequent developments in the law including, but not limited to, statutes,
18	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See 52 U.S.C. § 30108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See id. § 30108(c)(1)(B).

1 regulations, advisory opinions, and case law. Any advisory opinions cited herein are

2	available on the Commission's website.	
3		On behalf of the Commission,
4		
5		Sean J. Cooksey,
6		Chairman