MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Office of the Commission Secretary

DATE: July 14, 2022

SUBJECT: AO 2022-14 (Google LLC) 43 Individual Comments

Attached are 43 individual comments on AO 2022-14 (Google LLC).

Attachment
Please do NOT allow/require Google and others to override spam and other user filters to permit political operatives access to email boxes, especially when the receiver has previously identified such mail as undesirable.

Our country is already overwhelmed with erroneous, false, and misleading political sewage emails, and this has caused immense tribalism that has led to violence. Worse, much of the "political content" is of foreign origin often seeking to disrupt our democracy.

The FCC should work on stopping all telephone spam calls, not opening even more venom into our democracy by relaxing spam filtering.

Sincerely,

Rick Krementz
Criehaven, Maine
Please do not allow Google to bypass spam filtering for political emails. The gross exaggeration of political discourse is a severe discouragement to political participation. Forcing political spam into people's mailboxes will make it worse.

Thanks!
Please do not go ahead with the plan to allow political emails to bypass spam filters. As a user of GMail, I already have a lot of political emails from multiple groups, liberal, centrist, conservative and others that make it past spam filters and end up in my inbox. Of all the political spam that ends up in my inbox, not one single email have I ever signed up for. This is the very definition of SPAM. Most do not include the required unsubscribe link and of the ones that do, the groups just change the from address and continue to send their emails as well as selling your email as verified to other groups. Thank you for your time.
There are enough issues with unsolicited emails being sent out that laws have been written and companies exist to combat it. Allowing any company or individual a blanket permission to send unsolicited messages, spam, is ridiculous. If a person is interested in receiving messages related to politics, or any other interest, they are more than capable of requesting to be added to a mailing group.

There is enough spam already, do not add to the problem.
I am against Google allowing political campaign emails to bypass spam filtering directly to the inbox.

The main concern is due to numerous political campaigns for a single candidate. The onus will be placed on the end user to verify if it is a direct reach out from the political campaigner or one of the many supporter campaigns dealing mostly for special interests.

Also of concern is a special interest campaign going to be allowed through as well?

Due to the current political climate, unsolicited campaign emails could potentially skew the intended bias desired by political actors maliciously abusing the proposed change.

The digital world is the ideal platform for exploitation of abuse with the hidden anonymity by obscuring of their digital footprint and forging of legitimate credentials.

The potential for damage will already done on such a large scale, since there is no way Google could properly vet each email prior to being sent.

If Google wants this to happen in order to appear unbiased, they are capable of creating a “Political” folder similar to the spam folder and let the end user decide to view the emails. Also, if each email is properly vetted to ensure it is from the trusted source without potential tampering or hacking from the originator.

Also not clearly stated, does this apply to the paid business face of gmail users or just the free gmail users.

Sincerely,
Keith LeBlanc

Typed on my mobile device, I apologize for grammatical, autocorrect and punctuation errors in advance.
I am in opposition to Google's pilot program to allow political emails to bypass spam filters. This is a bad idea. It is difficult enough to manage the items that do get by spam filters and to have disinformation from untrustworthy political candidates added to that could make email during campaign seasons unusable. The massive volume of paid snail mail that I get at home from candidates would be dwarfed by the huge amounts of free material likely to come by email. I also worry about my parents who are susceptible to bad information coming from disreputable sources. This would definitely make elections more divisive than they already are.

Respectfully,
Mike Wolfe
In regards to Google's program: if the FEC has the power to stop or in any way curtail Google's program, do so. I am adamantly opposed to this ridiculous idea.

Google's summary of the program includes this:
"Google requests the Commission’s opinion on a proposal to launch a pilot program for authorized candidate committees, political party committees, and leadership political action committees that are registered with the Federal Election Commission during the 2022 election cycle (“Eligible Participants”) and that meet objective security criteria, such as implementation of technical authentication standards. Once an Eligible Participant is accepted into the pilot, as long as its emails do not contain content prohibited by Gmail’s terms of service such as phishing, malware, or illegal content and comply with program requirements, those emails will not be affected by forms of spam detection to which they would otherwise be subject. The placement of those emails into users’ inbox folders or spam folders will instead rely on direct feedback from each user. The user may provide this feedback upon receiving the first or a subsequent email from the sender."

Given the nature of what our political "system" has devolved into, it seems likely users will be inundated with emails from many sources, not just a few. While the user's will have the ability to mark such correspondence as spam, there are so many potentially qualifying organizations that this will place an undue burden on the users. Also, it is obvious that Google seeks to profit from this program as it will no doubt charge political organizations for this service.

More to the point are statements made in section 1 under "Proposed Pilot Program":
"This pilot program achieves a number of commercial goals. It will assist Google with its commercial objective of improving the Gmail user experience, which, in turn, enhances the Google brand. The pilot program will test whether users receive more email they want from bulk senders who participate in the program, which we expect would result in a higher deliverability rate for those senders, possibly without increasing user complaints about unwanted email."

If I wanted to get email for these political organizations, I would sign up for them directly. I absolutely do not want to deal with unsolicited political emails.

Besides the user experience, the implications of different organizations having even more direct lines of communication to users is disturbing given the amount of disinformation and outright lies usually disseminated in campaign ads. This is similar to and would likely compound problems and concerns of social media unduly influencing elections.

Again, I ask the FEC to do whatever it can to stop this ridiculous program.
Please do NOT allow/require Google and others to override spam and other user filters to permit political operatives access to email boxes, especially when the receiver has previously identified such mail as undesirable.

Our country is already overwhelmed with erroneous, false, and misleading political sewage emails, and this has caused immense tribalism that has led to violence. Worse, much of the "political content" is of foreign origin often seeking to disrupt our democracy.

The FCC and FEC should work on stopping all telephone spam calls, not opening even more venom into our democracy by relaxing spam filtering.

Sincerely,

Rick Krementz
Criehaven, Maine
This cannot be allowed to proceed. We are already saturated with political advertising TV, print, mail, SMS (where campaigns/PACs completely ignore do not call lists). This move will not lead to high engagement of people in politics; it will only deepen the partisan wedge as their ads are always filled with cherry picked data (at best) and flat out lies (most of the time).

Keep this junk out of our inbox. If individuals want to see these ads in their inbox, they can allow them.

The comments already provided to the FCC should tell you all you need to know. The only ones in favor are 2 people who would directly benefit from this if allowed. Everyone one sees this for the disaster it is.

Thank you,
I just wanted to say that I am totally against this. If I wanted political spam in my inbox I would interact specifically with those organizations and politicians so that their emails make it into my inbox. If you want the populace more divided and less informed, then go ahead and approve this so that Google can make more ad money. This is not a step towards making the populace more informed or engaged with topics and issues concerning them in a meaningful way, just the opposite, it allows different groups to send their fact-twisted, histrionic mail to all of us. What we need is to heal the divides in this country, to approach things in a fact based manner, see multiple sides of issues, have meaningful discussions, reach across the table. Not be inundated with a bunch of stupid political campaign crap designed to inflame us - no matter what side of the table we sit on - so we can open up our pockets for political contributions.
To whom it may concern,

Unsolicited *physical* mail from local political interest groups, politicians, campaigners, action campaigns, and assorted others is already bad enough, and gets worse with each new election season. I DO NOT need nor want that garbage arriving in my email inbox as well.

Ergo, I wish to strongly comment against the granting of permission to Google et al regarding the allowance for political communications to bypass email spam filters. This is in no individual's interest save Google's and what groups stand to unfairly benefit from ever greater political overreach.

This cannot and should not be permitted nor suffered to endure.
Hello,

Recently Google has inquired about allowing all political emails to bypass spam protection filters on [gmail.com](http://gmail.com) and for their email filtering products. I do not believe it is a good decision to allow political emails to bypass spam protection. If political emails can bypass spam protection users will have no ability to filter out unwanted messages which they may not have even personally signed up for. Additionally emails companions are not equal for all candidates and by allowing political emails to bypass spam filtering Google would be providing unequal benefits to candidates while encouraging spam behavior.

Please do not approve this request.

Sincerely,

Marshall Katz
To whom it may concern:

Many of the political newsletters I have received (from multiple parties) on this email address (note the Gmail domain) has not been opt-in. Thankfully, Gmail's current approach to newsletters sufficiently allows for quickly unsubscribing. If I understand correctly, newsletters detected by Gmail will have a button generated to unsubscribe from the address. That is fine in my opinion.

From what I understand of Google's current request, they want to allow political ads and newsletters sent via email (TCP protocol: SMTP, TCP port: 25) to bypass their spam filter(s). Regardless of party, this seems like a horrible idea. I hold this stance for two primary reasons:

1. Google, and it's parent company Alphabet, is a for profit company. This feature sounds like a new feature for the advertising branch of Alphabet more than a feature for the Gmail product. This sounds as though Google/Alphabet will be offering a paid product to "political campaigns" that will allow for more eyes on their messages against the actual users' best interests and desires.

2. Any company/organization is prone to favoring their own biases and interpreting those biases however they see fit. If this current request is approved, it could allow Google to provided disproportionate favor to one political party over another. Effectively, if I may coin the term, it would set the stage for "electoral profiteering".

Especially with the current political divide amongst the two primary political parties, this request sounds like a for profit company is requesting that they profit from the very government they wish to provide services to in order to influence individual voters. There are already means for politicians to make their stances known, and the individuals that wish to research those stances likely already employ those methods and seek out that information. Additionally, Google might be offering to make finding that information easier in this request, but that's effectively inviting user's to off-shore their own thinking and decision making to a privately-held company with their own interests...which may or may not align with the individual user's desire.

While management of an inbox should be largely up to the individual user, many of the features provided by email providers can aid in keeping messages important to the individual user organized in sensible matter. Should this "unsubscribe" button be all the more prominent on all newsletters in Gmail going forward, it could almost be seen as appropriate. However, granting this request seems like a floodgates moment for private companies to take a disproportionately large stake in what should be their users' personal decision(s)...especially decisions that would have an affect on more than just the individual(s) making the decision.

Regards,
David Dean
Google has asked the FEC on guidance regarding "political campaign" email to bypass spam filtering. This is a terrible idea for a number of reasons:

1) Definition: How does one define "political campaign" email? From a practical perspective, this is impossible. Will this only apply to individual campaigns? What about PACs and other special interest groups? How will Google identity "legitimate" email algorithmically?

2) Forgery: Email senders are easily forged and any special exception in spam filters can and will be exploited by spammers.

3) SPAM is SPAM: Any unsolicited bulk email regardless of source is SPAM. It doesn't matter who the sender is, if it is unsolicited, it is SPAM.

4) Slippery Slope: Adding any special exceptions to spam filtering is a slippery slope which will lead to more and more special interest groups demanding exceptions from spam filtering.

In conclusion, any special treatment for certain types of email is impractical, unworkable, and just plain wrong. If exceptions are made, they will be abused. Bulk email has essential zero cost to send and, if there are no barriers to sending and it all is delivered to inboxes, this has the potential to make email unusable for many. I rely on Gmail to communicate with friends, family, and businesses. I dread the day when I open my inbox and find that I have a 1,000 (or 10,000) new messages. Please don't let this happen.

R,
Bob Poortinga
Bloomington, IN
To whom it may concern;

I have just become aware of the proposal by Google to allow a subset of political emails to pass through their Spam filters. As a Gmail user myself, I cannot stress how much this is not my desire.

Political mailers tend to be hyperbolic, truly the definition of Spam, and unhealthy for the population at large. Google correctly points out that the Spam Filter is indispensable to the users of this service. And with my personal history on the service, political emails are a huge part of the Spam that I have filtered out. These emails are not desired. This also raises privacy concerns as to email addresses in general and the resultant additional market for selling of our data. Please refrain from giving Google the go ahead in this case.

Thank you,
A concerned citizen.
Comment to FEC re Google’s Request for an Advisory Opinion (AOR 2022-14)

Dear Ms. Stevenson and Mr. Stipanovic:

I understand that Google requested an advisory opinion pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30108 of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971. I urge you to advise against this request. My reasons are:

1. Existing Spam Situation

Gmail provides a spam folder so users can review and determine if a message was inadvertently marked as spam when it was not. If my spam folder contains a political email I desired, I can mark it as “Not spam.” This approach could be described as “opt-in” rather than Google’s proposed method of opt-out. It works fine. There is no user-based reason to change this approach.

2. Volume of Emails

Google states that “the pilot program will be available to all FEC-registered federal candidates and party committees.” My search of the FEC database on 7/13/2022 found over 100,000 registered candidates and committees and I expect this will only increase with each election cycle. I do not want to opt out of over 100,000 emails.

3. Current Political Situation

Google does not mention that a user’s location would be considered. I understand that I can donate to candidates and committees outside of my voting area therefore I expect to be inundated by candidates and committees. I think aggressive solicitation of funds from users not within a candidate’s constituency would exacerbate the current political hostilities in this country.

Please advise Google against such a program.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Greg Sower
Dear FEC,

Please deny Google’s request to allow political emails to bypass spam filters.

I am a community college instructor and my college uses Gmail. Our students are already overburdened with demands on their time and attention from all directions. Please do not allow Google to pile on one more cognitive load for my students and colleagues.

I also concur with points that previous commenters have already made that allowing this spam filter bypass would:
1. Enable greater access for bad actors
2. Amplify polarization and alter political engagement, further degrading our democracy
3. Damages the integrity of email as a tool

Say NO to this proposal.

--

Dr. Andrea Goering
Pronouns: she, her, hers
I am already inundated with unsolicited texts from politicians that I have been fighting for years to free myself from and cannot. It is incredibly intrusive and feels like a violation of my privacy to have politicians accessing me via special channels deemed too intrusive for everyone else. Let the lawmakers, and all other politicians, follow the rules. This is a terrible idea and should be quashed immediately. Deny google's unnecessary request found at https://www.fec.gov/files/legal/aos/2022-14/202214R_1.pdf.

Thank you, Seth Cherney
In reference to:


LEAVE US THE FUCK ALONE!!!

What the hell is wrong with you people? The entire election process is completely ridiculous & yet here you are even entertaining this idea because you know that traditional media outlets aren't reaching us anymore. Ever think as to why that is? Because we hide from you. During an election season I actively HIDE from you people because you just won't STFU parroting the lies that EVERY SINGLE candidate spews. I'm so sick & tired about both parties essentially spamming everyone with their B.S. & now you want to let it past the filters too?

Go ahead Google. I've been using Gmail since it launched in 2006 but if you do this that might just be the push I need to send you packing.

You all are a bunch of inept douchebags. FTC was a joke under Trump & is under Biden as well. You're supposed to protect us from fuckery like this, not entertain it. I feel ashamed that I defended this country in my youth.

--

Be kind, for everyone you meet is fighting a hard battle.
Please do NOT approve this proposal. We are already inundated with spam. People are able to search online easily to find information about politics, politicians and political parties. For all the reasons others have pointed out, the absolute LAST thing anyone needs in their gmail inbox is more junk email.

Alternatively, if the FEC believes it must approve this, FIRST

1. Create a broad rule that requires political anything (issue groups, individuals, donors, parties) (a) to file copies with the FEC of EVERY “political speech” email sent via Gmail ((b) with an accompanying list of every address to which it was sent), and (c) LIMITING the number of messages that can be sent by such individuals AND/OR related groups/parties etc to ONE Gmail EVERY 30 DAYS (absent the user’s written request to the sender receive more),

AND

2. Require Google to have an easily found, permanent and universal (without requiring repeats) opt-out of “political speech” for every Gmail account for ALL so-called political speech for which its users do not sign up directly with the sender.

Please do NOT make an already bad situation worse.

SueAnn Fitch
Please keep unsolicited political emails as spam.
I didn’t ask for them.
They are unwanted.
Some of the content of (especially GOP) fundraising emails are absolute lies, racism and trash.
Keep them as spam where they belong.
Allowing political email to pass through GMail Spam filters is an absolutely horrific idea. I strenuously oppose opening this door to what would soon devolve into an unimaginable onslaught of abuses.
Greetings:
I do not want Google to be able to allow unsolicited political emails to be delivered to its users. The last thing anyone needs is more spam emails.
Thanks,
Nicholas Borninski
1. Spam is unsolicited email. Political emails are almost always unsolicited. Spam goes to the spam folder.

2. Freedom of Speech, political or otherwise, does not mean forcing me to listen or act. Having to manually deal with an avalanche of unsolicited emails is abusive.

3. The fact that the recipients bear the brunt of the cost/time to deal with these emails should be a red flag.

4. Which political emails are guaranteed? Will our email addresses be catalogued by citizenship and only “applicable” emails passed through? Doubtful. Instead the ability will become another exploit used by hostile actors, inside and outside the United States.

5. The possibilities for continued manipulation and misinformation, stamped for approval because Google delivered it straight to the inbox, far outweighs any possible benefit.

6. Can Google start selling the right for direct to inbox delivery without ramifications? We’re already trying to control Google’s ad business - allowing this bypass will accelerate further requests to do the same.

There is no need to consider political affiliation to find this proposal flawed at its core.

Sincerely,
Brian Schkerke
Dear FEC,

This g-mail user is of the opinion that charges leveled at Google concerning political bias in spam filtering are disingenuous at best: at least 70% of email messaging from the far right is, in fact, spam, and should be treated as such.

Dangerous, misleading, divisive and very often provably false messaging from political groups should NOT be allowed to inundate the inboxes of the more than 1.5 billion g-mail users worldwide. Such a move is likely to have a discernible impact on political processes and structures around the world, and that impact is very likely to be negative.

Just say no.

Sincerely,

Nancy Halls
FEC,

RE: Request 2022-14 (Google LLC)

Please do not allow Google to co-opt user email preferences for campaign emails.

Political campaign emails are notorious for blatant disinformation, prejudicial behavior and harassment of disinterested parties.

I myself am on a major political parties email list despite no interest in their agenda. Repeat requests to be removed have proved fruitless as the email lists are rented from the national party and come from numerous email addresses and organizations. Allowing google to co-opt spam filters would make everyones email nearly unusable every other year during election season.

If Google was allowed to force political emails in inboxes, during election years it would be a non-stop hour by hour harassment campaign by political parties on people who have no interest in their content. Content they previously could of had automatically sent to spam.

Last election season I received a email from a campaign, who I had no previous interaction with, using bigoted language towards minorities. I am married to a Native American and would find the ability of these organizations to bypass my email settings akin to Google promoting hate speech.

The New York Times even reported on December 13, 2021 in a article titled, "Now In Your Inbox: Political Misinformation" that, "They (users) think of it as context, they think of it as information- but they don't necessarily see it as fundraising, even though thats what it is".

We cannot allow our most useful communication tools to be manipulated by political parties with no desire to respect users preferences for the communication and no desire for truthfulness in the message.

Please, please, please do not allow this program to go through. It is a blatant "in-kind" donation to serve up lies, disinformation and harassment.

Thank you,

Luke McManamon
Meridian, ID
Responding to the appended proposal: absolutely not! The only justification for this is Google’s wish to charge political groups for unobstructed delivery. It contributes nothing worthwhile to political discourse, subjecting recipients to little but demands for contributions based on half-truths, distortions, and outright lies.

This will have no benefit to any cause but Google’s bottom line. The great majority of American voters already know which party they will vote against in the coming election. Being subjected to the opposition’s propaganda can produce only irritation bordering on outrage.

Google’s proposal would be a gross intrusion on my privacy. It exactly parallels the FCC’s exemption for political spam calls, which has helped drive me to ignore and block all calls from numbers I do not recognize. The moment FEC approves this proposal, I will leave Gmail for any alternative that does not force me to receive trash I wish to avoid.

I will do so believing that the FEC has been captured by one of the actors it is supposed to police.

Owen Davies

.....

On July 6, the Commission made public an advisory opinion request by Google. The requestor asks whether it may provide a free and non-partisan pilot program to test certain design features in its email product to authorized candidate committees, political party committees, and leadership political action committees. The Commission will accept written comments on the request during the 10-day period following the publication of the request (no later than July 11) and must issue a response no later than 60 days after the receipt of the complete advisory opinion request, that is, by August 30, 2022.
The proposal to allow political emails to bypass spam filters is a horrifically bad idea. Not only does it allow anyone who pretends to be a "politician" to spam me with impunity, it encourages the most abusive and misleading forms of political advertising, which will make political discourse even worse than it already is. The fact that some politicians love using manipulative tactics and spammy language shouldn't mean that they get a special exemption from the spam filters we rely on to keep email usable, or be encouraged to do so.
Thank you for reading this. Due to the already increasing spam of political junk mail, phone calls, text messages, and other invasive ways the FEC allows political candidates to "Market" to consumers, it would be irrational to think that the FEC would allow any candidate to bypass spam filters. Besides the increase in garbage mail US citizens would receive, this would greatly open the door for other such requests from entities not within government, to which the only end would be no spam filters at all, and we all get bombarded with emails we dont want. I strongly oppose to Google's request.

Thank You,
Michigan Resident
Dear Sir or Madam,

I’m writing to register my strong opposition to allowing political communications to be excepted from spam filters.

Thanks,
Matt

Sent from my iPhone
I RECEIVE PHONE CALLS FOR MY DEAD PARENTS FROM THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. MY PARENTS AREN'T COMING BACK TO LIFE!

NOOOOOO MORE GARBAGE EMAILS.

I SHALL LEAVE GOOGLE EVERYTHING IF THIS PASSES!

Thank you!

Karen Robinson
Count me as a definite "NO". I'm totally against it. I don't want it, don't need it and this is exactly the type of material I want my provider to blacklist as SPAM. No politician deserves a special privilege that allows them to bypass any email provider's spam filter or anything else for that matter.

Peter Van De Brook
This is a very bad idea. It plays into the hands of the worst political organizations. Spammers will likely find a way to abuse this feature also. Please don't allow Gmail to incorporate this feature.

Thank you,
Bruce
Unsolicited political emails are spam. Please stop the spread of political spam. Don’t allow Google to reclassify political emails as non-spam. Thank you,

Reba Leiding

Sent from my iPhone
I would like to go ahead and opt-out of ALL political messages from Elephants, Asses or Independents!

ANY UNSOLICITED EMAIL/SNAIL MAIL IS SPAM
DON'T WE ALREADY GET ENOUGH?

--
Would it be OK if all "social media" sites prefaced each post with a disclaimer like this?

"This post, along with all other posts on this site are pure, unadulterated bullshit. Only a fool would believe anything here."
I strongly oppose any move to circumvent current processes for identifying spam as such and placing it in the spam folder, including any such mail from any political party.

Thank you,
Collin Galloway
It is in my strong opinion that Google's request to allow political emails through the spam filter should be DENIED.

No one likes unsolicited mail. And the only people to benefit from unsolicited mail are predators. Nothing should be legally allowed to enter a person's email inbox, or physical mailbox for that matter, without prior consent of the individual. There are a plethora of other public outlets that the politicians can utilize to get their messages out.

The private inboxes of individuals should not be violated to allow Google, Politicians, or any entity posing as a politician to profit.

Please do your duty to the American Public and deny this request.

Regards,
Please do not allow Google to bypass political mail to the inbox. Unsolicited political mail is just as obnoxious, if not more, than other forms of unsolicited communication. Users should have the ability to decline to receive unsolicited emails.
-- Scott
To whom it may concern,

I would like to express my opinion regarding Google's request to have a trial program allowing for the unbidden placement of political "spam" (unsolicited emails) messages in the user's primary inbox for "authorized" senders.

I wholly disagree with the intent and spirit of this pilot program and urge you to send a clear message to Google that these messages are unwanted and should be left out of user's Primary inbox.

Thank you,
Dan Plantholt
To Whom it May Concern:

I ask that you reject Google’s petition to allow political emails bypass spam filters and be placed directly in users’ inboxes. If I want to receive messages from someone I use the OPT IN feature, and sign up willingly to receive emails from a variety of entities. I most certainly DO NOT wish to receive political email of any kind, and certainly not UNSOLICITED email. There’s already too much propaganda and misinformation out there, and the idea that I or anyone else would be unwillingly subjected to receiving political entities. Add in the fact that many of these entities share/rent out their email lists, and now we’re looking at dozens or hundreds of unsolicited emails per DAY.

Additionally, please rule for common sense, and deny Google’s petition to grant special status to political emails, which amounts to free advertising and an unfair advantage. If you do approve this heinous request, I fully expect you will be assessing the appropriate fines and collections against Google for participating in excessive ‘in kind’ donations to political causes and thereby violating federal campaign finance laws.

Sincerely,

Bev Gelfand
I do not want Google to allow political email to bypass their spam filter. I'm already getting way too much political spam and spam in general. Thank you.

Clinton Kennedy
Dear FEC,

Regarding the recent proposal by Google to allow political emails to bypass spam filters, AO 2022-14 (Google LLC), I strongly urge you to deny this request.

There are a myriad of reasons why this would be a terrible decision, but most importantly this system would be ripe for abuse by those looking to disseminate misinformation and propaganda.

Additionally this would put Google in the seat of being the arbiter of what political entities qualify for this special privilege, which could change based on the whims of whomever is currently in charge of the division within the company.

Finally, the amount of unsolicited political spam we already receive in the form of electronic communications like emails and text as well as telephone calls, is already completely overwhelming and frustrating to say the least.

The last thing America and Americans need right now is another frictionless avenue for political spam to be opened.

Please do not allow this proposal to be approved for this good of this country and the sake of our already overwhelmed inboxes.

Thank you,

Patrick Schroeder
Portland, OR
Please respond to the Google Opinion Request by saying "Spam is Spam". When a user doesn't want e-mail (optional, non-requested e-mail, not related to a transaction) from a sender it shouldn't matter who the sender is.

When I request e-mail from a sender I want the e-mail delivered to my Inbox. When a sender starts ending unsolicited e-mail I want it sent to my Spam mail box.

All individuals and organizations are allowed to make their views known. But forcing me to pay attention to every political speaker is unreasonable. It is a waste of my time, and prevents me from doing other things I want to do.

B.J.