

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

AGENDA DOCUMENT NO. <sup>18-46-B</sup> AGENDA ITEM For meeting of December 13, 2018 Submitted Late

December 12, 2018

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: The Commission

FROM:

Lisa J. Stevenson  $\angle \bigcirc S$  by  $\bigcirc \mathcal{D}g$ Acting General Counsel

Neven F. Stipanovic NFS by DGActing Associate General Coursel

Robert M. Knop *PMK* by *DG* Assistant General Counsel

Joanna S. Waldstreicher JSU

Subject:

AO 2018-15 (Wyden) Draft B

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion.

Members of the public may submit written comments on the draft advisory opinion. We are making this draft available for comment until 9:00 am (Eastern Time) on December 13, 2018.

For more information about how to submit comments or attend the Commission meeting, go to <u>https://www.fec.gov/legal-resources/advisory-opinions-process/</u>

Attachment

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ADVISORY OPINION 2018-15 The Honorable Ron Wyden 221 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510 Dear Sen. Wyden:
8	We are responding to your advisory opinion request concerning the application of the
9	Federal Election Campaign Act, 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101-45 (the "Act"), and Commission
10	regulations to your proposal to use campaign funds to protect your personal electronic devices
11	and accounts from cyber threats you face in your role as an elected official. <sup>1</sup> The Commission
12	concludes that you may use campaign funds to pay for the costs of security measures to protect
13	your personal devices and accounts without such payments constituting an impermissible
14	conversion of campaign funds to personal use, under the Act and Commission regulations.
15	Background
16	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on May 16,
17	2018, and emails and attachments received from your office on June 6, September 14, September
18	20, and October 3, 2018.
19	You are a United States Senator from Oregon. While you do not report any specific
20	threats against your personal electronic devices or accounts, <sup>2</sup> you note "the dangers elected
21	officials face in the cyber realm, including attacks by sophisticated state-sponsored hackers and
22	intelligence agencies against personal devices and accounts." Advisory Opinion Request at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although your advisory opinion request is framed on behalf of Members of Congress generally, the Commission may only address your individual circumstances and proposed activities. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30108; 11 C.F.R. § 112.1(b). However, the Commission notes that any person similarly situated may rely on this advisory opinion's conclusion. 52 U.S.C. § 30108(c)(1)(B); 11 C.F.R. § 112.5(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In a letter to Senate leaders included with your advisory opinion request, you stated that your office has "discovered that at least one major technology company has informed a number of Senators and Senate staff members that their personal email accounts were targeted by foreign government hackers" but you do not indicate whether you or your staff received such a notification. AOR025.

1 AOR001. Michael Rogers, then director of the National Security Agency, agreed that the 2 personal devices and accounts of senior U.S. government officials "remain prime targets for 3 exploitation," AOR002, and Dan Coats, Director of National Intelligence, has testified that "[t]he 4 personal accounts and devices of government officials can contain information that is useful for 5 our adversaries to target." AOR008. The Senate Appropriations Committee has also expressed 6 its concern "that Senators are being targeted for hacking and cyberattacks, especially via their 7 personal devices and accounts." AOR017. 8 In your request, you cite to Professor Thomas Rid, a cybersecurity expert at Johns 9 Hopkins University's School of Advanced International Studies, who analyzed the cybersecurity 10 risk to federal officeholders as distinguished from the risk to other individuals. He notes that 11 although for-profit criminals target many individuals with identity theft, ransomware, spyware, 12 phishing attacks and other cyber threats, "senior executive branch officials and Members of 13 Congress face additional, targeted threats from sophisticated, persistent, and often well-funded 14 adversaries" who seek sensitive information or weaknesses in our defenses. AOR019. 15 Professor Rid further states that officeholders' personal accounts and devices are at 16 particular risk because their personal accounts "are outside the official security perimeter of the 17 U.S. government, yet contain highly sensitive information about officials' activities, private 18 communications, family life, finances, and movements. Personal accounts are often much softer 19 targets because the user determines the security settings, not cybersecurity professionals." 20 AOR021. In other words, "the personal accounts of Senators and their staff are high-value, low-21 hanging targets." Id.

1	You propose to use campaign funds for several types of expenses you might reasonably
2	incur in order to protect your personal devices and accounts or to recover from cyberattacks.
3	These include but are not limited to: (1) hardware, such as dedicated secure cell phones and
4	computers, secure home routers and networking equipment, and security tokens and "keys"; (2)
5	personal software and apps, such as endpoint protection, firewall, and antivirus software,
6	password management tools, secure and encrypted backup and cloud services, and secure and
7	encrypted chat, email, and project management tools; (3) consulting services from cybersecurity
8	professionals, and professionally-managed security services such as endpoint detection and
9	response, anti-malware, anti-phishing, firewall, and exploit protection; and (4) emergency
10	assistance, such as professional incident response, mitigation, and remediation services.
11	AOR036.
12	Question Presented
12 13	Question Presented May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity
13	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity
13 14	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts?
13 14 15	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts? Legal Analysis and Conclusions
13 14 15 16	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts? Legal Analysis and Conclusions Yes, you may use campaign funds to pay for cybersecurity protection for your personal
13 14 15 16 17	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts? Legal Analysis and Conclusions Yes, you may use campaign funds to pay for cybersecurity protection for your personal devices and accounts. Such expenses fall within the uses defined as permissible under the Act:
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts? Legal Analysis and Conclusions Yes, you may use campaign funds to pay for cybersecurity protection for your personal devices and accounts. Such expenses fall within the uses defined as permissible under the Act: ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the duties of the individual as a
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	May a United States Senator use campaign funds to pay for the costs of cybersecurity measures to protect his personal electronic devices and accounts? <b>Legal Analysis and Conclusions</b> Yes, you may use campaign funds to pay for cybersecurity protection for your personal devices and accounts. Such expenses fall within the uses defined as permissible under the Act: ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the duties of the individual as a holder of federal office. 52 U.S.C. § 30114(a)(2).

purpose" that does not constitute conversion of campaign funds to "personal use." 52 U.S.C.
§ 30114(b)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 113.2(e). Conversion to personal use occurs when a contribution or
amount is used "to fulfill any commitment, obligation, or expense" of a federal officeholder "that
would exist irrespective" of the officeholder's duties. 52 U.S.C. § 30114(b)(2); *see also* 11
C.F.R. § 113.1(g).

6 The Act and Commission regulations provide a non-exhaustive list of items that would 7 constitute a prohibited personal use *per se*, none of which applies here. See 52 U.S.C. 8 § 30114(b)(2)(A)-(I); 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)(i)(A)-(J). For items not on this list, such as 9 payments for cybersecurity measures for personal electronic devices and accounts, the 10 Commission determines on a case-by-case basis whether such expenses would fall within the 11 definition of "personal use." 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)(ii). The Commission has long recognized 12 that if a candidate or federal officeholder "can reasonably show that the expenses at issue 13 resulted from campaign or officeholder activities, the Commission will not consider the use to be 14 personal use." Personal Use of Campaign Funds, 60 Fed. Reg. 7682, 7867 (Feb. 9, 1995). 15 The Commission has not previously considered whether payments for cybersecurity 16 measures would constitute personal use of campaign funds under the Act and Commission 17 regulations. The Commission has, however, previously concluded that payments for physical 18 protection of a federal officeholder or candidate's residence do not constitute personal use when 19 such protection is needed due to threats driven by the individuals' roles as officeholders. In 20 Advisory Opinion 2011-17 (Giffords), Advisory Opinion 2011-05 (Terry), and Advisory 21 Opinion 2009-08 (Gallegly), federal officeholders faced "specific and ongoing threats to the safety of themselves and their families," and the information provided suggested that "the threats 22

were motivated by the Members' public roles as federal officeholders and/or candidates."
Advisory Opinion 2017-07 (Sergeant at Arms) at 3. The Commission concluded in those
instances that "the threats would not have occurred had the Members not been federal
officeholders and/or candidates, and that the expenses for the proposed residential security
upgrades would not exist irrespective of their duties as federal officeholders and/or candidates." *Id.*

7 In Advisory Opinion 2017-07 (Sergeant at Arms), the Commission considered similar 8 residential security issues pertaining to all Members of Congress. Based on information 9 regarding "the current threat environment facing Members of Congress due to their status as 10 federal officeholders" as well as a threat assessment completed by the United States Capitol 11 Police recommending that all Members of Congress upgrade their residential security, the 12 Commission concluded that the need for such increased security would not have existed 13 irrespective of the Members' roles as federal officeholders. Advisory Opinion 2017-07 14 (Sergeant at Arms) at 3. Therefore the use of campaign funds to pay for the recommended residential security installation or upgrades would not constitute an impermissible personal use 15 16 of campaign contributions under the Act and Commission regulations. Id.

Similarly, you have provided information regarding the heightened threat of cyberattacks you face with respect to your personal electronic devices and accounts by virtue of your role as a federal officeholder. As Professor Rid opined, "the personal accounts of Senators and their staff are high-value . . . targets" because they "contain highly sensitive information about officials' activities, private communications, family life, finances, and movements." AOR021. The value of such information means that the personal electronic devices and accounts of Senators are more

1 likely to be the targets of hackers and foreign actors than are those of other individuals, and both 2 the heightened risk to Senators' personal electronic devices and accounts and the magnitude of 3 the potential harm would not exist if not for their roles as federal officeholders. Accordingly, the 4 reasonable expenses incurred in protecting your personal electronic devices and accounts from, 5 and responding to, cybersecurity threats, as described in your advisory opinion request, 6 constitute ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in connection with your duties as a holder of 7 federal office, which are a permissible use of campaign funds. These expenses must be 8 reported as "cybersecurity expenses" on campaign-finance reports; simultaneously with the 9 approval of this Advisory Opinion, the Commission will add "cybersecurity expenses" to its list of reporting purposes deemed "adequate" for campaign disbursements.<sup>3</sup> 10 11 The Commission emphasizes that this conclusion is based on the information provided 12 about the current heightened threat environment of cyberattacks faced by Senators, and that if 13 that threat environment should diminish significantly at some point in the future, this conclusion 14 may no longer apply. In addition, the Commission emphasizes that the use of campaign funds for the expenses described in your request is limited to your own personal devices and accounts 15 16 and not available for devices and accounts of family members, staff or other persons. 17 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and 18 Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 19 52 U.S.C. § 30108. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or 20 assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission assumes that your campaign committee will pay the fair market value of any such cybersecurity hardware, software, or services to prevent the acceptance of potentially impermissible in-kind contributions from vendors.

1	this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its
2	proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is
3	indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which
4	this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. See 52 U.S.C.
5	§ 30108(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be
6	affected by subsequent developments in the law including, but not limited to, statutes,
7	regulations, advisory opinions, and case law. Any advisory opinions cited herein are available
8	on the Commission's website.
9	
10 11 12	On behalf of the Commission,
13 14 15	Caroline C. Hunter, Chair