<u>PUBLIC COMMENTS ON DRAFT ADVISORY OPINIONS</u>

Members of the public may submit written comments on draft advisory opinions.

DRAFT E of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-10 is now available for comment. It was requested by Joseph E. Sandler, Esq. and Elizabeth L. Howard, Esq., on behalf of Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research, Inc., and is scheduled to be considered by the Commission at its public meeting on April 26, 2012. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. and will be held in the 9th Floor Hearing Room at the Federal Election Commission, 999 E Street, NW, Washington, DC. Individuals who plan to attend the public meeting and who require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the Commission Secretary, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

If you wish to comment on DRAFT E of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-10, please note the following requirements:

- 1) Comments must be in writing, and they must be both legible and complete.
- 2) Comments must be submitted to the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery or fax ((202) 208-3333), with a duplicate copy submitted to the Office of General Counsel by hand delivery or fax ((202) 219-3923).
- 3) Comments must be received by 9 a.m. (Eastern Time) on April 26, 2012.
- 4) The Commission will generally not accept comments received after the deadline. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.
- 5) All timely received comments will be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office and will be posted on the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

REOUESTOR APPEARANCES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

The Commission has implemented a pilot program to allow advisory opinion requestors, or their counsel, to appear before the Commission to answer questions at the open meeting as which the Commission considers fine draft advisory opinion. This program took affect on July 7, 2009.

Under the program:

- 1) A requestor has an automatic right to appear before the Commission if any public draft of the advisory opinion is made available to the requestor or requestor's counsel less than one week before the public meeting at which the advisory opinion request will be considered. Under these circumstances, no advance written notice of intent to appear is required. This one-week period is shortened to three days for advisory uninions under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2).
- 2) A requestor must provide written notice of intent to appear before the Commission if all public drafts of the advisory opinion are made available to requestor or requestor's counsel at least one week before the public meeting at which the Commission will consider the advisory opinion request. This one-week period is shortened to three days for advisory opinions under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2). The notice of Intent to appear must be received by the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery, email (Secretary@fee.gov), or fax ((202) 208-3333), no later than 48 hours before the scheduled public receting. Requestors are responsible for ensuring that the Office of the Commission Secretary receives timely notice.
- 3) Requestors or their counsel unable to appear physically at a public meeting may participate by telephone, subject to the Commission's technical capabilities.
- 4) Requestors or their counsel who appear before the Commission may do so only for the limited purpose of addressing questions raised by the Commission at the public meeting. Their appearance does not guarantee that any questions will be asked.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Press inquiries: Judith Ingram

Press Officer (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary: Shawn Woodhead Werth

(202) 694-1040

Comment Submission Procedure: Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel

(202) 694-1650

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to Advisory Opinion 2012-10, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530, or visit the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

ADDRESSES

Office of the Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Office of General Counsel ATTN: Kevin Deeley, Esq. Federal Election Commission

999 E Street, NW

Washington, DC 20463





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

2012 APR 25 P 5: 26 1 1

April 25, 2012

AGENDA ITEM

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

For Meeting of 4/26/12

FROM:

Anthony Herman General Counsel

SUBMITTED LATE

Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel KO/PH

Amy Rothstein

Assistant General Counsel

Esther Heiden

Attorney

Subject:

AO 2012-10 (Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research, Inc.)

(Draft E)

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We have been asked to have this draft placed on the Open Session agenda for April 26, 2012.

Attachment

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2012-10
3	Joseph E. Sandler, Esq. Draft E
4	Elizabeth L. Howard, Esq.
5	Sændler, Reiff, Young & Lamb, P.C.
6	1025 Vermont Avenue, NW
7	Suite 300
8	Washington, DC 20005
9 10	Dear Mr. Sandler and Ms. Howard:
10	Dear Wir. Sandier and Wis. Howard:
11	We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Greenberg
12	Quinlan Rosner Research, Inc., concerning the possible preemption of New Hampshire
13	State law by the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and
14	Commission regulations. The Commission concludes that the provision of the New
15	Hampshire campaign finance statute requiring disclaimers on certain campaign-related
16	telephone surveys made on behalf of Federal candidates, their authorized campaign
17	committees, or other Federal political committees is preempted by the Act and
18	Commission regulations. The Commission could not reach a conclusion by the required
19	four affirmative votes as to whether the New Hampshire statute is preempted with
20	respect to telephone surveys made on behalf of nonprofit organizations (other than
21	Federal candidates' authorized campaign committees, or other Federal political
22	committees) where the surveys do not contain express advocacy.
23	Background
24	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on
25	February 21 and your email and letter received on March 5, 2012.
26	Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research, Inc. ("Greenberg Quinlan") is a corporatio
27	located in the District of Columbia that provides political research and strategic

1	consulting services. These consulting services include surveys, which are conducted on a
2	nationwide basis and in many states and localities.
3	Greenberg Quinlan plans to conduct telephone surveys, using live operators, of
4	New Hampshire voters. The surveys generally will consist of questions regarding
5	demographics, the respondent's views on various issues, the respondent's impressions of
6	the political parties and national political figures, the likelihood of the respondent to vote
7	for a particular Federal candidate or candidates, and the likelihood of the respondent to
8	vote for a specific Federal candidate after hearing various positive and/or negative
9	information about the candidate. The telephone surveys will not expressly advocate the
10	election or defeat of a clearly identified Federal candidate.
11	These telephone surveys will be paid for either by Federal candidates or by
12	nonprofit organizations. The surveys will refer only to Federal candidates, and will not
13	mention any candidates for State or local office.
14	Greenberg Quinlan believes that its proposed polling in New Hampshire may be
15	subject to New Hampshire's statutory disclaimer requirements. New Hampshire law
16	requires that:
17 18 19 20 21	Any person who engages in push-polling, as defined in RSA 664:2(XVII), shall inform any person contacted that the telephone call is being made on behalf of, in support of, or in opposition to a particular candidate for public office, identify that candidate by name, and provide a telephone number from where the push polling is conducted.
23	N.H. REV. STAT. sec. 664:16-a(I). "Push polling" is defined as:
24 25	(a) Calling voters on behalf of, in support of, or in opposition to, arry candidate for public office by telephone; and

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	N

- (b) Asking questions related to opposing candidates for public office which state, imply, or convey information about the candidates['] character, status, or political stance or record; and
- (c) Conducting such calling in a manner which is likely to be construed by the voter to be a survey or poll to gather statistical data for entities or organizations which are acting independent of any particular political party, candidate, or interest group.

N.H. REV. STAT. sec. 664:2(XVII).

Greenberg Quinlan asks the Commission to determine whether the Act and Commission regulations preempt the New Hampshire disalaimer statute insofar as it purports to apply to Greenberg Quinlan's proposed telephene surveys that refer only to Federal candidates and do not refer to State or local candidates.

Questions Presented

- 1. Is a New Hampshire statute requiring disclaimers on certain telephone calls, New Hampshire Revised Statutes section664:16-a(I), preempted by the Act or Commission regulations with respect to the proposed telephone surveys made on behalf of Federal candidates, their authorized committees, or other Federal political committees that refer only to candidates for Federal office?
 - 2. Is a New Hampshire statute requtring disclaimers on certain telephone calls, New Hampshire Revised Statutes section 664:16-a(I), preempted by the Act or Commission regulations with respect to the proposed telephone surveys made on hehalf of nonprofit organizations (other than Federal political committees) that refer only to candidates for Federal office and that are in support of or in opposition to Federal candidates, but do not expressly advocate the election or defeat of a Federal candidate?

1

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

2	1. Is a New Hampshire statute requiring disclaimers on certain telephone
3	calls, New Hampshire Revised Statutes section 664:16-a(I), preempted by the Act or
4	Commission regulations with respect to the proposed telephone surveys made on behalf
5	of Federal candidates, their authorized committees, or other Federal political committees
6	that refer only to candidates for Federal office?
7	Yes, the New Hampshire statute requiring disclaimers on certain telephone calls,
8	New Hampshire Revised Statutes section 664:16-a(I), is preempted by the Act and
9	Commission regulations with respect to the proposed telephone surveys that refer only to
10	candidates for Federal office and that are made on behalf of, or are in support of or in
11	opposition to, Federal candidates.
12	The provisions of the Act and the Commission regulations promulgated
13	thereunder "supersede and preempt any provision of State law with respect to election to
14	Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 453; see also 11 CFR 108.7(a). The legislative history of the
15	Act makes clear that Congress intended "to make certain that the Federal law is construed
16	to occupy the field with respect to elections to Federal office and that the Federal law will
17	be the sole authority under which such elections will be regulated." H.R. REP. No. 93-
18	1239, 93d Cong., 2d Sess. 10 (1974). According to the Conference Committee Report on
19	the 1974 Amendments to the Act, "Federal law occupies the field with respect to criminal
20	sanctions relating to limitations on campaign expenditures, the sources of campaign funds
21	used in Federal races, the conduct of Federal campaigns, and similar offenses, but does
22	not affect the States' rights" as to other areas such as voter fraud and ballot theft. H.R.

- 1 REP. No. 93-1438, 93d Cong., 2d Sess. 69 (1974). The Conference Committee Report
- 2 also states that Federal law occupies the field with respect to reporting and disclosure of
- 3 political contributions to, and expenditures by, Federal candidates and political
- 4 committees, but does not affect State laws as to the manner of qualifying as a candidate,
- 5 or the dates and places of elections. *Id.* at 100-01.
- 6 Consistent with congressional intent, Commission regulations provide that "[t]he
- 7 provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and rules and
- 8 regulations issued thereunder, superzede and preempt any provision of State law with
- 9 respect to election to Federal office." 11 CFR 108.7(a). Specifically, "Federal law
- supersedes State law concerning the . . . [1]imitation[s] on contributions and expenditures
- 11 ... regarding Federal candidates and political committees," but does not supersede State
- 12 laws relating to the manner of qualifying as a candidate or political party organization,
- dates and places of elections, voter registration, voting fraud, ballot theft, candidates'
- 14 personal financial disclosures, or funds used for the purchase or construction of State or
- 15 local party office buildings. 11 CFR 108.7(c), 108.7(b)(3).
- In promulgating 11 CFR 108.7, the Commission stated that Federal law
- 17 supersedes State law with respect to the organization and registration of political
- 18 committees supporting Federal candidates, disclosure of receipts and expenditures by
- 19 Federal candidates and political committees, and the limitations on contributions and
- 20 expenditures regarding Federal candidates and political committees. Explanation and
- Justification of the Disclosure Regulations, House Doc. No. 95-44, at 51 (1977). "[T]he
- 22 central aim of the [Act's preemption] clause is to provide a comprehensive, uniform

- 1 Federal scheme that is the sole source of regulation of campaign financing . . . for
- 2 election to Federal office." Advisory Opinion 1988-21 (Wieder).
- The New Hampshire statute at issue here is preempted to the extent that it
- 4 purports to regulate Greenberg Quinlan's telephone surveys paid for by Federal
- 5 candidates, their authorized campaign committees, and other Federal political
- 6 committees. Under the Act and Commission regulations, the regulation of expenditures
- 7 by Federal candidates, their authorized campaign committees, and other Federal colitical
- 8 campaign committees is an area to be regulated only by Federal law, and both the Act
- 9 and Commission regulations regulate this area, including expenditures for polling
- 10 expenses. See, e.g., 2 U.S.C. 431(9), 439a, 441a(j); 11 CFR 100.111, 106.4, pt. 113.
- In Advisory Opinion 2009-21 (West Virginia Secretary of State), the Commission
- determined that the Act and Commission regulations preempted a State law that
- prohibited "deceptively design[ing] or intentionally conduct[ing] [polls] in a manner
- calculated to advocate the election or defeat of any candidate or group of candidates or
- calculated to influence any person or persons so polled to vote for or against any
- candidate, group of candidates, proposition or other matter to be voted on by the public at
- any election." W. VA. CODE sec. 3-8-9(a)(10). The Commission reasoned that the State
- 18 statute, "if applied to Federal candidates, would impede those candidates' ability to make
- payment[s] of polling expenses that are governed by the Act and Commission
- 20 regulations." Advisory Opinion 2009-21 (West Virginia Secretary of State).
- 21 Here, the New Hampshire statute, if applied to Federal candidates who wish to
- 22 pay for the telephone surveys described in the request, would impose an additional

- disclaimer requirement on those expenditures. Under the Act's preemption clause, only
- 2 Federal law may require disclosure regarding expenditures by Federal candidates. 2
- 3 U.S.C. 453; 11 CFR 108.7(b)(2). The Commission concludes, therefore, that New
- 4 Hampshire Revised Statute section 664:16-a(I) is preempted insofar as it purports to
- 5 apply to the proposed telephone polls paid for by Federal candidates. See 2 U.S.C. 453,
- 6 431(9), 439a.
- 7 2. Is a New Hampshire statute requiring disclaimers on certain telephone
- 8 calls, New Hampshire Revised Statutes section 664:16-a(I), preempted by the Act or
- 9 Commission regulations with respect to the proposed telephone surveys made on behalf
- of nonprofit organizations (other than Federal political committees) that refer only to
- candidates for Federal office, but do not expressly advocate the election or defeat of a
- 12 clearly identified Federal candidate?
- The Commission was unable to approve a response by the required four
- 14 affirmative votes as to whether the New Hampshire statute requiring disclaimers on
- 15 certain telephone calls is preempted by the Act or Commission regulations with respect to
- the proposed telephone surveys that will be made on behalf of nonprofit organizations
- that are not Federal political committees, and that will refer only to candidates for Federal
- office, but will not expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified
- 19 Federal candidate.
- This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
- 21 Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
- request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any

1	of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
2	conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that
3	conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific
4	transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the
5	transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on
6	this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or
7	conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the
8	law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.
9	The cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website, or directly from
10	the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at http://www.fec.gov/searchao.
11	
12	On behalf of the Commission,
13	
14 15	
16	Caroline C. Hunter
17 18	Chair
10	