January 19, 2005

<u>AQ DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES</u>

The Commission permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2004-45 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Marc E. Elias and Rebecca H. Gordon on behalf of Senator-elect Ken Salazar and Salazar for Senate.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2004-45 is scheduled to be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday, January 27, 2005.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (Eastern Time) on January 26, 2005.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.

4) All timely received comments will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office.

CONTACTS

Press inquiries:

Robert Biersack (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary:

Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to AO 2004-45, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedures, contact Rosemary C. Smith, Associate General Counsel, at (202) 694-1650.

MAILING ADDRESSES

Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street NW Washington, DC 20463

Rosemary C. Smith Associate General Counsel Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

AGENDA DOCUMENT NO. 05-03



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463



2005 JAN 19 A 10 29

January 19, 2005

MEMORANDUM

TO:

ł

THROUGH:

The Commission James A. Pehrkon Staff Director

FROM:

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith CC Associate General Counsel

Mai T. Dinh **URD** Assistant General Counsel

Robert M. Knop Staff Attorney

Subject:

Draft AO 2004-45

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for January 27, 2005.

Attachment

AGENDAITEM For Meeting of: 01-27-05

ļ	1	ADVISORY OPINION 2004-45	
ļ	2	Mart F. Pline Tee	
	3	Marc E. Elias, Esq. Rebecca H. Gordon, Esq.	
	5		
	6	Perkins Coie 607 Fourtcenth Street, NW	
•	7	Perkins Coie 607 Fourteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-2011	
	8		
	9	Dear Mr. Elias and Ms. Gordon:	
	10		
	[]	We are responding to your inquiry on behalf of Senator Ken Salazar and his	
	. 12	principal campaign committee, Salazar for Senate (the "Salazar Committee") regarding	
	13	the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"),	
	14	and Commission regulations, to the Salazar Committee's spending of contributions it	1
	15	raised during the 2004 election cycle under increased contribution limits pursuant to the	
	16	"Millionaires' Amendment." See 2 U.S.C. 441a-1 and 441a(i); 11 CFR Part 400. The	:
ļ	17	Salazar Committee may use a last-in, first-out method of accounting to determine	
	18	whether, now that the election is over, any of those contributions constitute "excess	
	19	contributions" that must be returned to contributors.	
	20	Background	
	21	The facts of this request are presented in your letter dated December 14, 2004.	
	22	Senator Salazar was the Democratic candidate for the Senate from Colorado in the	
	23	2004 general election. His Republican opponent in that election was Peter Coors. On	
	24	October 23, 2004, Mr. Coors's principal campaign committee, Pete Coors for Senate. Inc.	
	25	(the "Coors Committee"), filed with the Secretary of the Senate an Initial Notification of	
I	26	Expeditures from Personal Funds on FEC Form 10, indicating that Mr. Coors had spent	
	27	\$1,051,000 from personal funds in connection with his general election campaign. The	
	28	Salazar Committee received a copy of this filing that evening.	

.

AO 2004-45 Page 2

Ì

ı,

.

٠

1	As the provisions of the Millionaires' Amendment permit, the Salazar Committee
2	began raising funds from individuals under an increased contribution limit of \$6,000 on
3	October 24, 2004. From that date through November 2, 2004 – the date of the general
4	election - the Salazar Committee raised \$1,308,533 in contributions. Of this amount,
5	\$564,046 was attributable to the portion of individual contributions raised pursuant to the
6	Millionaires' Amendment that exceeded the normal \$2,000 limit.
7	Between October 24 and December 6, 2004, the Salazar Committee paid
8	\$1,610,641 in campaign expenses in connection with the 2004 general election. As of
9	December 6, 2004, over \$100,000 in 2004 general election expenses remained
10	outstanding and were being processed for payment. The Salazar Committee intends to
11	use a "last-in, first-out" ("LIFO") method of accounting to determine whether any of its
12	remaining cash-on-hand is comprised of funds that were contributed under the increased
13	limits provided for by the Millionaires' Amendment.
14	Questions Presented
15	1. May the Salazar Committee use a LIFO method of accounting to determine
16	whether it has "excess contributions" that must be refunded to contributors?
17	Legal Analysis and Conclusions
18	Yes, the Salazar Committee may use the LIFO method of accounting, a generally
19	accepted accounting principle, to determine whether it has "excess contributions" that
20	must be refunded to contributors.
21	The Act and Commission regulations require candidates receiving increased
22	contributions under the Millionaires' Amendment to refund, within fifty days of the
23	election, all "excess contributions" that are not spent in connection with that election. 2

AO 2004-45 Page 3

.

ļ

1	U.S.C. 441a(i)(3) and 441a-1(a)(4); 11 CFR 400.51 and 400.53. An "excess
2	contribution" is the amount of each contribution raised in an amount above the usual
3	\$2,000 limit that is not otherwise spent "in connection with the election" to which it
4	relates. 11 CFR 400.50. Neither the Act nor Commission regulations specify a particular
5	accounting method that candidate committees must use to determine whether their
6	remaining cash-on-hand after an election contains any excess contributions. Because
7	LIFO is a generally accepted accounting principle, the Commission concludes that the
8	Salazar Committee may use this method for the purpose of determining whether its
9	remaining cash-on-hand after the election contains any excess contributions.
10	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
11	Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
12	request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any
13	of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
14	conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that
15	conclusion as support for its proposed activity.
16 17	Sincerely,
18 19	
20 21	
22	Scott E. Thomas
23	Chairman
24	

•