L

NOTICE AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-39 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Jan Baran and D. Mark Renaud, on behalf of the Credit Union National Association. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-39 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday February 5, 2004.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EST) on February 4, 2004.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.

4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

<u>CONTACTS</u>

Press inquiries:

Robert Biersack (202) 694-1220

İ

Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2003-39 contact Public Records Office-Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

ADDRESSES

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street NW Washington, DC 20463



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463 RECEIVED COMMISSION

2001 JAN 28 P 3:23

January 28, 2004

AGENDAITEM For Meeting of: 02-05-04

MEMORANDUM

- TO: The Commission
- THROUGH:

James A. Pehrkon Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

> James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith $\cancel{C}5$ Acting Associate General Counsel

Mai T. Dinh MAO Acting Assistant General Counsel

Daniel E. Pollner Staff Attorney

Subject: Draft AO 2003-39

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for February 5, 2004.

Attachment



2004 JAN 28 P 3 23

ļ

DRAFT

8 <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> 9 <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

- 10 ADVISORY OPINION 2003-39
- 11 Jan Witold Baran, Esq.
- 12 D. Mark Renaud, Esq.
- 13 Wiley Rein & Fielding
- 14 1776 K Street, NW

1

2 3

4 5 6

7

- 15 Washington, DC 20006
- 16 Dear Mr. Baran and Mr. Renaud:

17 This refers to your letter of December 17, 2003 and your e-mail of December 23, 2003, 18 which request an advisory opinion on behalf of Credit Union National Association ("CUNA"). 19 the Credit Union Legislative Action Council of CUNA ("CULAC"), and the North Carolina 20 Local Government Employees' Federal Credit Union ("Local Government FCU"). Your 21 advisory opinion request concerns the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 22 ("the Act"), and Commission regulations, to a proposed plan whereby Local Government FCU would match voluntary contributions to CULAC from its executive and administrative personnel, 23 individual account holders, and their families with donations to section 501(c)(3) charities of the 24 25 contributors' choice. You state that CUNA is a trade association incorporated under the laws of Wisconsin as a 26 non-stock, non-profit corporation with members. You further state that CUNA's members 27 28 consist of state- and federally- chartered credit unions as well as 51 credit union leagues

- 29 representing the 50 states and the District of Columbia. In your letter, you explain that Local
- 30 Government FCU has given prior written approval to CULAC, CUNA's separate segregated

AO 2003-39 Page 2

1	fund ("SSF"), to solicit voluntary contributions to CULAC from Local Government FCU's
2	restricted class. Under the proposed charitable matching plan, for each contribution made to
3	CULAC, Local Government FCU will make a matching contribution to any section 501(c)(3)
4	charity of the contributor's choice, dollar-for-dollar, up to the maximum amount that an
5	individual may contribute to CULAC during the given calendar year. The individual
6	contributors will not receive any tax benefits from the matching donations made by Local
7	Government FCU on their behalf and will not receive bonuses, expense accounts, or other forms
8	of direct or indirect compensation as a result of their participation in the plan. ¹
9	The Act prohibits a corporation, including a federally-chartered credit union, from
10	making contributions or expenditures in connection with any Federal election. ² 2 U.S.C.
11	441b(a). However, the Act excludes from the definition of "contribution or expenditure," those
12	costs that are paid by the corporation for "the establishment, administration, and solicitation of
13	contributions to a separate segregated fund to be utilized for political purposes" by the
14	corporation. 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2)(C). Although Commission regulations provide that a
15	corporation may use its general treasury monies to pay the expenses of establishing and
16	administering such a SSF and of soliciting contributions to the SSF, the regulations also state that
17	a corporation may not use this process "as a means of exchanging treasury monies for voluntary
18	contributions." 11 CFR 114.5(b). In this respect, the regulations specify that a contributor may
19	not be paid for his or her contributions through a bonus, expense account, or other form of direct
20	or indirect compensation. 11 CFR 114.5(b)(1). The Act and Commission regulations allow a

¹ You state that Local Government FCU will provide each contributor to CULAC with written notice that he or she may not receive any tangible benefit from the charity in exchange for the matching contribution and that Local Government FCU will also advise the charity at the time the matching contribution is made that the contributor may not receive any tangible benefit in exchange for the matching contribution.

² A federally-chartered credit union is a corporation for purposes of the Act. See Advisory Opinion 1990-18.

1 corporation, or an SSF established by a corporation, to solicit voluntary contributions to the SSF 2 from the corporation's stockholders, its executive and administrative personnel, and their 3 families. 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(4)(A)(i); 11 CFR 114.5(g)(1). Any solicitation of these persons for 4 contributions to the SSF must meet certain requirements. See 11 CFR 114.5(a), and, in 5 particular, 11 CFR 114.5(a)(5). 6 As indicated in your letter, in 1998 the Commission determined that the credit union 7 members of the state leagues of CUNA "may be considered as a 'branch, division . . . or local 8 unit' of CUNA under 11 CFR 102.6(b)(1)(iii) and may, therefore, act as collecting agents in 9 receiving and transmitting contributions for CULAC." Advisory Opinion 1998-19. Since, as 10 you state in your letter, Local Government FCU is a member of the North Carolina Credit Union 11 League and a member of CUNA, Local Government FCU may act as a collecting agent in 12 receiving and transmitting contributions for CULAC. Moreover, as a collecting agent, Local 13 Government FCU may "pay any or all of the costs incurred in soliciting and transmitting 14 contributions to the separate segregated fund." 11 CFR 102.6(c)(2)(i). 15 The Commission has on several occasions allowed an SSF's connected organization, under certain conditions, to match contributions made to its connected SSF with donations to 16 charities.³ The Commission has viewed a connected organization's matching of voluntary 17 18 political contributions to its SSF with charitable donations as solicitation expenses related to

³ A "connected organization" is defined in the Act and the Commission's regulations as "any organization which is not a political committee but which directly or indirectly establishes, administers, or financially supports a political committee." 2 U.S.C. 431(7); 11 CFR 100.6(a). The Commission's regulations provide that "organizations which are members of the entity (such as corporate members of a trade association) which establishes, administers, or financially supports a political committee are not organizations which directly or indirectly establish, administer or financially support that political committee." 11 CFR 100.6(b). Consequently, Local Government FCU, though a member of CUNA, is not a connected organization with respect to CULAC.

AO 2003-39 Page 4

1 fundraising for its SSF under 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2)(C) and 11 CFR 114.5(b).⁴ See Advisory 2 Opinions 2003-4, 1990-6, 1989-9, 1989-7, 1988-48, 1987-18, and 1986-44.⁵ Thus, although the 3 Commission has determined that charitable matching payments, when made by the SSF's 4 connected organization, constitute solicitation expenses under 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2)(C) and 5 11 CFR 114.5(b), the Commission has not addressed the precise issue presented by your request: 6 whether such charitable matching payments, when made by a collecting agent rather than the 7 connected organization, constitute "costs incurred in soliciting and transmitting contributions to 8 the separate segregated fund" under 11 CFR 102.6(c)(2)(i). 9 As stated above, the Commission has considered charitable matching payments, when 10 paid by the SSF's connected organization, to be solicitation costs. The Commission sees no 11 reason to treat these payments differently when they are paid by a collecting agent rather than by 12 the SSF's connected organization. The Commission specifically notes the similarity between the 13 language in 11 CFR 114.5(b), which permits a connected organization to use its general treasury 14 funds to pay "for the establishment, administration, and solicitation of contributions" to its SSF, 15 and the language of 11 CFR 102.6(c)(2)(i), which allows collecting agents to pay for "costs 16 incurred in soliciting and transmitting contributions" to the SSF. Consequently, the Commission 17 believes that charitable matching donations, when made by a collecting agent, constitute "costs

ł

⁴ The Commission's conclusion regarding matching charitable contributions by separate segregated funds is consistent with the Internal Revenue Code's treatment of the tax consequences of such programs. The Internal Revenue Service has concluded that a matching charitable contribution plan grant to a section 501(c)(3) organization should not be recharacterized as payment of compensation to the employee and a subsequent payment by the employee to the section 501(c)(3) organization. G.C.M. 39,877 (August 27, 1992); Rev. Rul. 67-137, 1967-1 C.B. 63. The Internal Revenue Service has also concluded that the corporation may not receive a tax deduction for matching charitable donations it makes. G.C.M. 39,877.

⁵ See also Advisory Opinions 1994-7, 1994-6 and 1994-3, where the Commission considered and approved the use of matching charitable contribution plans for employees who are only solicitable under the twice yearly procedures, provided that all other Commission regulations applicable to the solicitation of these personnel are followed (that is, employees outside the restricted class).

AO 2003-39 Page 5

incurred in so	liciting and transmitting contributions to the separate segregated fund" under	
11 CFR 102.6	(c)(2)(i). Thus, the payment of such charitable matching donations by Local	
Government]	FCU is permissible under the Act.	
The C	ommission expresses no opinion regarding any implications of the proposed	
matching char	ritable contribution plan under the Internal Revenue Code because those issues are	
outside the Co	ommission's jurisdiction.	
This r	esponse constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and	
Commission	regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request.	
See 2 U.S.C.	437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or	
assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in		
this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its		
proposed activ	vity.	
	Sincerely,	
	Bradley A. Smith Chairman	
Enclosures:	(AOs 2003-4, 1994-7, 1994-6, 1994-3, 1990-18, 1990-6, 1989-9, 1989-7, 1988- 48, 1987-18, 1986-44)	
	11 CFR 102.6 Government I The C matching char outside the Co This r Commission r See 2 U.S.C. 4 assumptions p this advisory o proposed activ	

ł