NOTICE _ AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-30 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Benjamin Ginsberg and Glenn Willard counsel on behalf of Fitzgerald for Senate Committee, Senator Peter Fitzgerald. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-30 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday December 18, 2003.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EST) on December 17, 2003.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.

4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.
**CONTACTS**

Press inquiries: Ron Harris (202) 694-1220
Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2003-30 contact Public Records Office-
Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact
Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

**ADDRESSES**

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20463
December 10, 2003

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 12-18-03

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkon
           Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton
       General Counsel

       James A. Kahl
       Deputy General Counsel

       Rosemary C. Smith
       Acting Associate General Counsel

       John C. Verge
       Acting Assistant General Counsel

       Esa L. Sferra
       Attorney

Subject: Draft AO 2003-30

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for December 18, 2003.

Attachment
Dear Messrs. Ginsberg and Willard:

This responds to your letters dated June 24, September 26, and December 5, 2003, requesting an advisory opinion on behalf of Fitzgerald for Senate Committee ("the Committee") and Senator Peter Fitzgerald, concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the permissible uses of contributions designated for or attributed to the 2004 primary election, for which Senator Fitzgerald is no longer a candidate.

Background

You state that on April 15, 2003, Senator Fitzgerald announced that he would not seek re-election to the United States Senate from Illinois in 2004. The Committee is Senator Fitzgerald's principal campaign committee, which has been fundraising since the 1998 general election for the Senator's re-election in 2004. Since the 1998 general election, the Committee has raised approximately $2.7 million for 1998 primary election debt retirement, 1998 general election debt retirement, 2004 primary election expenses, and 2004 general election expenses.¹

¹ Of the $2.7 million raised since the 1998 general election, $302,000 was contributed for 1998 primary debt retirement, $334,000 was contributed for 1998 general election debt retirement, and $312,000 was contributed for the 2004 general election. $1.5 million was contributed for or attributed to the 2004 primary election.
You state that as of April 15, 2003, the Committee had $984,812.09 in total cash-on-hand and $2.9 million in net liabilities. You state that the Committee wishes to use approximately $526,000 of the total cash-on-hand\(^2\) for one or more of four contemplated purposes: (1) Contributions to an organization described in section 170(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; (2) transfers to a national, State, or local political party committee; (3) repayment of debt incurred in the 1998 primary and general elections, and 2004 primary election; and (4) refunds to individuals who contributed for the 2004 primary election.

You state that the Committee's net liabilities breakdown as follows: $2.1 million owed to La Salle Bank, N.A. for credit extended for the 1998 elections; $772,000 owed to Senator Fitzgerald for the 1998 general election; $45,525 in disputed debt for the 1998 general election; and $36,639.86 for the 2004 primary election.

You state that the $526,000 cash-on-hand for one or more of the contemplated purposes is from individuals, political committees, and interest accrual. The breakdown of the cash-on-hand is approximately: $334,000 from individuals, of which $73,000 is from individuals who have reached the contribution limits from the 1998 elections; $187,000 from political committees, of which $10,500 is from political committees who have reached the contribution limits from the 1998 elections; and $5,000 from interest. You ask a series of questions about the permissible uses of the $526,000 cash-on-hand.

---

\(^2\) You explained by phone on October 16, 2002 that some of the $984,812.09 cash-on-hand on April 15, 2003 will be or was used as follows: $312,000 was refunded because it was composed of contributions for the 2004 general election and Senator Fitzgerald will not be a candidate in that election, and $147,000 is allowed for administrative costs, including campaign-related legal expenses.
Legal Analysis and Conclusions

For the reasons stated below, the Commission finds that the four purposes that you propose all are permissible uses of the Committee's $526,000 cash on hand under the Act and Commission regulations.

Under the Act, there are four categories of permissible uses of campaign funds: (1) otherwise authorized expenditures in connection with the candidate's campaign for Federal office; (2) ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the duties of the individual as a holder of Federal office; (3) contributions to organizations described in 26 U.S.C. 170(c); and (4) transfers, without limitation, to national, State or local political party committees. 2 U.S.C. 439a(a); see also 11 CFR 113.2.

Congress, in the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"), amended section 439a by deleting the condition that funds used under this section be "in excess of any amount necessary to defray [the candidate's] expenditures." Before BCRA, section 439a also included "any other lawful purpose" within the list of permissible uses. 2 U.S.C. 439a (2001). In BCRA, Congress deleted "any other lawful purpose." The current list of permissible uses of campaign funds is thus exhaustive. See Explanation & Justification for Disclaimers, Fraudulent Solicitations, Civil Penalties, and Personal Use of Campaign Funds; Final Rule, 67 Fed. Reg. 76962, 76970 (Dec. 13, 2002) (explaining the significance of the change).

Questions 1 and 2

May the Committee donate cash-on-hand to an organization described in section 170(c) of the Internal Revenue Code?
May the Committee transfer cash-on-hand to a national, State, or local political party committee?

The Act provides that a candidate may use campaign funds for a number of permissible non-campaign purposes, including "for contributions to an organization described in section 170(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986," 2 U.S.C. 439a(a)(3), and "for transfers, without limitation, to a national, State, or local committee of a political party," 2 U.S.C. 439a(a)(4), provided that neither of these uses would result in campaign funds being converted to personal use by any person. 2 U.S.C. 439a(b)(1). The Commission's regulations define personal use as "any use of funds in a campaign account of a present or former candidate to fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense of any person that would exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign or duties as a Federal officeholder." 11 CFR 113.1(g); see, e.g., Advisory Opinion 1996-9 (use of an office in a library facility purchased by a State party committee with excess funds contributed by a Senator's principal campaign committee constituted personal use under 11 CFR 113.1(g)).

The Committee may use some or all of the $526,000 cash-on-hand for contributions to a 170(c) organization or for transfers to a national, State, or local committee of a political party, provided that such contributions or transfers do not convert the cash-on-hand into personal use by Senator Fitzgerald. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 1997-1 (permitting donation of former principal campaign committee's cash balance to

---

3 To the extent that this and other previous advisory opinions relied on the statutory characterization of campaign funds as "excess" funds, the advisory opinions are still reliable authority. Whether campaign funds could be used for one of the pre-BCRA permissible purposes depended on the candidate's discretion, and the Act did not require any other determination that the funds were in fact excess funds. 11 CFR 113.1(e) (2002); see generally Explanation & Justification for Disclaimers, Fraudulent Solicitations, Civil Penalties, and Personal Use of Campaign Funds: Final Rule, 67 Fed. Reg. 76962, 76970-71 (Dec. 15, 2002).
proposed charitable organization provided that none of the donated funds would be
distributed as compensation to the candidate, his family, or any entity controlled by or
employing the candidate or a member of his family, which would constitute personal use);
11 CFR 113.1(g)(2).

Question 3

May the Committee use cash-on-hand to repay debts owed to:
(a) LaSalle Bank, N.A. for obligations incurred in the 1998 primary and
general elections?
(b) Senator Fitzgerald for loans he made to the Committee with respect to the
1998 primary and general elections?
(c) Non-bank, non-candidate creditors for obligations incurred in the 1998
primary and general elections, and for which the amount of debt is
disputed?
(d) Non-bank, non-candidate creditors for obligations incurred for the 2004
primary?

Some or all of the Committee’s $526,000 cash-on-hand may be used to repay debts
the committee owes to a) LaSalle Bank, N.A. for loans incurred in the 1998 primary and
general election; b) Senator Fitzgerald for loans he made to the Committee in connection
with the 1998 elections; c) non-bank, non-candidate creditors for disputed debts incurred in
connection with the 1998 elections; and d) expenses incurred for the 2004 primary
election. Repayment of debts listed in a), b), c) and d) is a permissible use of the cash-on-
hand since the cash-on-hand consists of contributions lawfully made for the 2004 primary
election for which Senator Fitzgerald was a candidate and debt repayment is an authorized expenditure in connection with Senator Fitzgerald's campaign for Federal office. See 2 U.S.C. 431(9)(A); 11 CFR 100.111; Advisory Opinion 1989-22.

The Commission notes that when a political committee has a significant amount of debt, use of cash-on-hand for purposes other than debt repayment may affect the committee's future ability to terminate and to go through the debt settlement process. The Commission also notes that bank loans and lines of credit are not subject to debt settlement or forgiveness because bank loan debt settlement may result in prohibited contributions from banks, except in certain rare situations not relevant here. See Explanation & Justification: Debts Owed by Candidates and Political Committees, 55 Fed. Reg. 26378, 26384 (June 27, 1990); Explanation & Justification: Loans from Lending Institutions to Candidates and Political Committees, 56 Fed. Reg. 67118, 67121 (Dec. 27, 1991).

Question 4

May the Committee use cash-on-hand to refund contributions made for the 2004 primary?

Under the Act, authorized expenditures in connection with a campaign for Federal office is a permissible use of campaign funds. 2 U.S.C. 439a(a)(1). Refunding contributions to contributors who made them is an authorized expenditure made explicitly lawful in the Commission's regulations requiring refunds. See e.g. 11 CFR 103.3 and 102.9(e)(3). Therefore, using the cash-on-hand to make refunds to contributors of

* The Commission treats lines of credit from lending institutions in the same manner as bank loans. See Explanation & Justification: Loans from Lending Institutions to Candidates and Political Committees, 56 Fed. Reg. 67115, 67119 (Dec. 27, 1991);
contributions designated for or attributed to the 2004 primary is permissible. Further, Commission regulations require refunding, or redesignation, of all contributions made for a general election in which the candidate to whom the contributions were made does not qualify as a candidate, as you state you have already done with respect to the 2004 general election, since Senator Fitzgerald is not running for re-election and will not qualify as a candidate in that election. 11 CFR 102.9(e)(3) and 110.1(b)(3); see also Advisory Opinion 2003-18.

**Question 5**

*Do the Commission's post-Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act ("BCRA") regulations at 11 CFR 116.11 pertaining to repayment of personal loans from the candidate apply to the retirement of the Committee's debt owed to Senator Fitzgerald incurred in the pre-BCRA 1998 primary and general elections?*

The Commission's post-BCRA regulations at 11 CFR 116.11 pertaining to repayment of certain personal loans from the candidate do not apply to the retirement of the Committee's debt owed to Senator Fitzgerald incurred in connection with a pre-BCRA elections. BCRA added section 441a(j) to the Act, which limits the amount of a candidate's personal loan that may be repaid with contributions to the candidate or the candidate's authorized committee where the contributions were made after the election. The Commission in promulgating new section 116.11 noted that 2 U.S.C. 441a(j) specifically states that it applies only to personal loans from candidates that are made after November 6, 2002 and "[t]hus, the limitations on repayment of personal loans from contributions made after the respective election do not apply to personal loans made before..."
this date." Explanation & Justification: Increased Contribution and Coordinated Party
Expenditure Limits for Candidates Opposing Self-Financed Candidates; Interim Final
general election debt to Senator Fitzgerald was incurred May 12, 1997 and April 16, 1998,
before November 6, 2002, and therefore new 11 CFR 116.11 does not apply here. The
Committee is not limited in the amount of its debt owed to Senator Fitzgerald that it may
repay with 2004 primary contributions, even those contributions received after November
6, 2002.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act
and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request.
See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the
facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion
presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as
support for its proposed activity.

The Commission notes that this advisory opinion analyzes the Act, as amended by
the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, and Commission regulations, including
those promulgated to implement the BCRA amendments, as they pertain to your proposed
activities. On May 2, 2003, a three-judge panel of the United States District Court for the
District of Columbia ruled that a number of BCRA provisions are unconstitutional and
issued an order enjoining the enforcement, execution, or other application of those
provisions. McConnell v. FEC, 251 F.Supp. 2d 176 (D.D.C. 2003); prob. juris. noted, 123
S.Ct. 2268 (U.S. argued Sept. 8, 2003). Subsequently, the district court stayed its order
and injunction in *McConnell v. FEC*, 253 F. Supp. 2d 18 (D.D.C. 2003), pending review by the Supreme Court. The Commission has determined that your request for advice is not affected by *McConnell v. FEC* because the provisions of the Act underlying this advisory opinion are not challenged in that litigation.

Sincerely,

Ellen L. Weintraub

Chair

Enclosures (AOs 2003-18, 1997-1, 1996-9, 1989-22)