

July 17, 2003

**NOTICE AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES**

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-18 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Senator Bob Smith, on behalf of the American Patriot Foundation, Inc. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-18 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday July 24, 2003.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

- 1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.
- 2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EDT) on July 23, 2003.
- 3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.
- 4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

**CONTACTS**

Press inquiries:                   Ron Harris      (202) 694-1220

Acting Commission Secretary:    Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2003-18 contact Public Records Office-Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact  
Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

**ADDRESSES**

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary  
Federal Election Commission  
999 E Street NW  
Washington, DC 20463



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20463

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION  
SECRETARIAT

2003 JUL 17 P 3:15

July 17, 2003

**AGENDA ITEM**  
For Meeting of: 07-24-03

**MEMORANDUM**

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkon *JAP*  
Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton *JLN*  
General Counsel

James A. Kahl  
Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith *RCS*  
Acting Associate General Counsel

John C. Vergelli *JCV* *JL*  
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Russell Whitaker *RW*  
Staff Attorney

Subject: Draft AO 2003-18

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for July 24, 2003.

Attachment

1 ADVISORY OPINION 2003-18  
2

3 The Honorable Bob Smith  
4 President  
5 American Patriot Foundation, Inc.  
6 404 D Street, N.E.  
7 Washington, DC 20002

8  
9 Dear Senator Smith:

10  
11 This responds to your letters dated May 16 and June 10, 2003, requesting an  
12 advisory opinion on behalf of Bob Smith for U.S. Senate, concerning the application of  
13 the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission  
14 regulations to the proposed transfer of funds contributed for the general election to the  
15 American Patriot Foundation ("APF"), which is a public charitable foundation that you  
16 recently established.

17 You were a candidate for the United States Senate from New Hampshire in 2002,  
18 and were defeated in the Republican primary held on September 10, 2002. Bob Smith for  
19 U.S. Senate ("the Committee") was your principal campaign committee. Before the  
20 primary election, the Committee accepted contributions for both the primary and general  
21 elections.

22 You indicate that, after the September 10, 2002 primary election, you wrote to all  
23 the general election contributors and inquired as to their desires with respect to  
24 contributions they had designated in writing for the general election. You specifically  
25 offered them an opportunity to request a refund. Refund checks were subsequently sent  
26 to every contributor (both individuals and PACs) who requested a refund or failed to  
27 respond to your letter. Each refund check bore the restriction that it must be cashed  
28 within 90 days of issuance. To date, roughly \$60,000 in refund checks, which are no

DRAFT

1 longer valid instruments due to the date restriction, have not been cashed. You ask  
2 whether the remaining cash on hand from these contributions designated for the general  
3 election may be donated to help establish your charitable foundation, APF.

4 APF was incorporated in the District of Columbia as a non-profit corporation on  
5 March 10, 2003, and subsequently filed Form 1023 with the Internal Revenue Service  
6 ("IRS") for recognition as a tax-exempt organization under 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3) on March  
7 18, 2003. The articles of incorporation state that APF is a "non-partisan, non-profit  
8 national foundation with the primary function of educating the American public as to the  
9 importance and relevance in today's society of' the U.S. Constitution, the Declaration of  
10 Independence, the Federalist Papers and other founding documents. APF will strive to  
11 accomplish these goals through educating and advocating the importance of patriotism to  
12 the public. You state that neither your family members nor you will be compensated by  
13 APF. At some point, APF may employ one or more of your former official staff  
14 members, but this will not occur until all the funds donated from the Committee's general  
15 election account have been lawfully expended.

16 You state that, if the IRS does not accord APF recognition as a section 501(c)(3)  
17 organization, the Committee would donate the general election campaign funds to some  
18 other charity that is described in 26 U.S.C. 170(c). You state that the Committee has  
19 already donated leftover funds from its primary election account to APF.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> This advisory opinion addresses only the narrow question presented in your request, which is whether the Committee may donate the funds from those general election receipts that remain in the campaign's bank account because checks refunded to contributors were never cashed. This advisory opinion does not address issues pertaining to the options offered to the contributors in your post-primary letters to them (e.g., redesignation), other ways in which the Committee may already have expended funds, or whether refunds were sent within the appropriate time frame. See 11 CFR 110.1(b), 110.2(b), and 103.3(b)(3).

1   **Analysis and Conclusions**

2           A candidate may accept contributions for the general election prior to the primary  
3        election if the contributions are specifically designated by the contributor for the general  
4        election. *See* 11 CFR 102.9(e), 11 CFR 110.1(b)(2)(i) and 11 CFR 110.2(b)(2)(i).<sup>2</sup>  
5        However, upon receiving such contributions, a candidate's authorized committee must  
6        employ an acceptable accounting method to distinguish between contributions designated  
7        for the primary and for the general election, respectively. 11 CFR 102.9(e)(1).

8           If a candidate properly receives and handles contributions designated for the  
9        general election, yet does not become a candidate in the general election, the disposition  
10       of these general election contributions is expressly limited by Commission regulations. A  
11       candidate who fails to qualify for the general election must either refund all such  
12       contributions, or obtain redesignations of those contributions in accordance with 11 CFR  
13       110.1(b)(5), and 110.2(b)(5),<sup>3</sup> or a combination or reattributions and redesignations of the  
14       contributions in accordance with 11 CFR 110.1(k)(3). 11 CFR 102.9(e)(3),  
15       110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i); *see also* 11 CFR 103.3(b)(3). Because you were  
16       defeated in the primary election, you were never a candidate for the general election, and  
17       consequently no separate contribution limit with respect to the general election was

---

<sup>2</sup> The regulation on which this analysis is based was amended to implement BCRA, and for other purposes, but those amendments do not change the analysis applicable to your situation.

<sup>3</sup> In this case, the Committee may not redesignate funds specifically designated for the general election. The time limit for the redesignation or refund of contributions is 60 days from the date the funds become impermissible. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(3)(i), 110.1(b)(5), 110.2(b)(5), and 103.3(b)(3). Here, the general election funds became impermissible for use as campaign funds as of the date of the primary election, which was September 10, 2002. Thus, the last day to redesignate or refund the contributions was November 9, 2002. *See* Advisory Opinion 1992-15.

1 available to contributors. See 11 CFR 110.1(b) and 110.2(b).<sup>4</sup> Thus, the contributions  
2 received during the primary election period that were specifically designated for the  
3 general election must not be treated as permissible campaign funds, and such funds are  
4 not usable in accordance with 2 U.S.C. 439a and 11 CFR Part 113.<sup>5</sup> See Advisory  
5 Opinions 1988-41, 1986-17 and 1980-122. The funds comprised of contributions  
6 designated for the general election may not be donated to APF because such use is not  
7 among the uses permitted in 11 CFR 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i).

8 The Act and Commission regulations do not specifically address the situation  
9 where an attempt to refund contributions proves unsuccessful. However, in analogous  
10 circumstances the regulations require disgorgement to the United States Treasury.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> Advisory Opinion 1997-1, which you cited for the proposition that the general election funds may be donated to APF, is inapposite. Unlike you, the candidate in Advisory Opinion 1997-1 did not propose to use funds raised for an election in which he was not a candidate.

<sup>5</sup> 2 U.S.C. 439a(a)(3) and 11 CFR 113.2(b) specifically permit a candidate to transfer funds to any organization described in section 170(c) of Title 26, of the United States Code, which defines "charitable contributions" to include 501(c)(3) organizations. However, these provisions are not applicable in this situation because both 2 U.S.C. 439a and 11 CFR Part 113 are conditioned upon the permissibility of the contributions received by the committee.

<sup>6</sup> This conclusion conforms to the policy underlying regulations controlling the disposition of national party committee non-federal funds, including office building account funds, under the Bipartisan Campaign Finance Reform Act ("BCRA"). 11 CFR 300.12(c) and (d) require national party committees to disgorge all impermissible non-federal funds remaining on February 28, 2003, meaning those resulting from uncashed refund checks, to the U.S. Treasury. The Commission expressed concern that allowing the now-impermissible non-federal funds to be directed to 501(c)(3) organizations, which might use the funds for Federal election activity, would undermine the law. "Prohibited or Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money; Final Rule" 67 Fed. Reg. 49091-49092 (July 29, 2002). Moreover, the conclusion in this advisory opinion is consistent with the provisions in Commission regulations for stale-dated, no longer cashable, checks issued by the authorized committees of publicly funded presidential candidates in the primary or general election and by publicly funded national party convention committees. 11 CFR 9007.6, 9008.16, and 9038.6. These regulations provide that the committees must submit a check for the total amount of such outstanding checks, payable to the U.S. Treasury. Frequently, such stale dated checks are contribution refunds.

In *Fireman v. United States*, 44 Fed.Cl. 528 (1999), the court addressed a claim from a contributor to a principal campaign committee whose unlawful corporate contribution was disgorged by the committee to the U.S. Treasury rather than refunded to the contributor. The court did not defer to the Commission's conclusion in Advisory Opinion 1996-5 that allowed a committee to disgorge unlawful contributions instead of making a refund within a specified time after discovering the illegality, as required in 11 CFR 103.3(b). 44 Fed.Cl., at 538-539. Your situation is materially different, however, because the Committee has already

1 Therefore, the Committee must deliver to the Commission a check in the full amount of  
2 the designated contributions payable to the Treasury of the United States.

3 The Committee's payment must be made within 30 days of the receipt of this  
4 advisory opinion. In addition, the Committee must fully disclose the payment as a  
5 disbursement on its appropriate FEC report. 2 U.S.C. 434(b)(6)(A), 11 CFR  
6 104.3(b)(4)(vi).

7 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the  
8 Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your  
9 request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any  
10 of the facts or assumptions presented and such facts or assumptions are material to a  
11 conclusion presented in this opinion, then the requester may not rely on that conclusion as  
12 support for its proposed activity.

13

14

**Sincerely,**

15

16

Ellen L. Weintraub

Chair

19

20

Enclosures (AOs 1997-1, 1996-5, 1992-15, 1988-41, 1986-17, and 1980-122).

21

21

21

21

unsuccessfully attempted to refund the contributions. Thus, the conclusion in *Fireman* does not undermine the conclusion herein.