NOTICE AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-07 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Regina G. Cordle on behalf of Virginia Highlands Advancement Fund. The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-07 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday May 15, 2003.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

- 1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.
- 2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EDT) on May 14, 2003.
- 3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case by case basis in special circumstances.
- 4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

CONTACTS

Press inquiries: Ron Harris (202) 694-1220

Acting Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copy of draft AO 2003-07 contact Public Records Office-Public Disclosure Division (202) 694-1120, or 800-424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedure contact Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, (202) 694-1650.

ADDRESSES

Submit single copy of written comments to:

Commission Secretary
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20463





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20463

2003 HAY -8 P 2: 29

May 8, 2003

AGENDA ITEM

for Meeting of: 5-15-03

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO:

The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkon

Staff Director

FROM:

Lawrence H. Norton

General Counsel

James Kahl

Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith

Acting Associate General Counsel

John C. Vergelli

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Cheryl A. F. Hemsley

Attorney

SUBJECT:

Draft AO 2003-7

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for May 15, 2003.

Attachment

ADVISORY OPINION 2003-7 1 2 3 Ms. Regina Cordle DRAFT 4 Treasurer 5 Virginia Highlands Advancement Fund Post Office Box 1176 6 7 Damascus, VA 242236 8 9 Dear Ms. Cordle: 10 This responds to your letter dated December 19, 2002, as supplemented by your letters of 11 January 21, 2003, and March 11, 2003, requesting an advisory opinion concerning the 12 application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and 13 Commission regulations, to the accounting method Virginia Highlands Advancement Fund 14 ("VHAF") seeks to use when returning non-Federal funds to its donors. 15 Background. VHAF is a political organization qualified under 26 U.S.C 527. VHAF's registration 16 17 statement with the IRS sets forth its purpose as being "to support state and local Democratic 18 political candidates." VHAF is a state political organization registered in Virginia. It is not a 19 Federal political committee and is not registered with the Commission.

20

21

22

23

24

25

You state that VHAF is "administered" and "supervised" by a Member of the U.S. House of Representatives. You also state that VHAF has never raised funds for the purpose of influencing a Federal election, and is not affiliated with or otherwise connected with a Federal political committee or political party. VHAF raised funds outside the limits and prohibitions of the Act, but in compliance with Virginia state law ("non-Federal funds"). You state that VHAF spent all of its funds prior to the November 6, 2002, effective date of the Bipartisan Campaign

- Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"). VHAF intends to close its account and terminate as a political organization.
- In 2002, VHAF paid a late filing penalty to the IRS. The IRS, however, unexpectedly
 abated this penalty, and issued a refund check for \$690.10 in December of 2002, after VHAF had
 already disposed of all of its funds but before it terminated as a political organization.
 - In 2002, VHAF had two donors, one major donor that was a corporation, and one minor donor who was an individual. You state that VHAF would like to use a *pro rata* accounting method to return to the two donors proportionate amounts of the \$690.10 refund.

10 Ouestion Presented

May VHAF dispose of the IRS refund it involuntarily received by making *pro rata* refunds to its donors based on the ratio of their donations to total donations in 2002?

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

Your request states that a Member of Congress "administered" and "supervised" VHAF.

The Commission understands this to mean that you have concluded that the Member of Congress either (a) "directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or controlled" VHAF; or (b) that the Member received, directed, spent, or disbursed the refunded tax penalty. For purposes of this opinion only, the Commission accepts one or both of these conclusions, and thus concludes that 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) applies to the *pro rata* refunds to VHAF donors. Similarly, your request considers all donations received by VHAF to be non-Federal funds. For the purposes of this opinion, the Commission accepts this assumption as well. Thus, any refunds from the IRS

¹ Public Law 107-155, 116 Stat. 81 (Mar. 27, 2002).

derived from penalties paid with non-Federal funds from non-Federal donations received must also be considered non-Federal funds.

Under the Act as amended by BCRA, an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or controlled by a Federal officeholder may raise and spend funds in connection with State and local elections, but only in amounts and from sources that are consistent with State law, and that do not exceed the Act's contribution limits and that do not come from prohibited sources under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.60(d); 11 CFR 300.62. Similarly, Federal officeholders may not receive, direct, spend, or disburse funds in connection with a State or local election if the funds are in excess of the Act's contribution limits or from sources prohibited by the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62. The Commission understands that VHAF attempted to dispose of all funds by BCRA's November 6, 2002, effective date in order to terminate as a State political organization.

The Act, as amended by BCRA, does not expressly address VHAF's situation of having disposed of non-Federal funds prior to November 6, 2002, only to receive an unexpected and unsolicited refund of some of those funds after that date. In responding to your question, the Commission draws an analogy to the transition period during which national committees of political parties were required to disgorge all non-Federal funds (November 6 to December 31, 2002), and to the particular methods of disgorgement required for national political party committees. 11 CFR 300.12(c). Since refunding monies to donors was one of the permitted means of disgorgement for national party committees, the Commission concludes that in

1	VHAF's unusual situation that is described above, it may dispose of the non-Federal funds
2	involuntarily received by making refunds to VHAF donors. ²
3	With regard to whether pro rata refunds to donors are appropriate under these unusual
4	circumstances, the Commission notes that in the past it recognized a pro rata refund of
5	contributions by a federal political committee as a lawful use of excess funds. See, e.g., AO
6	1980-30. Thus, VHAF may return pro rata portions of its unexpected non-Federal funds to its
7	two donors from 2002.
8	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and
9	Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2
10	U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or
11	assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in
12	this opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed
13	activity.
14	
15	Sincerely,
16	
17 18	Ellen L. Weintraub
19	. Chair
20	

21

Enclosure: (AO 1980-30)

² You do not inquire into, and the Commission does not address, other possible uses for the IRS refund.