

LAW OFFICES
**SONOSKY, CHAMBERS, SACHSE,
 ENDRESON & PERRY, LLP**
 1250 EYE STREET, N.W., SUITE 1000
 WASHINGTON, DC 20005
 (202) 682-0240
 FACSIMILE (202) 682-0249

MARVIN J. SONOSKY (1909-1997)
 HARRY R. SACHSE
 REID PEYTON CHAMBERS
 WILLIAM R. PERRY
 LLOYD BENTON MILLER
 DOUGLAS B.L. ENDRESON
 DONALD J. SIMON
 MYRA M. MUNSON (AK)*
 ANNE D. NOTO
 MARY J. PAVEL
 DAVID C. MIELKE
 JAMES E. GLAZE
 GARY F. BROWNELL (NM)*
 COLIN C. HAMPSON

April 22, 2003

NACOLE D. HESLEP (AK)*
 JAMES T. MEGGESTO
 ANGELINA Y. OKUDA-JACOBS
 MARISSA K. FLANNERY (AK)*
 MELANIE B. OSBORNE (AK)*
 MICHALYN STEELE

OF COUNSEL
 ARTHUR LAZARUS, JR., P.C.
 ROGER W. DUBROCK (AK)*
 KAY E. MAASSEN GOUWENS (AK)*
 MATTHEW S. JAFFE
 MARTA HOLLMAN
 DOUGLAS WOOD (ND)*
 R. SCOTT TAYLOR (SD)

RECEIVED
 FEDERAL ELECTION
 COMMISSION
 OFFICE OF GENERAL
 COUNSEL
 APR 22 A 10:44
 *NOT ADMITTED

By Facsimile

Mary Dove
 Acting Secretary
 Federal Election Commission
 999 E Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20463

Re: **Comment on AOR 2003-3**

Dear Ms. Dove:

I am writing on behalf of Common Cause and Democracy 21 to comment on the General Counsel's proposed draft of Advisory Opinion 2003-3.

This advisory opinion poses a number of questions regarding the application of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1), a provision of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA) governing the permissible solicitations that can be made by a Federal officeholder or his agents on behalf of non-Federal candidates. The AOR seeks guidance on behalf of a Federal officeholder who wishes to make solicitations for non-Federal candidates in the Commonwealth of Virginia, which permits contributions to state candidates from sources and in amounts not allowed by Federal law.

As you know, we strongly disagree with the definition of "to solicit" which was adopted by the Commission in its soft money rulemaking last summer, and which is accordingly now applied by the General Counsel in his draft opinion. Notwithstanding that overarching problem, we do agree with many of the specific interpretations set forth in the draft. In particular, we agree:

Mary Dover, Acting Secretary
April 22, 2003
Page 2


- that a Federal officeholder can solicit funds for a non-Federal candidate only from sources and in amounts permissible under Federal law.
- that a Federal officeholder cannot make a "general" solicitation of funds, but must expressly qualify any solicitation for a state candidate as limited by the Federal source prohibitions and contribution limits.
- that a Federal officeholder is not in violation of section 441i(e)(1) if, in response to a proper solicitation by the officeholder, the donor makes a contribution to the state candidate that does not comply with the Federal rules.
- that it constitutes a solicitation by a Federal officeholder to allow his name to be used in connection with an invitation for, or in publicity for, a state candidate fundraiser, including as part of "host committee," where the invitation or publicity asks for donations to the candidate. Given this, a Federal officeholder's name can be used only if the invitation or publicity is expressly limited to seeking federally permissible funds.
- that any person asked by a Federal officeholder to raise money for a state candidate is operating as an "agent" of the Federal officeholder and is under the provisions of section 441i(e)(1).

As noted above, we strongly disagree with the definition adopted by the Commission as part of the Title I rulemaking last summer that restricts the term "to solicit" to mean only "to ask." 11 C.F.R. 300.2(m).

That definition was adopted after the Commission rejected a definition proposed by the General Counsel that would have defined the term "solicit" to mean "to request or suggest or recommend." See 67 Fed.Reg. 35654, 35681 (May 20, 2002). The General Counsel's proposed definition of the term would have correctly implemented the language and intent of BCRA, while the definition adopted by the Commission is contrary to law, and is currently being challenged in court. *Shays v. FEC*, C.A. 02-1984 (CCK)(DDC). We support this challenge.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments.

Sincerely,



Donald J. Simon

cc: Lawrence Norton, Esquire