MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission
THROUGH: John C. Surina
          Staff Director
FROM: Lawrence M. Noble
       General Counsel
       N. Bradley Litchfield
       Associate General Counsel
       Michael G. Marinelli
       Staff Attorney
SUBJECT: Draft AO 1997-22

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for November 13, 1997.

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 11-13-97

Attachment
Dear Mr. O’Connor:

This refers to your letter dated September 25, 1997 which requests advice concerning application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to a proposal by the Business Council of Alabama ("BCA") to send communications and endorsement materials to its members. These BCA communications would endorse Federal candidates and solicit contributions for their campaigns.

You state that BCA is a nonprofit corporation organized under the Alabama Nonprofit Corporation Act, and is designated a section 501(c)(6) organization under Title 26 of the U.S. Code.¹ Your request includes BCA’s By-laws, its governing document.

BCA MEMBERSHIP STRUCTURE

The membership of BCA consists of individuals, firms, partnerships, organizations, and corporations. Your request states that BCA has approximately 5,200 members at the present

¹ You state that BCA was established in 1985 with the consolidation of the Alabama Chamber of Commerce and Associated Industries of Alabama, to represent Alabama business and industries at the State and Federal levels. The purpose of BCA, according to its bylaws, is to promote the general welfare of Alabama and its citizens by advancing the educational, civic, social, commercial and economic interests of the State. Its efforts involve encouraging the development and retention of industry, agriculture, commerce and recreational activities; promotion of good employer-employee relations; and closer relationships among agriculture, education, business and industry. See BCA Bylaws, Article II, Section 2.1.
time. BCA's membership includes more than 4,000 corporations, 300 professional organizations, 70 chambers of commerce, 30 business or trade associations and 20 individuals. Membership in BCA is open to individuals, firms, organizations, and corporations interested in the objectives of the Association. BCA Bylaws, Article III, Section 3.1.

BCA's PROPOSED COMMUNICATIONS

You state that BCA proposes to engage in a program whereby it would evaluate Federal candidates and their positions regarding matters of concern to BCA members. After selecting Federal candidates to endorse or oppose, BCA would communicate with all of its membership to express its support or opposition to these candidates and urge members to do likewise. In addition, BCA would request that its non-corporate members, or the separate segregated funds of its corporate members, make contributions directly to the campaigns of certain candidates. You state that, for both corporate and non-corporate members, BCA would contact the individual persons through whom BCA normally communicates with the corporate member or non-corporate member. You further explain that BCA members normally designate one or several “contact persons” to receive BCA communications, attend meetings, serve on committees, and so

---

2 You state that BCA’s membership records do not provide information on whether members such as professional organizations, chambers of commerce, and business and trade associations are incorporated.

3 You explain that BCA maintains a non-Federal political committee (“ProgressPAC”) which makes campaign contributions to State and local candidates. ProgressPAC has never made contributions to Federal candidates, nor has it endorsed any Federal candidates. You affirm that, at the present time, BCA has no connection with any Federal separate segregated fund. BCA would provide information regarding how to make contributions to candidates. This would include providing the names and addresses of the candidates’ principal campaign committees. You affirm that BCA would not provide the means to actually make such contributions (such as the envelopes addressed to candidates) or otherwise facilitate the making of contributions. BCA would also not receive or forward contributions to the candidates. The communications containing its candidate endorsements would be produced at BCA expense, and would constitute the views of BCA, rather than a distribution or reproduction of materials prepared by any candidate or other entity.
forth. You state that BCA communicates with its 5,200 members through 6,300 such “contact persons.”

In addition, BCA would request that its corporate members forward these communications to their respective restricted classes. You explain that, while members would not be obligated to comply with BCA’s request, BCA anticipates many members will cooperate. Thus, for example, BCA’s corporate members would provide BCA’s candidate endorsements to their stockholders, many of whom may be employees of such corporations. Similarly, BCA’s organization and association members would provide BCA’s candidate endorsements to their own members.

You state that your question is twofold: First, may BCA communicate its candidate endorsements and encourage individual contributions to the endorsed candidate by means of communications with the “contact person” of its association and organization members through whom BCA normally communicates? Second, may BCA request that its members, particularly corporations and associations, forward the foregoing BCA communications to their restricted classes?

**ACT AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS**

The Act prohibits corporations from making any contribution or expenditure in connection with Federal elections. 2 U.S.C. §441b. Contributions include direct or indirect payments or gifts of money or any services, or anything of value, to any candidate for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. §441b(2); 11 CFR 114.1(a)(1). This general prohibition also has an exception that allows a corporation, including an incorporated membership organization, to
communicate with its “restricted class,” its stockholders, executive and administrative personnel and their families on "any subject," including messages containing express advocacy of the election or defeat of Federal candidates. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(2)(A) and 11 CFR 114.1(j). For purposes of these communications, the restricted class of an incorporated membership organization also includes its membership. *Id.*

Corporations, including incorporated membership organizations, may not facilitate the making of contributions to Federal candidates or political committees. 11 CFR 114.2(f).

Corporate communications under section 114.3 may solicit or suggest that the individual member make a contribution to a particular candidate so long as the corporation limits its activity to communication only and does not actually facilitate the making of the member's contribution to the candidate nor act as a conduit. Examples of prohibited facilitation include providing materials for the purpose of transmitting or delivering contributions, such as stamps, envelopes addressed to a candidate or political committee (other than the corporation’s own separate segregated fund), or providing similar items which would assist in transmitting or delivering contributions. 11 CFR 114.2(f)(2)(ii). Facilitation does not include providing the address of the candidate or political committee. *Id.* It also does not include soliciting contributions to be sent directly to candidates, if the solicitation is directed only to the restricted class. 11 CFR 114.2(f)(4)(ii). See Advisory Opinions 1996-1 and 1987-29.

Under Commission regulations, a membership organization must possess certain attributes. It must (i) expressly provide for "members" in its articles and bylaws; (ii) expressly solicit members; and (iii) expressly acknowledge the acceptance of membership, such as by
sending a membership card or inclusion on a membership newsletter list. 11 CFR 100.8(b)(4)(iv)(A), 114.1(e)(1).

On the question of what constitutes membership for purposes of the Act, the Supreme Court has suggested that members of non-stock corporations are to be defined, at least in part, by analogy to stockholders of business corporations and members of labor unions. See FEC v. National Right to Work Committee, 459 U.S. 197, 202 (1982), see also Chamber of Commerce v. FEC, 69 F. 3rd 600 (D.C. Cir. 1995); petition for rehearing denied, 76 F. 3d 1234 (1996).

DETERMINING MEMBERSHIP OF BCA

In Advisory Opinion 1996-21, the Commission, after examining BCA's bylaws, concluded that BCA is a membership association for purposes of the Act. Furthermore, the Commission concluded that the entire membership of BCA would be considered "members" for purposes of the Act and Commission regulations. A review of BCA's most recent submission

---

4 In 1993, the Commission revised its membership regulations to further define the term "members." However, the court in Chamber determined that portions of those regulations were invalid, concluding that they defined the term "member" in an unduly restrictive fashion. See Chamber at 604. (There were not four votes at the Commission to seek further judicial review of this decision.) The regulation at 11 CFR 114.1(e)(2), now invalid in the District of Columbia Circuit, had defined "member" to mean:

all persons who are currently satisfying the requirements for membership in a membership association, who affirmatively accept the membership association's invitation to become a member, and who:

(i) Have some significant financial attachment to the membership association, such as a significant investment or ownership stake (but not merely the payment of dues);

(ii) Are required to pay on a regular basis a specific amount of dues that is predetermined by the association and are entitled to vote directly either for at least one member who has full participatory and voting rights on the highest governing body of the membership association, or for those who select at least one member of those on the highest governing body of the membership association; or

(iii) Are entitled to vote directly for all of those on the highest governing body of the membership association.
indicates that the membership structure and organization of the association are unchanged since Advisory Opinion 1996-21. Therefore, these conclusions retain their validity.

APPLICATION TO BCA COMMUNICATIONS

The Commission notes that your proposal is nearly identical to BCA’s prior candidate endorsement and contribution solicitation program approved by the Commission in Advisory Opinion 1996-21. The prior opinion addressed how a membership association that was not a trade association communicated its candidate endorsements to its corporate membership. The Commission, drawing an analogy to a trade association’s communications to its corporate members, concluded that corporate members could receive BCA’s endorsements through the individuals who would normally represent the corporation to the association and receive its other communications. As noted in Advisory Opinion 1996-21, section 114.7(h) expressly permits BCA, as an incorporated membership organization, to communicate with its members as otherwise permitted by section 114.3. However, the Commission has not identified the personnel of a non-corporate member to whom a covered communication by an incorporated membership association may be directed.

Relevant to your situation are two past opinions dealing with the solicitation of non-corporate members by trade associations for contributions to their separate segregated funds. See Advisory Opinions 1995-27 and 1988-3. While BCA is not a trade association, these opinions

---

5 The Commission concluded that the 1996 proposal, because of the safeguards also present in your current proposal, would not represent a prohibited corporate facilitation of contributions or any conduit or earmarking activity which is prohibited by 2 U.S.C. §441b and 11 CFR 114.2(f). See Advisory Opinion 1996-21.
still offer guidance for your situation.\(^6\) In the most recent of these opinions, Advisory Opinion 1995-27 which considered contribution solicitations by a trade association of real estate trusts, the Commission concluded that solicitations could be directed to the representatives of the member real estate trusts with whom the trade association normally conducts its activities.\(^7\) Therefore, the Commission concludes here that BCA may communicate its candidate endorsements to its non-corporate members by directing those messages to the one or two individuals who normally represent that member to the association. The Commission again notes that, as in Advisory Opinion 1996-21, the number of total representatives from both corporate and non-corporate members indicates that, on the average, there are less than two representatives per member. The numbers of employees of BCA’s non-corporate members who would receive the corporate communication from BCA would therefore be reasonable and consistent with the purposes of section 114.7(h).

The second aspect in your proposal, which differs from that discussed in Advisory Opinion 1996-21, is BCA’s plan to have its organizational members disseminate the BCA endorsements and the related written candidate advocacy materials to the executive and administrative personnel and stockholders (and their families) of both BCA’s corporate members

\(^6\) In Advisory Opinion 1985-37, the Commission determined that a state chamber of commerce did not qualify as a federation of trade associations, in part, because the organization represented all lines of commerce, rather than a similar or allied lines of commerce, as required by 11 CFR 114.8(g)(1). See also Advisory Opinion 1996-21.\(^7\) In that opinion, the Commission also concluded that the trade association could not solicit the beneficial owners and stockholders of the individual non-corporate member trusts or their families, unless these individuals or business entities were themselves members of the trade association. In addition, the trade association could not solicit the executive and administrative classes of the member non-corporate trusts or their families. \textit{Id.} In Advisory Opinion 1988-3, the Commission concluded that the individual marine pilot members of a non-corporate regional association, which belonged to an incorporated national association, could not be solicited by the national association for contributions to its PAC. The individual pilots where not members of the national association.
and its other entity members. Again, a prior opinion Advisory Opinion 1991-24, although it
called a federation of trade associations, is relevant to your proposal. In that opinion, a
federation of trade associations wished to have its member organizations communicate to their
own memberships the candidate endorsements made by the federation, and it proposed to use, as
its contact personnel, the individuals who represented the individual trade association members
to the federation. The Commission noted that this was permissible. However, one aspect of the
Commission’s conclusion was that the federation could “not subsidize the subsequent
communications made [by its members] on the basis of the recommendations” See Advisory

Therefore, BCA may communicate its endorsements to its corporate members and its
other organizational members, and it may further request that these endorsements be passed
along to the qualified members or other (restricted class) personnel of those entities. However,
BCA may not provide the materials for redistribution by its members, that is, bulk copies of its
campaign and candidate related endorsement materials. This would be a form of subsidy which
would transform BCA’s activity into an unlawful distribution of election advocacy
communications to the restricted classes of its corporate members and the personnel of its non-
corporate members. Instead, as in Advisory Opinion 1991-24, BCA may communicate its
candidate endorsements only to its own restricted class and must leave the further distribution of
its election advocacy viewpoints (and the related costs) to the member organizations themselves.®

® The Commission notes that BCA may provide its election advocacy materials at a charge to its members which
may not, however, be so low as to constitute a subsidization of the redistribution by those members to their own
restricted classes. See Advisory Opinion 1997-9 (allowing membership corporation to pay costs of contribution
collection process administered by its membership for the corporate PAC).
Therefore, with this qualification, the Commission concludes that BCA's proposal is otherwise permitted by 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(2)(A) and 11 CFR 114.3. The Commission notes that corporations, including membership organizations, are not permitted to use coercion, such as the threat of financial reprisal, to urge any individual to make a contribution to a candidate or to engage in fundraising activities on behalf of a candidate or political committee. See 11 CFR 114.2(f)(2)(iv). In addition, the Commission emphasizes that any contribution to a Federal candidate that results from this program is limited by the Act, and may only be made by an individual from his or her personal funds, or by another person who is a lawful source of contributions under the Act. See generally, 2 U.S.C. §441a, 441b, 441c, 441e, 441f, and 441g.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. §437f.

Sincerely,

John Warren McGarry
Chairman