



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

CONCURRING OPINION IN ADVISORY OPINION 1982-37

of

COMMISSIONER THOMAS E. HARRIS

I disagree with the majority opinion's interpretation of the Act as applied to the facts of this case because I believe that the financing of litigation which relates to reapportionment decisions falls under the prohibitions of 2 U.S.C. 441b. However I have voted with the majority in order that the law, as applied in earlier advisory opinions involving the funding of reapportionment activities, not be applied differently in this case.