

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24a

Mr. James P. Buchele  
Administrative Assistant to the  
Honorable Martha Keys  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Buchele:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulations may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

3  
1  
C  
1  
C  
1  
F  
L  
C

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24b

Mr. R. L. Phinney, Chairman  
J. J. Pickle Political Trust Fund  
1907 Exposition Boulevard  
Austin, Texas 78703

Dear Mr. Phinney:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

UL

Enclosure [8/25/76 FR reprint]

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Assistant General Counsel

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24c

Honorable Christopher J. Dodd  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Dodd:

By letter of March 30, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. 5439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. 5437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. 5438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

/s/

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Enclosure [8/25/76 FR reprint] Assistant General Counsel



September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24d

Mr. Grant Shimizu  
724 North First Street  
San Jose, California 95112

Dear Mr. Shimizu:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

151

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Enclosure [8/25/76 FR reprint] Assistant General Counsel

7 5 7 4 1 0 3 2 2

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24e

Mr. Harold A. Haddon  
Attorney for Senator Gary Hart  
2878 S. Oakland Circle, East  
Denver, Colorado 30232

Dear Mr. Haddon:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

151

Enclosure  
[8/25/76 FR reprint]

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Assistant General Counsel

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24f

Honorable Strom Thurmond  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Thurmond:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

(51)

Enclosure [8/25/76 FR reprint] N. Bradley Litchfield  
Assistant General Counsel



7 5 7 4 1 0 0 3 2 8

September 21, 1976

AOR 1975-24g

Mr. Thomas B. Wilson, Treasurer  
Dodd for Congress Committee  
c/o Suisman, Shapiro, Wool & Brennan  
1028 Poquonnock Road  
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Dear Mr. Wilson:

By letter of March 31, 1976, the General Counsel of the Commission indicated to you the desirability of temporarily closing our file on your request for an opinion concerning the use of excess campaign funds and the operation of an office account.

On August 3, 1976, the Commission transmitted to the Congress proposed regulations implementing 2 U.S.C. §439a, as well as other provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the proposed office account regulation is enclosed for your convenience. (See Part 113 at page 35955 of the Federal Register reprint.) In view of this proposed regulation and 2 U.S.C. §437f, as modified by the 1976 Amendments, which requires the Commission to state rules of general applicability in the form of proposed regulations rather than through the advisory opinion process, it appears that no further action is needed on your request.

If when the proposed regulation may be prescribed by the Commission in final form under 2 U.S.C. §438(c), you still desire an interpretation of the application of that regulation to your situation, you may of course submit a request for an advisory opinion. Thank you for your continued cooperation and understanding of the circumstances which precluded issuance of an advisory opinion in response to your original request.

Sincerely yours,

151

Enclosure [8/25/76 FR reprint]

N. Bradley Litchfield  
Assistant General Counsel