



COMMITMENT TO SUBMIT TO ADR

ADR 537
ADR 539

I confirm that I read the material describing the Federal Election Commission's (FEC) Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program and request that my case be considered for this program. I agree to the following conditions: *(See addendum attached.)*

1. To engage in the FEC's ADR process;
2. To participate in good faith in negotiations to resolve the case, and, if unsuccessful, to engage in mediation with the aim of achieving a mutually acceptable resolution; and
3. To toll the statute of limitations for any civil enforcement action that the FEC might bring in my case pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(6) for the same number of days my case is being processed in the Commission's ADR Program.

4/14/10

DATE

SIGNATURE

NAME OF RESPONDENT:

ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE:

FACSIMILE:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

Daniel E Mielke

2550 Co Rd II

Rudolph WI 54475

715-344-4104

Daniel E A daniel@danielmielke.com

STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF REPRESENTATIVE/COUNSEL

NAME OF REPRESENTATIVE OR COUNSEL:

FIRM NAME:

ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE:

FACSIMILE:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

THE ABOVE-NAMED INDIVIDUAL IS HEREBY DESIGNATED AS MY REPRESENTATIVE/COUNSEL AND IS AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE ANY NOTIFICATIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FEC AND TO ACT ON MY BEHALF BEFORE THE FEC.

DATE

SIGNATURE

10190290984

4/14/10

ADDENDUM to ADR 537 (MUR 6248) & ADR 539 (PMUR 495)

This addendum is submitted to clear up a possible misunderstanding regarding a statement made by me. (See below)

In the summary of a letter I received from Lynn Fraser it stated.

Citizens for an Open and Honest Government Supporting Dan Mielke for Congress and Robin K. Mielke, Treasurer (Respondents or the Committee) filed a *sua sponte* submission acknowledging that they may have violated the FECA by using the names and addresses of contributors disclosed on the 2009 October Quarterly Report filed by Duffy for Congress to solicit contributions. Respondents contend that they did not realize it was a violation of any law, and do not believe they received any contributions as a result of 30-40 letters sent.

I would like to clear up an apparent misunderstanding in the highlighted portion. We have no way to verify as to where the names and addresses came from. We were unable to verify if they indeed did come from the 2009 October Quarterly Report filed by Duffy for Congress. Therefore we are not acknowledging that as a fact.

Below is the part we submitted to the FEC with our clarification added in blue.

Facts regarding my actions:

- I was unaware of any laws prohibiting me from doing what I did. I still am not sure if what I did was illegal or not.
- I did, in fact, send out some letters to people that I understood had contributed to Sean Duffy's campaign. Note: The fact that I stated that they had previously contributed to his campaign should show I had no idea that doing so was wrong.
- Because I did not know that one could not use the FEC reports to gain addresses of potential supporters, I never verified the source of the names and addresses to see where they came from.

What I was trying to say by this statement is that I have no way of verifying if I violated the law or not, because, I have no way of verifying where those names and addresses came from.

- We do not store or maintain any records of letters/addresses sent out throughout the campaign that did not produce contributions.
- I am estimating that there were about 30-40 letters sent.
- To the best of my knowledge, my campaign received no contributions from that effort.

Sincerely,
Dan Mielke

10190290985