



Federal Election Commission
Washington, DC 20463

July 31, 2007

Barry Arrington, Esq
Arrington & Associates
5310 Ward Road, Suite G-07
Arrada, CO 80002

Re: ADR 404 (MUR 5901)

Dear Mr. Arrington:

On February 23, 2007, the Federal Election Commission ("FEC" or "Commission") notified Musgrave for Congress of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with the notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission has determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and take no further action against the Respondents Musgrave for Congress and Brent Eskew, Treasurer. In its memorandum to the Commission, dated July 23, 2007, this office stated:

Summary: The FECA requires that a committee disclose the identification of all individuals who contribute in excess of \$200 in an election cycle. Identification is defined as the full name, complete mailing address, occupation and name of employer. If unable to provide the identification of contributors, a committee must demonstrate that "best efforts" have been used to obtain the information. To establish "best efforts," a committee must provide the Commission with a detailed description of the three part process for requesting the information pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 104.7(b).

In addition, the FECA requires that if any contribution of \$1,000 or more is received by any authorized committee of a candidate after the 20th day, but more than 48 Hours, before 12:01 am of the day of the election, the principal campaign committee of that candidate shall notify the Commission, the Secretary of the Senate and the Secretary of State, as appropriate, within 48 hours of receipt of the contribution. The notification shall be in writing and shall include the name of the candidate and office sought by the candidate, the identification of the contributor, and the date of receipt and amount of the contribution. The notification shall be

27190272537

filed in accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 100.19. The notification shall be in addition to the reporting of these contributions on the post election report.

The complaint is based on requests for additional information (RFAIs) that the Reports Analysis Division (RAD) sent to Musgrave for Congress and Brent Askew, Treasurer ("Respondents" or the "Committee") about potential deficiencies in their 2006 July Quarterly Report, 2006 October Quarterly Report, 2006 12 Day Pre-General Report, and 2006 30 Day Post General Report. The RFAIs sent by RAD inquired about adequate identification of contributors, and explained what was required of a committee to demonstrate "best efforts." In addition, the complaint alleges that two of the RFAIs noted that Respondents may have failed to file required 48 Hour Notices for five (5) contributions disclosed on the 2006 October Quarterly Report, and one (1) contribution disclosed on the 30 Day Post General Report. The ADR Office notes that the second RFAI actually requested clarification about five (5) contributions also, but the complaint only references one (1).

In response to the RFAIs, as well as the complaint, Respondents provided a detailed description of the Committee's "best efforts" to obtain contributor identification, as instructed to do in the RFAIs. In responding to the inquiries about the omission of ten (10) 48 Hour Notices, Respondents contend that they did fail to file five (5) Notices, as the contributions were overlooked in error. In explanation about the other contributions, Respondents stated that more than one contribution had the incorrect receipt date on the 2006 October Quarterly Report, one contribution was actually made payable to another committee, but mistakenly logged into the Committee's list of contributions (the error was caught and the check was forwarded to the correct committee), and two of the contributions did have 48 Hour Notices filed, but one contribution was reported twice in error (with only one 48 Hour Notice) and one had an incorrect date of receipt. Respondents asserted that new procedures are being implemented to increase efficiency and accuracy in reporting to the Commission.


Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on July 26, 2007.

The FEC is obligated by federal regulations to make a finding to terminate its proceedings public, as well as the basis therefore. 11 C.F.R. § 111.20(b). In addition, the Commission will also place on the record copies of the complaint, correspondence exchanged between Respondents and the Commission, and reports prepared for the Commission by this office to assist in its consideration of this matter. Accordingly, copies of documents relative to this matter will be forwarded shortly to the FEC's Public Information Office.

27190272530

If you have any questions regarding this matter please be in touch. My telephone number is 202-694-1665.

Sincerely,



Lynn M. Fraser, Assistant Director,
Alternative Dispute Resolution Office

27190272539