



Federal Election Commission
Washington, DC 20463

SENSITIVE

MEMORANDUM

February 23, 2007

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: Patrina M. Clark *PM*
Staff Director

FROM: Margarita Maisonet *MM*
Chief Compliance Officer

Deborah Kant *DK*
Director, ADR Office

BY: Lynn M. Fraser *LF*
Assistant Director, ADR Office

SUBJECT: ADR 368 Wynn for Congress and Gregory Holloway, Treasurer,
Recommendation to Assign

On November 30, 2006, the ADR Office received RAD 06L-33 to review and determine its appropriateness for ADR processing. Based on that review, we determined that the case is appropriate for ADR, and recommend that it be assigned to the ADR Office. The ADR Office Recommendation includes an analysis of the case, and a description of the issues that the ADR Office anticipates addressing if the case is assigned to ADR. In addition, the Office of General Counsel reviewed the ADR Recommendation, and concurs in the description of the case.

If the Commission concurs in the recommendation, this case description will be provided to Respondents as part of ADR Office's notification package sent to Respondents.

ADR Case: ADR 368

Source No. RAD 06L-33

Respondents:
Wynn for Congress
Gregory Holloway, Treasurer

Respondents' Rep.:
Gregory Holloway

Complainant: RAD

Committee Name: Wynn for Congress

Date Referral Filed: 11/30/06

Committee Type: Authorized

27190271232

Date Forwarded to ADRO: 11/30/06

District #/or State: MD, 4th C.D.

Election - Won/Lost: General-Won

Election Cycle: 2006

Summary of Complaint: RAD referred Wynn for Congress and Gregory Holloway, Treasurer (“Respondents” or the “Committee”) for failing to disclose all financial activity on their 2006 July Quarterly Report. Respondents filed the original 2006 July Quarterly Report on July 12, 2006, followed by an amended 2006 July Quarterly Report on July 14, 2006. Respondents filed a second amended 2006 July Quarterly Report on July 28, 2006. It is the discrepancy between the first amended report filed on July 14, 2006 and the second amended report filed on July 28, 2006 that is at issue. RAD did not factor in the original 2006 July Quarterly Report due to the fact that the first amended report was filed before the required filing date. The amended 2006 July Quarterly Report filed on July 28, 2006 disclosed \$50,143 in additional receipts. On August 29, 2006, RAD sent a RFAI to the Committee requesting clarification of the increase in receipts.

Respondents’ Reply: In response to the RFAI, Respondents contend that the increase in receipts occurred due to data input errors by a new Committee employee. Respondents found the errors while doing their quality assurance process on the 2006 July Quarterly Report, made the corrections, and immediately filed the amended report.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1. Assign ADR 368/RAD 06L-33 to the ADR Office.**

27190271233