

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 13-5094

September Term, 2013

1:11-cv-00562-RLW

Filed On: February 7, 2014

Libertarian National Committee, Inc.,

Appellant

v.

Federal Election Commission,

Appellee

<b>MANDATE</b>	
<small>Pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. App. Pro. 41(a)</small>	
ISSUED:	4/15/2014
BY:	<i>[Signature]</i>
APPROVED:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuing Order <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order on Costs

**BEFORE:** Tatel, Brown, and Pillard, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion for summary affirmance be granted. The merits of the parties' positions are so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). This court (more specifically, a three-judge panel of this court) has jurisdiction to review the district court's final order to the extent it partially denied the Committee's motion to certify a question to the en banc court. See Wagner v. FEC, 717 F.3d 1007, 1017 (D.C. Cir. 2013). The district court properly declined to certify the broad proposed question of law, as framed by appellant. See California Med. Ass'n v. FEC, 453 U.S. 182, 192 n.14 (1981).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

▲ True copy

*[Signature]*  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the District of Columbia Circuit  
Deputy Clerk