

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 00-5338

September Term, 2000

00cv02138

Filed On: September 29, 2000

[547059]

The Natural Law Party of the United States of America,
et al.,

Appellants

v.

Federal Election Commission,
Appellee

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Tatel, and Garland, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the brief filed by appellants, it is

ORDERED that with respect to the issue presented by appellants for expedited consideration, whether the fifteen percent electoral support requirement is illegal because the safe harbor provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 431 do not apply to corporate contributions and expenditures governed by 2 U.S.C. § 441b, the district court's order filed September 21, 2000, be affirmed. Appellants failed to raise this argument in district court. See District of Columbia v. Air Florida, Inc., 750 F.2d 1077, 1084 (D.C. Cir. 1984). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, to the extent appellants argue that even if the safe harbor provisions apply, the fifteen percent electoral support requirement is illegal because it favors some candidates over others, the district court's order filed September 21, 2000, be affirmed substantially for the reasons stated therein.

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until resolution of the remainder of the appeal.

Per Curiam