



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 583

7804003330



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1. G. C. Report (Withdrawn by OGC), 5/18/78

2. Memo from Garr to Emmons, 5/18/78


3. Memo from Garr to Emmons, 5/19/78

4. Memo from Garr to Emmons, 6/8/78

5. & 6. Routing Slips

The above-described material was removed from this file pursuant to the following exemption provided in the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. Section 552(b):

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> (1) Classified Information | <input type="checkbox"/> (6) Personal privacy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (2) Internal rules and practices | <input type="checkbox"/> (7) Investigatory files |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (3) Exempted by other statute | <input type="checkbox"/> (8) Banking Information |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (4) Trade secrets and commercial or financial information | <input type="checkbox"/> (9) Well Information (geographic or geophysical) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (5) Internal Documents | |

Signed 

Gary W. Christian

date July 5, 1978

FEC 9-21-77

7 9 0 4 0 0 5 5 1 1



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

July 5, 1978

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Re: MUR 583(78)

Dear Ms. Newman:

Based on information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the question of whether the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Committee") violated certain provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Commission considered the question of whether the Committee's expenditures, which according to your letter of February 8, 1978, were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p), should be considered as contributions in kind to Senator Church and therefore subject to the \$1,000 contribution limitation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A).

Since 2 U.S.C. §431(p) and 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) (which defines expenditures made at the suggestion of or consultation with a candidate, his agent, or committee as contributions to that candidate) were not enacted until May 11, 1976, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A). Please be aware, however, that under current law, such expenditures would be considered as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they are made and subject to the contribution limitations of the Act.



A copy of the Commission's certification and the
General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,


William C. Oldaker
General Counsel

Enclosures

7304035543

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Re: MUR 583(78)

Dear Ms. Newman:

Based on information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the question of whether the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Committee") violated certain provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Commission considered the question of whether the Committee's expenditures, which according to your letter of February 8, 1978, were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p), should be considered as contributions in kind to Senator Church and therefore subject to the \$1,000 contribution limitation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A).

Since 2 U.S.C. §431(p) and 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) (which defines expenditures made at the suggestion of or consultation with a candidate, his agent, or committee as contributions to that candidate) were not enacted until May 11, 1976, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A). Please be aware, however, that under current law, such expenditures would be considered as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they are made and subject to the contribution limitations of the Act.

780405544

A copy of the Commission's certification and the General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

W.C.
William C. Oldaker
General Counsel

Enclosures

78040055545

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

5 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID



Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Ave
Sherman Oaks, CA

CERTIFIED
943225

010755



Christian

MUR-583

PS Form 3811, Apr. 1977

● SENDER Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.

1. The following service is requested (check one).

Show to whom and date delivered. c

Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. c

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

Show to whom and date delivered. s

RESTRICTED DELIVERY

Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. \$

(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)

2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO:

Lauretta Newman, Treasurer
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, Calif. 91403

3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION:

REGISTERED NO

CERTIFIED NO

INSURED NO

943225

(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)

I have received the article described above.

SIGNATURE

Addressee

Authorized Agent

4

DATE OF DELIVERY

POSTMARK

5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)

6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:

CLERK'S INITIALS

RETURN RECEIPT REGISTERED INSURED AND CERTIFIED MAIL



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

June 15, 1978

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Re: MUR 583(78)

Dear Ms. Newman:


Based on information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the question of whether the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Committee") violated certain provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Commission considered the question of whether the Committee's expenditures, which according to your letter of February 8, 1978, were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p), should be considered as contributions in kind to Senator Church and therefore subject to the \$1,000 contribution limitation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A).

Due to the decision of the Supreme Court in Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), there was no statutory definition of independent expenditure in force during the period January 30 to May 11, 1976. The Commission therefore found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A). Please be aware, however, that under current law, such expenditures would be considered as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they are made and subject to the contribution limitations of the Act.

7 8 0 4 0 0 1 5 5 5 1 1 3

A copy of the Commission's certification and the General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "William C. Oldaker".

William C. Oldaker
General Counsel

Enclosures

78040165517

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Re: MUR 583(78)

Dear Ms. Newman:

Based on information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the question of whether the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Committee") violated certain provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Commission considered the question of whether the Committee's expenditures, which according to your letter of February 8, 1978, were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p), should be considered as contributions in kind to Senator Church and therefore subject to the \$1,000 contribution limitation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A).

Due to the decision of the Supreme Court in Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), there was no statutory definition of independent expenditure in force during the period January 30 to May 11, 1976. The Commission ~~therefore~~ found no reason to believe that ~~the~~ Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A). Please be aware, however, that under current law, such expenditures would be considered as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they are made and subject to the contribution limitations of the Act.

7804005530

LB
9/13

A copy of the Commission's certification and the General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely yours,

William C. Oldaker
General Counsel

Enclosures

7804075551

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
California Democrats to Draft)
Senator Frank Church)

MUR 583 (78)

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, Secretary to the Federal Election Commission, do hereby certify that on June 13 1978 the Commission approved by a vote of 5-0 the recommendations in the First General Counsel's Report dated June 8, 1978 to take the following actions in the above-captioned matter:

1. Find no reason to believe the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) (then 18 U.S.C. §608(b)) by making expenditures of \$1424.75 in connection with Senator Frank Church's 1976 Presidential campaign.
2. Send the letters attached to the report.

Commissioner Tiernan was not present at the time of the vote.

Date:

6/13/78

Marjorie W. Emmons

Marjorie W. Emmons
Secretary to the Commission

Received in Office of Commission Secretary: 6-8-78, 4:30
Circulated on 48 hour vote basis: 6-9-78, 12:00

7 9 0 1 0 0 5 5 5 5 5

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

DATE AND TIME OF TRANSMITTAL JUN 8 1978
BY OGC TO COMMISSION

MUR NO. 583 (78)
STAFF MEMBER(S) Christian

SOURCE OF MUR: I N T E R N A L L Y G E N E R A T E D

RESPONDENT'S NAME: California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church

RELEVANT STATUTE: 2 U.S.C. §441a

INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: Committee Reports

FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None

GENERATION OF MATTER

On November 23, 1977, the Reports Analysis Division sent a Request for Additional Information (Attachment I) to the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Draft Church Committee"), an unauthorized committee supporting Senator Frank Church for the office of President in the 1976 California Primary Election. The RFAI was prompted by the Committee's having made expenditures on Senator Church's behalf in excess of \$1,000. The Committee's reply (Attachment II) states that its expenditures were not independent expenditures as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p). The matter was subsequently referred to the Office of General Counsel for investigation of a possible violation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) by the Draft Church Committee. (Attachment III)

PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

The Draft Church Committee registered with the Commission on December 1, 1975, as an unauthorized committee supporting Senator Frank Church for President. In a letter to the Commission dated March 26, 1976, the Church for President Committee stated that the Draft Church Committee was not authorized by the candidate. (Attachment IV) Respondent filed a termination report on March 22, 1976, which showed that the Committee's total expenditures from inception to termination were \$1,424.75. All of the Committee's expenditures appear to have been in connection with a hospitality suite maintained at the Los Angeles Convention of the California Democratic Council held in late February 1976.

The Request for Additional Information sent to the Draft Church Committee (Attachment I) questioned the Committee as to whether its expenditures were independent as defined in 2 U.S.C. §431(p). This section was part of the 1976 Amendments to the Act and did not take effect until May 11, 1976, after the period in which the Draft Church Committee made its expenditures.

Under the 1974 Amendments the definition of independent expenditure was contained in 18 U.S.C. §608(e). This section limited expenditures relative to a clearly identified candidate to \$1,000 per person per calendar year but excluded from such independent expenditures "an expenditure made by or on behalf of a candidate within the meaning of subsection (c)(2)(B)." 18 U.S.C. §608(c)(2)(B) provided that "an expenditure is made on behalf of a candidate... if it is made by -- (i) an authorized committee or any other agent of the candidate for the purposes of making any expenditure; or (ii) any person authorized or requested by the candidate, an authorized committee of the candidate, or an agent of the candidate, to make the expenditure." Expenditures which fit this definition were treated as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they were made.

The fact that the Treasurer of the Draft Church Committee stated that the Committee's expenditures were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p) would lead one to suspect that they would come within the definition of 18 U.S.C. §608(c)(2)(B) and therefore should be treated as contributions to Senator Church. Both 18 U.S.C. §608(c) and §608(e) were declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, however, on January 30, 1976, in Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976). Consequently there was no definition of independent expenditure in force during the period January 30 to May 11, 1976.

Since the Draft Church Committee's expenditures did not exceed \$1,000 until February 29, 1976, the Committee terminated prior to the enactment of the 1976 Amendments, and there was no statutory definition of independent expenditure in force at the time, the Committee's expenditures after January 30, 1976, should not be considered as contributions and therefore not subject to the limitations of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) (then 18 U.S.C. §608(b)).

RECOMMENDATION

Find no reason to believe the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A) (then 18 U.S.C. §608(b)) by making expenditures of \$1424.75 in connection with Senator Frank Church's 1976 Presidential campaign. Send attached letter.



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1125 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

NOTICE TO ALL UNAUTHORIZED SINGLE CANDIDATE COMMITTEES

During the course of review of Reports of Receipts and Expenditures, the Commission has noted that numerous committees have failed to fully describe the nature of certain expenditures made on behalf of candidates for Federal office. Please be advised that additional filings may be required to further clarify your committee's financial activities.

Based on your initial Reports filed with the Commission, you indicated that you are an Unauthorized Single Candidate Committee. An unauthorized single candidate committee is a committee that is not authorized IN WRITING by the candidate to conduct financial activities on his/her behalf. (Commission Regulations Section 100.14(b)(2))

Questions arise as to whether expenditures reported by your committee were independent expenditures, contributions-in-kind, or authorized expenditures on behalf of the candidate. An unauthorized single candidate committee has the capacity to make independent expenditures, but the mere absence of written authorization by a candidate does not automatically make that committee's expenditures independent for purposes of the statutory definition of 2 U.S.C. 431(p). An independent expenditure is defined as an expenditure "...for a communication expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate which is not made with the cooperation or with the prior consent of, or in consultation with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate or any agent or authorized committee of such candidate." (Commission Regulations Section 109.1(a))

If an expenditure by an unauthorized single candidate committee does not qualify as an "independent expenditure" it is then treated as a contribution-in-kind to the candidate on whose behalf the expenditure was made. (Commission Regulations Section 109.1(c)) An expenditure made by your committee on behalf of a Presidential candidate receiving public funding would be charged against the candidate's expenditure limitation if he or his agent or any authorized committee requested your committee to make the expenditure. (Commission Regulations Section 110.8(g)) In cases of other Federal candidates, such expenditures would be considered as part of the candidate's total expenditures.

If your committee's expenditures were independent expenditures as described above, please amend your reports, listing the independent expenditures on a nonarized Schedule E, Line 21. If your expenditures did



ATTACHMENT I

not qualify as independent expenditures, then they were contributions-in-kind, and the principal campaign committee of the candidate on whose behalf the expenditures were made, should be informed of its reporting obligations with respect to the contributions-in-kind. Principal campaign committees of Presidential candidates accepting Federal funds should be advised that any expenditures made by unauthorized single candidate committees AT THE REQUEST OR WITH PRIOR CONSENT OF the candidate, authorized committee or agent of such candidate, will be charged against the expenditure limitations of that candidate.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please contact Ron Krouse or Susan Owen, of the Commission's Disclosure Division at (202) 523-4048, or toll free at (800) 424-9530. The Commission urges you to voluntarily comply with the provisions outlined in this Notice.

Enclosures
FEC FORM 3

7 9 0 4 0 0 5 5 5 5

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION

'78 FEB 14 PM 12:47

February 8, 1978

Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Dear Mr. Potter:

This letter is in answer to your letter of January 23, 1978. Mrs. Leslie Devine, Chairperson of the California Democrats to Draft Frank Church, has called your office and spoken with Sharon Snyder.

Our Committee when formed filed with the Los Angeles County Recorders Office and the California Secretary of State.

As per your definition, expenditures were not "independent". Our Committee fulfilled all requirements and responsibilities at that time.

Our reports were filed with the FEC., California Secretary of State and copies were presented, at that time, to authorized representatives of the Frank Church Campaign.

If you have any further questions please feel free to call on me.

Sincerely,

Lauretta Newman
Lauretta Newman

79034015181
79030693181

ATTACHMENT II

REPORTS ANALYSIS REFERRAL SHEET

D # _____

Date April 20, 1978

Analyst Bob Fease *PP*

TO: OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
William C. Oldaker

Team Chief Craig Crooks *CC*

Compliance Review _____

THROUGH: Staff Director *DRP*

FROM: Assistant Staff Director for Reports Analysis *TJH*

DESCRIPTION: Unauthorized single candidate

Candidate/Committee California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church

Treasurer Ma. Lucilla Newman

Address 4502 Vesper Avenue, Sherman Oaks, California 91403

REPORTS: (For reports reviewed, amendments, RFAI's, etc, see indices, Attachment 1)

Period Covered from 1/1/76 to 3/22/76

Total Receipts \$ 1424.75 Total Expenditures \$ 1424.75

Cash on Hand \$ 0.00 Debts \$ 0.00

REASON(S) FOR REFERRAL:

Allegation(s)	Cite	Attachment
<u>Contributions in excess of \$1000</u>	<u>2 U.S.C. 4411(a)(1)(A)</u>	<u>ATT. 2 & ATT. 3</u>
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

HISTORY:

On November 23, 1977 the Committee was sent an RFAI. (Attachment 4). The RFAI requested clarification of its reporting status because of the expenditures on behalf of Church in excess of \$1000 (Attachment 2 - Total receipts and expenditures are shown for the entire life of the committee.). The Treasurer responded that the expenditures were not independent as outlined in the RFAI. The Church for President committee stated on March 26, 1976, that the committee was not authorized by them. A review of all reports and statements indicated on Attachment 1 found no other apparent violations.

7004003333

ATTACHMENT III

EAD File # _____

CHURCH

For President




March 26, 1976

Mr. Gordon Andrew McKay,
Assistant Staff Director for
Disclosure and Compliance,
Federal Election Commission,
1325 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. McKay:

Please be advised that the California Democrats To Draft Senator Frank Church has not been authorized as a campaign committee as required by 2. U.S.C. 432 (e).

Sincerely,



Frank Church

ATTACHMENT **IV**



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1125 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, California 91403

Re: MUR 583(78)

Dear Ms. Newman:

Based on information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the question of whether the California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church ("Committee") violated certain provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Specifically, the Commission considered the question of whether the Committee's expenditures, which according to your letter of February 8, 1978, were not independent as defined by 2 U.S.C. §431(p), should be considered as contributions in kind to Senator Church and therefore subject to the \$1,000 contribution limitation of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A).

Due to the decision of the Supreme Court in Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), there was no statutory definition of independent expenditure in force during the period January 30 to May 11, 1976. The Commission therefore found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A). Please be aware, however, that under current law, such expenditures would be considered as contributions to the candidate on whose behalf they are made and subject to the contribution limitations of the Act.

- 2 -

A copy of the Commission's certification and the General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely yours,

William C. Oldaker
General Counsel

Enclosures

78010753501

Date April 20, 1978

Analyst Bob Pease *BP*

TO: OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
William C. Oldaker

Team Chief Craig Crooks *CC*

Compliance Review _____

THROUGH: Staff Director *JRP*

FROM: Assistant Staff Director for Reports Analysis *TJH*

DESCRIPTION: Unauthorized single candidate

Candidate/Committee California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church

Treasurer Ms. Laretta Newman

Address 4508 Vesper Avenue, Sherman Oaks, California 91403

REPORTS: (For reports reviewed, amendments, RFAI's, etc, see indices, Attachment 1)

Period Covered from 1/1/76 to 3/22/76

Total Receipts \$ 1424.75 Total Expenditures \$ 1424.75

Cash on Hand \$ 0.00 Debts \$ 0.00

REASON(S) FOR REFERRAL:

<u>Allegation(s)</u>	<u>Cite</u>	<u>Attachment</u>
<u>Contributions in excess of \$1000</u>	<u>2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A)</u>	<u>ATT. 2 & ATT. 3</u>
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

HISTORY:

On November 23, 1977 the Committee was sent an RFAI (Attachment 4). The RFAI requested clarification of its reporting status because of the expenditures on behalf of Church in excess of \$1000 (Attachment 2 - Total receipts and expenditures are shown for the entire life of the committee.). The Treasurer responded that the expenditures were not independent as outlined in the RFAI. The Church for President committee stated on March 26, 1976, that the committee was not authorized by them. A review of all reports and statements indicated on Attachment 1 found no other apparent violations.



ATTACHMENT I

SELECTED LIST OF RECEIPTS & EXPENDITURES (G)
SECTION I - INDIVIDUALS

PAGE 229

CONTRIBUTOR/LENDER/TRANSFERER FILE#	STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE ZIP	DATE	ELECTION	AMOUNT
JOHNSON, PAUL H. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3505 #003984 CONTRIBUTION	225 MERRILL ST	BIRMINGHAM	AL 38011	19JUL76	PRIMARY AUGUST MONTHLY	500 AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, PAUL H. (REALL) COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3553 #005467 CONTRIBUTION	225 MERRILL ST.	BIRMINGHAM	AL 38011	29OCT76	PRIMARY SEPTEMBER MONTHLY	575- AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, R.H. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/2163 #00218A CONTRIBUTION	P.O. BOX 1237	AUSTIN	TX 78767	27MAY76	PRIMARY JUNE MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, RICHARD S. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3505 #003988 CONTRIBUTION	7301 S FLAGLER	WEST PALM BEACH	FL 33405	8JUL76	PRIMARY AUGUST MONTHLY	750 AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, TRAVIS C. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3505 #003991 CONTRIBUTION	404 WAYNE	EL PASO	TX 79915	19JUL76	PRIMARY AUGUST MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, WILFRED E. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3506 #003995 CONTRIBUTION	2644 CENTRAL DR	FLOSSMOOR	IL 60422	19JUL76	PRIMARY AUGUST MONTHLY	500 AMENDMENT
JOHNSON, WILLARD H. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3506 #003999 CONTRIBUTION	C/O UNIV ST BK P.O. BOX 4566	HOUSTON	TX 77003	30JUL76	PRIMARY AUGUST MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JOLLEY, EDGAR COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/2660 #000520 CONTRIBUTION	SUITE 300, 1 NORTHGATE PARK	CHATTANOOGA	TN 37415	19JUN76	PRIMARY JULY MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JOLLEY, EDGAR MRS. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/2660 #000522 CONTRIBUTION	SUITE 300, 1 NORTHGATE PARK	CHATTANOOGA	TN 37415	19JUN76	PRIMARY JULY MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JONES, ALFRED W. COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/1502 #00101B CONTRIBUTION	GEN. DEL.	SEA ISLAND	GA 31561	29APR76	PRIMARY MAY MONTHLY	1,000 AMENDMENT
JONES, ALFRED W. (REALL) COMMITTEE FOR JIMMY CARTER (PRINCIPAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FOR PRIMARY ELECTION) 76FEC/039/3553 #00546A CONTRIBUTION	GEN. DEL.	SEA ISLAND	GA 31561	29OCT76	PRIMARY SEPTEMBER MONTHLY	500- AMENDMENT

PRESIDENT

COMMITTEE	DOCUMENT	RECEIPTS		EXPENDITURES		COVERAGE DATES	# OF PAGES	MICROFILM LOCATION
		PRIMARY	GENERAL	PRIMARY	GENERAL			
	CALIFORNIA CANDIDATE TO DRAFT SENATOR FRANK CHURCH							ID# 000031344
	1975 STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION					10DEC75	3	76FEC/002/1298
	1975 STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION - AMENDMENT					10JAN76	3	76FEC/002/1301
	MISCELLANEOUS TRANSACTION FROM F.E.C.					26FEB76	1	76FEC/002/1323
	1976 MISCELLANEOUS TRANSACTION TO F.E.C.					14FEB78	2	78FEC/009/3181
	1975 JANUARY MONTHLY					1DEC75 - 31DEC75	3	76FEC/002/1305
	1976 FEBRUARY MONTHLY					1JAN76 - 31JAN76	2	76FEC/002/1308
	APRIL MONTHLY - TERMINATED					1FEB76 - 22MAR76	7	76FEC/002/1316
	REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					22MAR76	5	77FEC/002/1081

7 9 0 4 0 7 5 3 2 1

Ind for any extra

Report of Receipts and Expenditures for a Candidate or Committee Supporting any Candidate(s) for Nomination or Election to Federal Office

92-13

Note: Committees authorized by a candidate to receive contributions and make expenditures in connection with more than one election must maintain separate records with respect to each election, and file separate reports with respect to each election.

<p>1(a) C00031344 CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATS TO DRAFT SENATOR FRANK CHURCH</p> <p>(b) LAVRETTA NEWMAN (TREA) 4508 VESPER AVE</p> <p>(c) SHERMAN OAKS CA 91403</p>	<p>2 Identification Number C00031344</p> <p>3(a) Is this a report of a candidate or Authorized Candidate Committee? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>(b) If "Yes," for which election? _____ on _____ (General, Primary, Runoff)</p>
---	--

4 T

(a) <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	(c) <input type="checkbox"/> July 10 report	(e) <input type="checkbox"/> January 31 Report
(b) <input type="checkbox"/> April 10 Report	(d) <input type="checkbox"/> October 10 report	(f) <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Monthly Rep.
(h) <input type="checkbox"/> Tenth day report preceding _____ election on _____ in the state of _____ <small>(primary, general or convention) (date)</small>	(g) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Termination NOTE TERMINATION	
(i) <input type="checkbox"/> Thirtieth day report following _____ election on _____ in the state of _____ <small>(primary, general or convention) (date)</small>		

Committee Summary of Receipts and Expenditures

5 Covering Period: From **2/1/76** Through **3/22/76**

Section A - Cash Balance Summary	Column A This Period	Column B Calendar Year To-Date
6 Cash on hand January 1, 19_____		\$ 198.96
7 Cash on hand at beginning of reporting period	\$ 198.96	
8 Add total receipts (from line 19)	\$1,065.00	\$ 1,424.75
(a) Subtotal	\$1,261.96	\$ 1,424.75
9 Subtract total expenditures (From line 24)	\$1,261.96	\$ 1,424.75
10 Cash on hand at close of reporting period	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
11 Contributed items on hand to be liquidated (attach itemized list) _____ \$ _____		

Section B - Expenditures Subject to Limitation Summary (Candidates and Authorized Candidate Committees Only)	Column A This Period	Column B Calendar Year To-Date
12 Operating expenditures (from line 20)	\$ _____	\$ _____
13 Less Refunds and Rebates (from line 17)	\$ _____	\$ _____
14 (a) Expenditures subject to limitation	\$ _____	\$ _____
(b) Expenditures from prior years subject to limitation		\$ _____
(c) Total expenditures subject to limitation		\$ _____

I certify that I have examined this Report, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete

Lavretta Newman
 (Signature of Treasurer or Candidate)

March 29, 1976
 (Date)

False submission of false, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. 5441 (Text on reverse side of form).

7 5 0 33 09 11 33 01 26 0 5

Detailed Summary Schedule of Receipts and Expenditures

(Page 2)

Name of Candidate or Committee: **California Democrats to Draft Frank Church**
 Report covering the period (termination)
 From: **2/1/76** To: **3/22/76**

173604310021317

Part I - Receipts	Column A This Period	Column B Calendar year-to-date
15 Contributions and other income.		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule A)	\$1,062.00	
(b) Unitemized	\$ 1.00	
(c) Sales and Collections Included Above: List by event on memo Schedule D (\$ _____)		
(d) Subtotal of contributions and other income	\$1,063.00	\$
16 Loans and Loan Repayments Received		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule A)	\$	
(b) Unitemized	\$	
(c) Subtotal of loans and loan repayments received	\$	\$
17 Refunds, Rebates, etc.:		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule A)	\$	
(b) Unitemized	\$	
(c) Subtotal of refunds, rebates, etc.	\$	\$
18 Transfers In:		
(a) From Affiliated Committee (Itemize all on Schedule A)	\$	
(b) From other Committees (Itemize all on Schedule A)	\$	
(c) Subtotal of transfers in	\$	\$
19 Total Receipts	\$1,063.00	\$
Part II - Expenditures		
20 Operating Expenditures:		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule B)	\$1,261.96	
(b) Unitemized	\$	
(c) Subtotal of operating expenditures	\$	\$
21 Loans, Loan Repayments, and Contribution Refunds:		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule B)	\$	
(b) Unitemized	\$	
(c) Subtotal of loans and loan repayments made and contribution refunds	\$	\$
22 Fundraising Expenditures: (Apply to 20% Exemption)		
(a) Itemized (use Schedule B)	\$	
(b) Unitemized	\$	
(c) Subtotal of fundraising expenditures	\$	\$
23 Transfers Out:		
(a) To Affiliated Committee (Itemize all on Schedule B)	\$	
(b) To Other Committees (Itemize all on Schedule B)	\$	
(c) Subtotal of transfers out	\$	\$
24 Total Expenditures	\$1,261.96	\$
Part III - Debts and Obligations		
25 Debts and obligations owed to the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C)	\$	
26 Debts and obligations owed by the Committee (Itemize all on Schedule C)	\$	
Part IV - Receipts and Expenditures, Net of Transfers to and from Affiliated Committees		
27 Total Receipts (from line 19)	\$	
28 Less Transfers In (from line 18(a))	\$	
29 Net Receipts	\$	
30 Total Expenditures (from line 24)	\$	
31 Less Transfers out (from line 23(a))	\$	
32 Net Expenditures	\$	

**Itemized Receipts,
 Contributions, Ticket Purchases, Loans,
 Rebates, and Transfers for Line
 Numbers 15, 16, 17 and/or 18 of FEC Form 3**
 (see instructions on back)

Name of Candidate or Committee in full		
CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATS TO DRAFT FRANK CHURCH		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Warner Heineman 457 Saint Pierre Rd. Los Angeles, CA 90024 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/15/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$50.00
Occupation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-Date ▶ \$		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Marta Feuchtwanger 520 Paseo Miramer Pacific Palisades, CA 90272 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/20/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$ 5.00
Occupation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ \$		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Flo Johnson 15219 Sunset Blvd. Pacific Palisades, CA 90272 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/22/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$25.00
Occupation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ \$		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code James Salomon, M.S. 11600 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90049 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/20/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$15.00
Occupation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ \$		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code George and Rita Bodle 344 So. Rosemore Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90020 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/26/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$780.00
Occupation Attorney		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ \$		
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Mark Shiozaki 16207 Marquardt Ave. Cerritos, CA 90701 Principal place of business	Date (month, day, year) 2/28/76	Amount of each receipt this period \$50.00
Occupation		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed		
Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ \$		
Subtotal of receipts this page (optional) ▶	\$925.00	
Total this period (last page this line number only) ▶	\$	

15-7381013100012319

Itemized Expenditures
Campaign Fundraising, Loans, and Transfers
for Lines 20, 21, 22, and/or 23 of FEC Form 3
 (see Instructions on back)

Name of Candidate or Committee in full			
California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church			
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code	Purpose of Expenditure	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of each expenditure this period
Dial Printing 14302 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423	literature	2/17/76	\$145.22
Tropicana Liquore 4546 Van Nuys Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423	For CDC hospitality suite.	2/22/76]	\$147.10
Electra Letter Service 1424 W. 7th Street Los Angeles, CA	flyer for CDC	2/27/76	\$ 30.21
California Democratic Council 5371 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90036	Table at convention	2/27/76	\$125.00
Ed Hughes 4933 Heaven Ave. Woodland Hills, CA 91364	Share of telephone company hook-up.	2/27/76	\$ 50.00
Los Angeles Hilton Hotel 980 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA	Hospitality suites and misc. for CDC convention.	2/29/76	\$352.26
Lauretta Newman 4253 Freedom Drive Woodland Hills, CA 91364	for hospitality supplies	3/10/76	\$157.35
Devine & Devine 4508 Vesper Ave Sherman Oaks, CA 91403	stencils, buttons, art, phone bills and misc.	3/10/76	\$254.82
Subtotal of expenditures this page (optional)			\$1,261.96
Total this period (last page this line number only)			\$1,261.96

**Itemized Receipts,
 Contributions, Ticket Purchases, Loans,
 Rebates, and Transfers for Line
 Numbers 15, 16, 17 and/or 18 of FEC Form 3**
 (see instructions on back)

77 49 01 31 41 71 13 10 54 11

Name of Candidate or Committee in full		Date (month, day, year)	Amount of each receipt this period
CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATS TO DRAFT FRANK CHURCH			
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Roslyn Segal Campaign Associates- P.O. Box 5092 Beverly Hills, CA 90210	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-Date ▶ S	2/26/76	\$10.00
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Jean E. Mayer and Frederick Mayer 1622 "N" St. Apt. 302 Sacramento, CA 95814	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ S	2/28/76	\$10.00
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code Kamini Gupta and Ruth Gupta 2237 Chestnut St. San Francisco, CA 94123	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ S	2/27/76	\$ 5.00
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code John J. Barton 2271 Torrance Blvd. Torrance, CA 90501	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ S	2/27/76	\$12.00
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code R. P. Kruse and S. Kruse 15325 S. Prairie Ave. Lawndale, CA 90260	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ S	2/29/76	\$15.00
Full Name, mailing address and ZIP code _____ _____ _____	Occupation <input type="checkbox"/> Check if Contributor is self-employed Aggregate Year-to-date ▶ S	Date (month, day, year)	Amount of each receipt this period
Subtotal of receipts this page (optional) ▶ S			\$ 52.00
Total this period (last page this line number only) ▶ S			\$1062.00

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

78 FEB 14 PM 12:47

February 8, 1978

Federal Election Commission
1325 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Dear Mr. Botter:

This letter is in answer to your letter of January 23, 1978. Mrs. Leslie Devine, Chairperson of the California Democrats to Draft Frank Church, has called your office and spoken with Sharon Snyder.

Our Committee when formed filed with the Los Angeles County Recorders Office and the California Secretary of State.

As per your definition, expenditures were not "independent". Our Committee fulfilled all requirements and responsibilities at that time.

Our reports were filed with the FEC., California Secretary of State and copies were presented, at that time, to authorized representatives of the Frank Church Campaign.

If you have any further questions please feel free to call on me.

Sincerely,

Lauretta Newman
Lauretta Newman

1
8
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

November 23, 1977

Ms. Laretta Newman, Treasurer
California Democrats to Draft
Senator Frank Church
4508 Vesper Avenue
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Dear Ms. Newman:

This letter is prompted by our interest in assisting candidates and committees who wish to comply with the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended.

During review of the your file of
Reports of Receipts and Expenditures, we noticed that you omitted information or made apparent mathematical errors in certain entries. Attached is an itemization of the information requested.

While we recognize the difficulties you may have experienced in filling out the reporting forms, we must ask that you supply the Commission with the missing information within (10) days of receipt of this letter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Ronald Krouse or Susan Owen (202-523-4048) our Reports Analyst assigned to you.

Sincerely,

Orlando B. Potter
Staff Director

Attachment

12



7 3 0 4 0 0 5 3 6 7 3

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
1325 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

TO: California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church DATE: _____
I.D. NO.: _____

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR ~~THE~~ your file of REPORT OF RECEIPTS
AND EXPENDITURES COVERING THE PERIOD ? THROUGH 3/22/76,
PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACT OF 1971, AS AMENDED.

A review of the report indicates that additional information is needed in order to be considered complete. Please return a copy of this form with your amended submission(s).

Please provide the required data, as indicated (x):

Coverage Dates omitted or incorrect

Signature omitted or incorrect

Summary Page Line(s) Column(s) Totals omitted or incorrect

Detailed Summary (Page 2) Line(s) Column(s) Totals omitted or incorrect

Schedule Totals disagree with Detailed Summary (Page 2) or omitted

Date(s) omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Full Name(s) Omitted for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Mailing Address(es) omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Occupational Descriptions omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Principal Place(s) of Business omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Aggregate Year-to-date Totals omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Nature or Purpose of Expenditure omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Nature or Purpose of Receipt omitted or inadequate for Schedule(s) Line(s)

Inadequate Description of proceeds dates events location of Schedule

Other: See attached page.

Your initial submission(s), together with this request for additional information, has been made available for public inspection. The Commission urges you to file the additional submission(s) promptly to the above address. If you have any questions regarding this request, please call the Disclosure Division toll free at (800) 424-9530. The local Washington, D.C. telephone number is (202) 523-4048.

Senate filers should file their submission(s) with the Secretary of the Senate, Office of Public Records, 119 D St. N.E., Washington, D.C. 20510. House filers should file their submission(s) with the Clerk of the House, Office of Records and Registration, 1036 Longworth House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515.



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

NOTICE TO ALL UNAUTHORIZED SINGLE CANDIDATE COMMITTEES

During the course of review of Reports of Receipts and Expenditures, the Commission has noted that numerous committees have failed to fully describe the nature of certain expenditures made on behalf of candidates for Federal office. Please be advised that additional filings may be required to further clarify your committee's financial activities.

Based on your initial Reports filed with the Commission, you indicated that you are an Unauthorized Single Candidate Committee. An unauthorized single candidate committee is a committee that is not authorized IN WRITING by the candidate to conduct financial activities on his/her behalf. (Commission Regulations Section 100.14(b)(2))

Questions arise as to whether expenditures reported by your committee were independent expenditures, contributions-in-kind, or authorized expenditures on behalf of the candidate. An unauthorized single candidate committee has the capacity to make independent expenditures, but the mere absence of written authorization by a candidate does not automatically make that committee's expenditures independent for purposes of the statutory definition of 2 U.S.C. 431(p). An independent expenditure is defined as an expenditure "...for a communication expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate which is not made with the cooperation or with the prior consent of, or in consultation with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate or any agent or authorized committee of such candidate." (Commission Regulations Section 109.1(a))

If an expenditure by an unauthorized single candidate committee does not qualify as an "independent expenditure" it is then treated as a contribution-in-kind to the candidate on whose behalf the expenditure was made. (Commission Regulations Section 109.1(c)) An expenditure made by your committee on behalf of a Presidential candidate receiving public funding would be charged against the candidate's expenditure limitation if he or his agent or any authorized committee requested your committee to make the expenditure. (Commission Regulations Section 110.2(g)) In cases of other Federal candidates, such expenditures would be considered as part of the candidate's total expenditures.

If your committee's expenditures were independent expenditures as described above, please amend your reports, listing the independent expenditures on a separately Schedule E, Line 21. If your expenditures did



not qualify as independent expenditures, then they were contributions-in-kind, and the principal campaign committee of the candidate on whose behalf the expenditures were made, should be informed of its reporting obligations with respect to the contributions-in-kind. Principal campaign committees of Presidential candidates accepting Federal funds should be advised that any expenditures made by unauthorized single candidate committees AT THE REQUEST OR WITH PRIOR CONSENT OF the candidate, authorized committee or agent of such candidate, will be charged against the expenditure limitations of that candidate.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please contact Ron Krouse or Susan Owen, of the Commission's Disclosure Division at (202) 523-4048, or toll free at (800) 424-9530. The Commission urges you to voluntarily comply with the provisions outlined in this Notice.

Enclosures
FEC FORM 3

7304015375

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

CHURCH

For President



March 26, 1976

Mr. Gordon Andrew McKay,
Assistant Staff Director for
Disclosure and Compliance,
Federal Election Commission,
1325 K Street N.W.,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. McKay:

Please be advised that the California Democrats To Draft Senator Frank Church has not been qualified as a national committee as required by 2. U.S.C. 432 (e).

Sincerely,

Frank Church
Frank Church

7904075575

R A D REFERRAL SHEET

PLEASE RETURN TO RAD WHEN REFERRED TO OGC

D # _____

Date: April 20, 1978

Analyst Bob Pease

Team Chief Craig Crooks

TO: Office of General Counsel

Compliance Review C. Bowen

THROUGH: STAFF DIRECTOR

FROM: ASSISTANT STAFF DIRECTOR FOR REPORTS ANALYSIS

DESCRIPTION:

Candidate/Committee California Democrats to Draft Senator Frank Church

Treasurer Ms. Laretta Newman

Address 4508 Verper Avenue, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

7 3 0 4 0 7 5 5 7 7



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1125 K STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR 583

78010753578

001