FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR # 3996

DATE FILMED 11/9/95 CAMERA NO. 2

CAMERAMAN EES

9504369328

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION
JUN 27 11 10 AM '94

June 1, 1994

Federal Elections Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington D.C. 20463

MUR 3996

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL
JUN 27 2 27 PM '94

Dear Sirs;

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your attention certain activities of the "Peglow for Congress Committee" that I believe violate federal election laws and require the Commission's attention.

First, as of this writing, the candidate (Edward Peglow) has still not filed the financial disclosure statement required of Congressional Candidates. Mr. Peglow filed his Statement of Candidacy in January of this year. It is my understanding that a financial disclosure statement is required to be filed, "...in no event later than seven days prior to the election...". The primary election for the State of Pennsylvania was held May 10, 1994. On his reports, Mr. Peglow has given his committee a loan in excess of $15,000.00. There is no way to determine the source of these loans and whether he has the assets sufficient to make loans of this amount.

Second, the "Peglow for Congress Committee" maintains its offices at the corporate facilities of the Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation. According to dun & Bradstreet business directory, Filterfab's chief executive is Edward Peglow and its corporate offices are located at:

3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, PA 15001

The "Peglow for Congress Committee" lists its offices at:
3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, PA 15001

It appears that the campaign committee is housed and wholly operated from a corporate facility owned and managed by the candidate. In this case, the campaign is required to reimburse the corporation for the cost of using the facility, within a commercially reasonable time. According to the committee's campaign finance reports, this has not occurred.

In addition, the campaign has used and continues to use corporate employees to undertake campaign activities. Nadine Phillips is listed by Dun & Bradstreet as Filterfab's corporate secretary and is also listed as a campaign contact of pieces of the Committee's promotional material. The campaign reports show that she is receiving no salary or consulting fee while still receiving a salary from the corporation.

9504369327 9

Finally, the committee's 12 day pre-primary report was submitted well after the filing deadline. The report was due on April 28 and was not signed by the candidate until May 4. As a result, the report was unavailable for public inspection until after the primary election. This report also lacked Schedules A & C.

I would appreciate the Commission's attention to these matters.

With Respect

Dave Rogers

Dave Rogers
Box 80
516 Main St.
Harmony, PA 16037

"Subscribed to and sworn to before me on this 24 day of June, 1994.

Sharon L. McCormick

Notarial Seal
Sharon L. McCormick, Notary Public
Harmony Boro, Butler County
My Commission Expires April 17, 1996

9504369326 0

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JUNE 16, 1994

Dave Rogers
Box 80
516 Main Street
Harmony, PA 16037

Dear Mr. Rogers:

This is to acknowledge receipt on June 15, 1994, of your letter dated June 1, 1994. The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission Regulations require that the contents of a complaint meet certain specific requirements. One of these requirements is that a complaint be sworn to and signed in the presence of a notary public and notarized. Your letter did not contain a notarization on your signature and was not properly sworn to.

In order to file a legally sufficient complaint, you must swear before a notary that the contents of your complaint are true to the best of your knowledge and the notary must represent as part of the jurat that such swearing occurred. The preferred form is "Subscribed and sworn to before me on this _____ day of _____, 19__." A statement by the notary that the complaint was sworn to and subscribed before him/her also will be sufficient. We regret the inconvenience that these requirements may cause you, but we are not statutorily empowered to proceed with the handling of a compliance action unless all the statutory requirements are fulfilled. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g.

Enclosed is a Commission brochure entitled "Filing a Complaint." I hope this material will be helpful to you should you wish to file a legally sufficient complaint with the Commission.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at (202) 219-3410.

Sincerely,

Retha Dixon

Retha Dixon
Docket Chief

Enclosure
cc: Peglow for Congress
Filterfab Manufacturing Corp.

95043693261

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE

June 1, 1994

JUN 15 9 20 AM

JUN 15 8 02 AM '94

Federal Elections Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington D.C. 20463

Dear Sirs;

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your attention certain activities of the "Peglow for Congress Committee" that we believe violate federal election laws and require the Commission's attention.

First, as of this writing, the candidate (Edward Peglow) has still not filed the financial disclosure statement required of Congressional Candidates. Mr. Peglow filed his Statement of Candidacy in January of this year. It is our understanding that a financial disclosure statement is required to be filed, "...in no event later than seven days prior to the election,...". The primary election for the State of Pennsylvania was held May 10, 1994. On his reports, Mr. Peglow has given his committee a loan in excess of $15,000.00. There is no way to determine the source of these loans and whether he has the assets sufficient to make loans of this amount.

Second, the "Peglow for Congress Committee" maintains its offices at the corporate facilities of Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation. According to the Dun & Bradstreet business directory, Filterfab's chief executive is Edward Peglow and its corporate offices are located at:

3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, Pa. 15001

The "Peglow for Congress Committee lists its offices at:

3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, Pa. 15001

It appears that the campaign committee is housed and wholly operated from a corporate facility owned and managed by the candidate. In this case, the campaign is required to reimburse the corporation for the cost of using the facility, within a commercially reasonable time. According to the committee's campaign finance reports, this has not occurred.

In addition, the campaign has used and continues to use corporate employees to undertake campaign activities. Nadine Phillips is listed by Dun & Bradstreet as Filterfab's corporate secretary and is also listed as a campaign contact on pieces of the committee's promotional material. The campaign reports show that she is receiving no salary or consulting fee while still receiving a salary from the corporation.

9 5 0 4 1 3 6 9 3 2 2 2

Finally, the committee's 12 day pre-primary report was submitted well after the filing deadline. The report was due on April 28 and was not signed by the candidate until May 4. As a result, the report was unavailable for public inspection until after the primary election. This report also lacked Schedules A and C.

I would appreciate the Commission's attention to these matters.

With respect,

Dave Rogers

Dave Rogers
Box 80
516 Main St.
Harmony, PA 16037

95043369 2 2 6 3

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JULY 5, 1994

Dave Rogers
Box 80
516 Main Street
Harmony, PA 16037

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. Rogers:

This letter acknowledges receipt on June 27, 1994, of your complaint alleging possible violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). The respondent(s) will be notified of this complaint within five days.

You will be notified as soon as the Federal Election Commission takes final action on your complaint. Should you receive any additional information in this matter, please forward it to the Office of the General Counsel. Such information must be sworn to in the same manner as the original complaint. We have numbered this matter MUR 3996. Please refer to this number in all future communications. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosure
Procedures

9504369232 4

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JULY 5, 1994

C. Kirk French, Treasurer
Peglow for Congress
3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, PA 15001

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. French:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that Peglow for Congress ("Committee") and you, as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3996. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against the Committee and you in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

9504369325

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

95043693286

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

JULY 5, 1994

Edward Peglow
c/o Peglow for Congress
3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, PA 15001

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. Peglow:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that you may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3996. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against you in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

9504369328 7

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

9504369328

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JULY 5, 1994

Edward Peglow, CEO
Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation
3994 Patterson Avenue
Aliquippa, PA 15001

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. Peglow:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that the Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3996. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against the Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

9504369329

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

9504369320

PEGLOW

and YOU in Congress

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION
Jan 15 11 [illegible] '94

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL
Jan 15 12 57 PM '94

Ms. Mary Taksar
General Counsel's Office
Federal Election Commission
999 E. Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: MUR 3996

Dear Ms. Taksar:

Thank you for allowing me to respond to Mr. Dave Roger's recent complaint letter (enclosed for your convenience). I will answer in the same order as his accusations are written.

1. True, our Financial Disclosure Statement was filed late but a check of records at the House Clerk's office will show that we have paid the $200.00 fine.

2. My company, Filter Fab Mfg. Corp., is registered in the State of Pennsylvania as an "S" corporation. This means that for all financial purposes Filter Fab and Ed Peglow are treated as the same entity. Thus I have considered any use of office space to be a contribution from the candidate. If this is required to be shown on F.E.C. reports then please advise the proper way to value the office space so that the campaign can add this to the next report or file an amended report.

3. We had originally intended to use my business secretary, Nadine Phillips, as the campaign secretary. She was to keep track of the time she spent on campaign phone calls and projects so that an appropriate amount of money could be deducted from her pay and shown on F.E.C. reports as an "in-kind" contribution. After only a few phone calls it became obvious that this was not going to work. Instead we decided to use volunteers on the phone and at the typewriter. Ms. Phillips no longer is involved in the campaign. No volunteers were used until the second quarter.

4. Mr. Rogers is correct that we filed our Pre-Primary report late. We have yet to hear from the Clerk of the House regarding a late-filing fee but we realize this is no one's fault but our own and will pay the fee promptly. Unless I am mistaken Schedules A and C did not apply here.

Please feel free to call me at the number below if you would like to discuss this letter further.

Sincerely,

Edward P. Peglow

Paid for by Peglow for Congress Committee, C. Kirk French, Treasurer

3709 Green Garden Rd., Aliquippa, PA 15001 412 • 378 • 9775 FAX 412 • 375 • 5628

9 5 0 4 3 6 9 3 2 9 1

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

OCT 2 10 52 AM '95

In the Matter of)
) Enforcement Priority
)

GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

SENSITIVE

I. INTRODUCTION

This report is the General Counsel's Report to recommend that the Commission no longer pursue the identified lower priority and stale cases under the Enforcement Priority System.

II. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSING

A. Cases Not Warranting Further Pursuit Relative to Other Cases Pending Before the Commission

A critical component of the Priority System is identifying those pending cases that do not warrant the further expenditure of resources. Each incoming matter is evaluated using Commission-approved criteria and cases that, based on their rating, do not warrant pursuit relative to other pending cases are placed in this category. By closing such cases, the Commission is able to use its limited resources to focus on more important cases.

Having evaluated incoming matters, this Office has identified 34 cases which do not warrant further pursuit relative to the other pending cases.[1] A short description of

1. These matters are: PM 309 (Attachment 1); RAD 95L-12 (Attachment 2); MUR 4118 (Attachment 3); MUR 4119 (Attachment 4); MUR 4120 (Attachment 5); MUR 4122 (Attachment 6); MUR 4123 (Attachment 7); MUR 4124 (Attachment 8); MUR 4125 (Attachment 9); MUR 4126 (Attachment 10); MUR 4130 (Attachment 11); MUR 4133 (Attachment 12); MUR 4134 (Attachment 13); MUR 4135 (Attachment 14); MUR 4136 (Attachment 15); MUR 4137

9504369329 2

each case and the factors leading to assignment of a relatively low priority and consequent recommendation not to pursue each case is attached to this report. See Attachments 1-34. As the Commission requested, this Office has attached the responses to the complaints for the externally-generated matters and the referral for the matter referred by the Reports Analysis Division because this information was not previously circulated to the Commission. See Attachments 1-34.

B. Stale Cases

Investigations are severely impeded and require relatively more resources when the activity and evidence are old. Consequently, the Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission focus its efforts on cases involving more recent activity. Such efforts will also generate more impact on the current electoral process and are a more efficient allocation of our limited resources. To this end, this Office has identified 11 cases that

do not

9504369329 3

(Footnote 1 continued from previous page)
(Attachment 16); MUR 4138 (Attachment 17); MUR 4140
(Attachment 18); MUR 4142 (Attachment 19); MUR 4143
(Attachment 20); MUR 4144 (Attachment 21); MUR 4145
(Attachment 22); MUR 4148 (Attachment 23); MUR 4149
(Attachment 24); MUR 4153 (Attachment 25); MUR 4155
(Attachment 26); MUR 4158 (Attachment 27); MUR 4163
(Attachment 28); MUR 4164 (Attachment 29); MUR 4169
(Attachment 30); MUR 4179 (Attachment 31); MUR 4195
(Attachment 32); MUR 4196 (Attachment 33); and MUR 4205
(Attachment 34).

warrant further investment of significant Commission resources.[2] Since the recommendation not to pursue the identified cases is based on staleness, this Office has not prepared separate narratives for these cases. As the Commission requested, in matters in which the Commission has made no findings, the responses to the complaints for the externally-generated matters and the referrals for the internally-generated matters are attached to the report because this information was not previously circulated to the Commission. See Attachments 35-45. For cases in which the Commission has already made findings and for which each Commissioner's office has an existing file, this Office has attached the most recent General Counsel's Report.

This Office recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and no longer pursue the cases listed below effective October 16, 1995. By closing the cases effective October 16, 1995, CED and the Legal Review Team will respectively have the additional time necessary for preparing the closing letters and the case files for the public record.

9 5 0 4 3 6 9 3 2 9 4

---

2. These matters are: PM 250 (Attachment 35); PM 272 (Attachment 36); MUR 3188 (Attachment 37); MUR 3554 (Attachment 38); MUR 3623 (Attachment 39); MUR 3988 (Attachment 40); MUR 3996 (Attachment 41); MUR 4001 (Attachment 42); MUR 4007 (Attachment 43); MUR 4007 (Attachment 43); MUR 4008 (Attachment 44); and MUR 4018 (Attachment 45).

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Decline to open a MUR and close the file effective October 16, 1995 in the following matters:

1) PM 309
2) RAD 95L-12
3) PM 250
4) PM 272

B. Take no action, close the file effective October 16, 1995, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3554
2) MUR 3623
3) MUR 3988
4) MUR 3996
5) MUR 4001
6) MUR 4007
7) MUR 4008
8) MUR 4018
9) MUR 4118
10) MUR 4119
11) MUR 4120
12) MUR 4122
13) MUR 4123
14) MUR 4124
15) MUR 4125
16) MUR 4126
17) MUR 4130
18) MUR 4133
19) MUR 4134
20) MUR 4135
21) MUR 4136
22) MUR 4137
23) MUR 4138
24) MUR 4140
25) MUR 4142
26) MUR 4143
27) MUR 4144
28) MUR 4145
29) MUR 4148
30) MUR 4149

95043693295

31) MUR 4153
32) MUR 4155
33) MUR 4158
34) MUR 4163
35) MUR 4164
36) MUR 4169
37) MUR 4179
38) MUR 4195
39) MUR 4196
40) MUR 4205

C. Take no further action, close the file effective October 16, 1995 and approve the appropriate letter in MUR 3188.

9/29/95
Date

Lawrence M. Noble
General Counsel

9504369329 6

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
	)	Agenda Document #X95-85
Enforcement Priority	)	

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, recording secretary for the Federal Election Commission executive session on October 17, 1995, do hereby certify that the Commission decided by votes of 5-0 to take the following actions:

A. Decline to open a MUR and close the file effective October 17, 1995 in the following matters:

1) PM 309
2) RAD 95L-12
3) PM 250
4) PM 272

B. Take no action, close the file effective October 17, 1995, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3554
2) MUR 3623
3) MUR 3988
4) MUR 3996
5) MUR 4001
6) MUR 4007
7) MUR 4008
8) MUR 4018
9) MUR 4118

(continued)

95043693297

10) MUR 4119
11) MUR 4120
12) MUR 4122
13) MUR 4123
14) MUR 4124
15) MUR 4125
16) MUR 4126
17) MUR 4130
18) MUR 4133
19) MUR 4134
20) MUR 4135
21) MUR 4136
22) MUR 4137
23) MUR 4138
24) MUR 4140
25) MUR 4142
26) MUR 4143
27) MUR 4144
28) MUR 4145
29) MUR 4148
30) MUR 4149
31) MUR 4153
32) MUR 4155
33) MUR 4158
34) MUR 4163
35) MUR 4164
36) MUR 4169
37) MUR 4179
38) MUR 4195
39) MUR 4196
40) MUR 4205

C. Take no further action, close the file effective October 17, 1995 and approve the appropriate letter in MUR 3188.

(continued)

9 5 0 4 3 6 9 3 2 9 8

Commissioners Aikens, Elliott, McDonald, McGarry, and Thomas voted affirmatively for each of the decisions; Commissioner Potter was not present.

Attest:

10-20-95
Date

Marjorie W. Emmons
Marjorie W. Emmons
Secretary of the Commission

9504369329

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

October 23, 1995

Dave Rogers
Box 80
516 Main Street
Harmony, PA 16037

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. Rogers:

On June 27, 1994, the Federal Election Commission received your complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act").

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action in the matter. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on October 17, 1995. This matter will become part of the public record within 30 days.

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar (HES)

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

9504389300

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

October 23, 1995

Edward Peglow
Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation
3994 Patterson Avenue
Aliquippa, PA 15001

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. Peglow:

On July 5, 1995, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action against Filterfab Manufacturing Corporation and you. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on October 17, 1995.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

If you have any questions, please contact Alva E. Smith at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar (AES)

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

95043893301

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

October 23, 1995

C. Kirk French, Treasurer
Peglow for Congress
3709 Green Garden Road
Aliquippa, PA 15001

RE: MUR 3996

Dear Mr. French:

On July 5, 1995, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action against Peglow for Congress and you, as treasurer. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on October 17, 1995.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

If you have any questions, please contact Alva E. Smith at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar (AES)

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

95043893302

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 3996

DATE FILMED 11/9/95 CAMERA NO. 2

CAMERAMAN EES

9504369333 03