FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR # 3684

DATE FILMED 8-30-94 CAMERA NO. 2

CAMERAMAN Jim H

9404356304 7

OGC 7186

October 19, 1992

MUR 3684

92 OCT 28 PM 3:29

Joan D. Aikens
Chair
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

Re: Complaint of Kenneth J. McInnis Against
Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life, Inc.
and Committee for a Pro-Life Congress

Ms. Aikens:

I have previously filed with the Federal Election Commission a complaint against Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life, Inc. (FEC 00129171) and its Committee for a Pro-Life Congress.

It is now my wish to supplement my prior complaint against the MCCL as follows:

III. Unlawful Contributions.

The MCCL's most current filing with the Federal Election Commission for the period July 1, 1992 through August 26, 1992 shows a contribution disbursement to the Jude for Congress Committee in the amount of $3,000 (Schedule B). The report also shows disbursements dated August 7 ($10,000), August 17 ($10,000) and August 21 ($4,000) to Minnesota Survey Research whose address is 14 - 7th Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minnesota. Thirdly, the referenced report filed by the MCCL shows allegedly "independent" expenditures on behalf of Jude for Congress in the total amount of $8,503.90, which I submit are not "independent" given the fact that (a) the MCCL supports no other federal candidates, (b) Tad Jude's wife was a board member of and lobbyist for the MCCL and (c) the MCCL campaign for Jude and against Sikorski was based exclusively on exactly the same issues as the Jude campaign (House bank checks and anti-choice). Also, both committees show that they advertised only in the Twin City Christian and the Catholic Bulletin.

Attached to this letter is a copy of the Jude for Congress (FEC 00270363) report for the same period which also shows expenditures to Meyer and Associates, 14 - 7th Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minnesota, in the total amount of $21,000. Schedule B, p. 2 of 4, Items E and F. It is my information and belief that Meyer and Associates and Minnesota Survey Research are either one and the same organization or are alter egos of the other. Larry Meyer, is a professional pollster who conducts "field calling" on behalf of

9404356304 8

the Jude for Congress Committee, as a paid pollster.[1] I believe that the MCCL disbursements (not even claimed to be "independent" contributions) to Minnesota Survey Research constitute an unlawful excess contribution to the Jude for Congress Committee. The failure of the Jude for Congress Committee to report this unlawful excess contribution is also, I believe, a violation. In light of the role of Tad Jude's wife (Gail Jude) as a member of the Board of Directors and lobbyist for MCCL, the expenditures by that organization to Minnesota Survey Research are a political contribution to the Jude for Congress Committee. Additional evidence includes the fact that other than telephone and postage (see Paragraph II of my original Complaint regarding postage) MCCL made no other disbursements except those on behalf of Jude for Congress.

Based upon the foregoing and upon the precedent set in Federal Election Commission v. National Conservative Political Action Committee, 647 F.Supp. 987 (S.D.N.Y. 1986), I request that the investigation of MCCL and its Committee for a Pro-Life Congress be expanded to include its apparent violations of 2 U.S.C.A. §441a(a)(2)(A), 2 U.S.C.A. §441a(a)(7)(B)(i) and 11 C.F.R. §109.1(b)(4).

I hereby designate as my attorney in this matter Alan W. Weinblatt and authorize you to direct all inquiries regarding this complaint to said attorney.

Kenneth J. McInnis

KENNETH J. McINNIS

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of October, 1992.

William C. Diesslin

Notary Public

WILLIAM C. DIESSLIN
NOTARY PUBLIC - MINNESOTA
RAMSEY COUNTY
My Commission Expires Nov. 8, 1996

cc: Office of General Counsel, Federal Election Commission

kq\mcclcmp.ltr

[1] I believe that Mr. Meyer also operates Power Processor. See Jude for Congress (FEC 00270363) Report for period ending 6/30/92, Schedule B, Page 1 of 2, Item A.

9 4 0 4 3 5 6 3 0 4 9

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

November 6, 1992

Kenneth McInnis
1399 Eustis Street
St. Paul, MN 55108

RE: MUR 3684

Dear Mr. McInnis:

This letter acknowledges receipt on October 28, 1992, of your complaint alleging possible violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), by Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress, and Jacqueline A. Schwietz, as treasurer, Jude for Congress, and Robert F. Mannella, as treasurer, and Meyer & Associates. The respondents will be notified of this complaint within five days. The Commission does not have record of a prior complaint filed by you against Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress. The Commission has received a complaint against Jude for Congress filed by you on September 16, 1992. Please submit notarized any additional information which you would like the Commission to consider.

You will be notified as soon as the Federal Election Commission takes final action on your complaint. Should you receive any additional information in this matter, please forward it to the Office of the General Counsel. Such information must be sworn to in the same manner as the original complaint. We have numbered this matter MUR 3684. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Anne Weissenborn

Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Procedures

2404356305 0

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

November 6, 1992

Jacqueline A. Schwietz, Treasurer
Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life
Committee for A Pro-Life Congress
4249 Nicollet Avenue, South
Minneapolis, MN 55409

RE: MUR 3684

Dear Ms. Schwietz:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for A Pro-Life Congress ("Committee") and you, as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3684. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence. The Commission has not received a prior complaint against the Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for A Pro-Life Congress as referred to in the complaint.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against the Committee and you, as treasurer, in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

9404356305 1

If you have any questions, please contact Jeffrey Long, the staff member assigned to this matter, at (202) 219-3690. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Anne Weissenborn

Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

2404356305 2

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

November 6, 1992

Robert F. Mannella, Treasurer
Jude for Congress
118 East Main Street
Anoka, MN 55303

RE: MUR 3684

Dear Mr. Mannella:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that Jude For Congress ("Committee") and you, as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3684. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against the Committee and you, as treasurer, in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

2 4 0 4 3 5 6 3 0 5 3

If you have any questions, please contact Jeffrey Long, the staff member assigned to this matter, at (202) 219-3690. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Anne Weissenborn

Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

9404356305 4

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

November 6, 1992

Meyer & Associates
14 7th Avenue N
St. Cloud, MN 56303

RE: MUR 3684

Dear Gentlemen:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that Meyer & Associates may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3684. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against Meyer & Associates in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

9404356305 5

If you have any questions, please contact Jeffrey Long, the staff member assigned to this matter, at (202) 219-3690. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Anne Weissenborn

Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

9404356306 6

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
MAIN COPY ROOM

Nov 13 9 10 AM '92

STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL

MUR 3684

NAME OF COUNSEL: Frank Walz

ADDRESS: 3500 IDS Tower
Minneapolis, MN 55402

TELEPHONE: (612) 339-7121

The above-named individual is hereby designated as my counsel and is authorized to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission and to act on my behalf before the Commission.

11-9-92
Date

Jacqueline Schmitz
Signature Treas.

RESPONDENT'S NAME: Mn Citizens Concerned for Life

ADDRESS: Committee for a Pro-Life Congress
4249 Nicollet Av
Minneapolis, MN 55433

TELEPHONE: HOME (612) 757-2311
BUSINESS (612) 825-2346

9404356305 7

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
MAIN COPY ROOM
Nov 16 10 41 AM '92

MEYER

TO: Jeffrey Long, FEC

FROM: Larry Meyer, President
Meyer Associates, Inc.

DATE: November 10, 1992

RE: Complaint filed by Kenneth J. McInnis (MUR 3684)

92 NOV 16 PM 3:37

24043563058

Based on the complaint forwarded to us, our response would be as follows:

The complaint does not allege that Meyer Associates violated any FEC regulations and none of the facts suggest that we violated any regulations.

Meyer Associates is the largest political marketing firm in Minnesota, specializing in Democratic election campaigns. In this last election year, we provided marketing services in half of the state's congressional districts. The Jude Volunteer Committee and Committee for a Pro-Life Congress each contracted with us separately and independently for advertising, polling, marketing, GOTV, voter identification, and mailings. Different services were provided for each group, at their independent direction. Because of our narrow specialty, it is not unusual that one organization contracted with our advertising division (Meyer Associates, Inc.) and another with our polling division (Minnesota Survey Research), or even that a division did work for both organizations.

Meyer Associates, Inc.
14 Seventh Avenue North • St. Cloud, MN • 56303
St. Cloud (612) 259-4000 Twin Cities (612) 333-9092 Fax (612) 259-4044

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
MAIN COPY ROOM

Nov 20 9 09 AM '92

November 16, 1992

Ms. Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel
General Counsel's Office
Federal Election Commission
999 East Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

RE: Jude for Congress
MUR 3684

Dear Ms. Weissenborn:

In response to the Complaint directed to myself and dated November 6, 1992, I wish to advise the Counsel's office that I have no direct knowledge of any collusion or privity existing between the Tad Jude for Congress Committee and MCCL.

I have been advised by Tad Jude, candidate for Congress, that the Committee for A Pro-Life Congress made no unlawful contributions to this committee. I do not have any personal knowledge of any illegal contributions.

I have been further advised that Tad Jude's wife is a board member of MCCL, but all activity taken by MCCL concerning congressional campaigns were completely independent of Jude for Congress Committee. We were not privy to any of those activities.

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Robert F. Mannella

Robert F. Mannella, Treasurer
Jude for Congress Committee

9 4 0 4 3 5 6 3 0 5 9

BEST & FLANAGAN
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
0800 IDS CENTER
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-2033
TELEPHONE (612) 339-7121
TELECOPIER (612) 339-5897

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
MAIL CENTER ROOM
DEC 1 12 49 PM '92

November 24, 1992

Ms. Anne Weissenborn
Acting Assistant General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20463

Attention: Jeffrey Long, Esq.

Re: MUR 3684

Dear Ms. Weissenborn:

Enclosed please find the Affidavit of Jacqueline A. Schwietz, the Treasurer of Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress, being submitted in response to the complaint letter of Kenneth J. McInnis in the above matter.

The enclosed Affidavit fully addresses the questions raised in Mr. McInnis' letter. We therefore respectfully request that the Commission take no further action against the Committee or its Treasurer.

Yours very truly,

Frank J. Walz

Frank J. Walz

FJW:emw
enclosure
cc: Mrs. Jackie Schwietz
3340Q

92 DEC -1 PM 4:24

24043563060

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
MAIL COPY ROOM
DEC 1 12 49 PM '92

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

MINNESOTA CITIZENS CONCERNED FOR LIFE COMMITTEE FOR A PRO-LIFE CONGRESS AND JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ, TREASURER,

Respondents.

MUR 3684

AFFIDAVIT OF
JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ

92 DEC -1 PM 4:24

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
)ss.
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

2404356306 1

JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ, being first duly sworn, upon oath deposes and says:

1. I am the Treasurer of Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress ("Committee"). The Committee is a separate segregated fund of Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life, Inc. ("MCCL"), organized as permitted by 2 U.S.C. § 441(b)(2)(A). MCCL is a non-profit Minnesota corporation. I am its Co-Executive Director.

2. The Committee filed its Statement of Organization for a Political Committee with the Federal Election Commission on May 28, 1980. The Committee is a "multi-candidate" committee

within the meaning of 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(e)(3), and is thereby subject to the contribution limitations of 11 C.F.R. § 110.2(b). Over the years, the Committee has supported numerous candidates for federal office, in Minnesota and elsewhere, who are pro-life on the abortion issue, and has opposed numerous pro-abortion or pro-choice candidates. During this past year, for example, the Committee supported President Bush in his re-election campaign, and supported Alan Mollohan in West Virginia's First District congressional race, in addition to Tad Jude.

3. I have reviewed the complaint letter[1/] of Kenneth McInnis dated October 19, 1992.[2/] My response to the allegations made are as follows.

4. In the primary election campaign in Minnesota's Sixth Congressional District, the Committee supported the candidacy of Tad Jude and opposed the candidacy of Congressman Gerry Sikorski. Jude was pro-life on the abortion issue; Sikorski, who had previously taken a pro-life stance, had flip-flopped on the issue and had declared himself to be pro-choice. In addition, Congressman Sikorski had been publicly exposed as the

---

1/ The letter refers to a "prior" FEC complaint against MCCL and the Committee. I am not aware of any such complaint.

2/ Mr. McInnis is an officer of the Friends of Sikorski Committee, the principal campaign committee of Gerry Sikorski, who was recently defeated by Rod Grams in Sikorski's general election campaign for re-election to congress from Minnesota's Sixth District.

9404356306 2

seventh-leading abuser of the House banking privileges, having written 697 bad checks totalling more than $119,000 on his House bank account. Sikorski's flip-flop on the abortion issue, and his gross abuses of his House banking privileges, were the obvious issues in both the primary[3/] and general election campaigns, and were therefore the issues the Committee stressed.

5. With respect to the three categories of questioned expenditures identified in McInnis' complaint letter, each of them encompasses only lawful expenditures by the Committee, properly reported in its pre-primary report dated August 31, 1992.

6. The first expenditure he mentions was a $3,000 contribution to the Jude for Congress Committee on July 23, 1992. That contribution was within the Committee's $5,000 contribution limit as a multi-candidate committee, and was unquestionably proper.

7. The second category encompasses disbursements by the Committee of $10,000 each on August 7 and 17, 1992, and a disbursement of $4,000 on August 21, 1992 to Minnesota Survey Research of St. Cloud, Minnesota for a voter survey to identify

---

3/ Sikorski defeated Jude in the September 15 primary election by a narrow margin, but was soundly defeated by Grams in the November 3 general election.

2404356306 3

likely pro-life voters in the Sixth District. I chose Minnesota Survey Research to conduct the voter survey for the Committee because I wanted a firm with a good reputation for political polling, someone who was pro-life, and someone I knew. I have known Larry Meyer and his polling organization for many years. I was the person who contacted Mr. Meyer and asked if his firm would conduct a voter survey for the Committee on the abortion issue. He agreed, and we worked out the details together. As part of the arrangement, I insisted that he use questions formulated by me in the survey, not those suggested by his firm. I had no contact or discussion with Tad Jude or any representative of the Jude for Congress Committee concerning the conducting of the voter survey, or the contract with Meyer's firm. I made the arrangements with Meyer on behalf of the Committee, and the Committee paid for the work done.

8. The third category challenged by McInnis is a total of $8,503.90 in expenditures from July 27 to August 26, 1992 reported by the Committee as independent expenditures, equally divided between supporting Jude and opposing Sikorski. The expenditures were for campaign buttons, printing, postage and campaign ads. I was the person primarily responsible for the expenditures and placement of the ads. I had no contact or discussion with Tad Jude or any representative of the Jude for Congress Committee concerning any of these expenditures or the content or placement of the campaign ads. The expenditures were solely the work of the Committee.

2404356064

9. With reference to McInnis' claim that the Committee's expenditures were not "independent" of the Jude campaign because "Tad Jude's wife was a board member of and lobbyist for MCCL," the facts are that Gail Jude had no involvement whatsoever in the Committee's expenditures. Gail Jude has been a member of MCCL's 54-person board since 1986. She also previously served as a volunteer lobbyist for MCCL, without pay, but has not done so since 1991. MCCL's board meets only twice a year. It is the Executive Committee of the board which meets monthly and acts for the board. Gail Jude is not a member of the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee, through the President of MCCL, delegated to me the authority to act on behalf of the Committee as its only officer. At no time did I consult with Gail Jude concerning the activities of the Committee or its expenditures.

10. Finally, with respect to McInnis' suggestion that the Jude campaign somehow coordinated its activities with the Committee because we both advertised in the Twin City Christian and the Catholic Bulletin, neither Jude nor the Jude campaign had anything to do with the Committee's ads. Further, inasmuch as the Twin City Christian and the Catholic Bulletin have a readership which tends to be pro-life, and are essentially the only media outlets that reach the Christian pro-life community, it is only natural that a pro-life candidate like Jude, and the Committee, which supports only pro-life candidates, would advertise in these newspapers. In fact, the Committee has run

2404356306 5

ads in these papers during every election cycle for several years. Nor did the Committee advertise "only" in these two newspapers, as McInnis says. The Committee advertised in 19 other newspapers in the Sixth District during the primary campaign. The expenditures for those ads were reported in the Committee's pre-general election report dated October 19, 1992 as independent expenditures totalling $8,681.05 paid to the Minnesota Newspaper Association.

11. The Commission should find no reason to believe that McInnis' letter complaint sets forth a possible violation of the Act.

Jacqueline A. Schwietz
JACQUELINE A. SCHWIETZ

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 24th day of November, 1992.

E. M. Walstrom
Notary Public
3268Q/3269Q

E. M. WALSTROM
NOTARY PUBLIC—MINNESOTA
ANOKA COUNTY
My Commission Expires Sept. 17, 1993

2404356306 6

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT

JUN 30 3 55 PM '94

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

SENSITIVE

In the Matter of) Enforcement Priority
)
)

GENERAL COUNSEL'S QUARTERLY REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

This report is the second Enforcement Priority System Quarterly Report. The purpose of this Quarterly Report is to recommend that the Commission no longer pursue the identified lower priority and stale cases.

II. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSING

A. Cases Not Warranting Further Pursuit Relative to Other Cases Pending Before the Commission

A critical component of the Priority System is identifying those pending cases that do not warrant the further expenditure of resources. Each incoming matter is evaluated using Commission approved criteria

By closing such cases the Commission is able to use its limited resources to focus on more important cases.

Having evaluated incoming matters, this Office has identified 16 cases which do not warrant further pursuit relative to the other pending cases.[1] A short

1. These matters are: MUR 3920; MUR 3930; MUR 3934; MUR 3939; MUR 3942; MUR 3943; MUR 3945; MUR 3948; MUR 3953; MUR 3955; MUR 3957; MUR 3964; MUR 3965; MUR 3967; RAD 94L-22; and RAD 94L-25.

9404356306 7

description of each case and the factors leading to assignment of a relatively low priority and consequent recommendation not to pursue each case is attached to this report. See Attachments 1-16. For the Commission's convenience, the narratives for externally-generated matters are immediately followed by the complaint and response(s) and the narratives for internally-generated matters are immediately followed by the referral.

B. Stale Cases

Investigations are severely impeded and require relatively more resources when the activity and evidence are old. Consequently, the Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission focus its efforts on cases involving more recent activity. Such efforts will also generate more impact on the current electoral process and are a more efficient allocation of our limited resources. To this end, this Office has identified 42 cases that

do not warrant further investment of significant Commission resources.[2] Since the recommendation not to pursue the identified cases is based on staleness, this Office has not prepared separate

---

2. These matters are: MUR 3132; MUR 3432; MUR 3466; MUR 3470; MUR 3473; MUR 3495; MUR 3558; MUR 3575; MUR 3581; MUR 3594; MUR 3600; MUR 3625; MUR 3647; MUR 3663; MUR 3684; MUR 3698; MUR 3712; MUR 3733; MUR 3744; MUR 3749; MUR 3756; MUR 3759; MUR 3767; MUR 3776; MUR 3779; RAD 92L-26, RAD 93L-25; RAD 93L-26; RAD 93L-29; RAD 93L-31; RAD 93L-33; RAD 93L-35; RAD 93L-36; RAD 93L-38; RAD 93L-39; RAD 93NF-02; RAD 93NF-03; RAD 93NF-06; RAD 93NF-10; RAD 93NF-12; RAD 93NF-15; and RAD 93NF-20.

9404356308 8

narratives for these cases. However, for externally-generated matters in which the Commission has made no findings, the complaint and response(s) are attached to the report and for internally-generated matters in which the Commission has made no findings, the referral is attached. See Attachments 17-53. Because the Commission has already made findings in five of the stale cases, no additional information is being attached to this report in regard to these cases.[3]

9404356306 9

3. These matters are: MUR 3132, MUR 3432, MUR 3466, MUR 3495, and MUR 3733.

This Office recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and no longer pursue the identified cases effective August 1, 1994. This will allow the Legal Review Team adequate time to prepare the Pre-MUR and MUR files so that the cases can appear on the public record by September 1, 1994, within 30 days of the August 1, 1994, closing date. This timeframe also will enable this Office to prepare closing letters so that the letters can be mailed on August 2, 1994. Additionally, the Press Office will need time to review the files for inclusion in one of its press releases.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Decline to open a MUR and close the file in the following matters to be effective on August 1, 1994:

1) RAD 92L-26
2) RAD 93L-25
3) RAD 93L-26
4) RAD 93L-29
5) RAD 93L-31
6) RAD 93L-33
7) RAD 93L-35
8) RAD 93L-36
9) RAD 93L-38
10) RAD 93L-39
11) RAD 94L-22
12) RAD 94L-25
13) RAD 93NF-02
14) RAD 93NF-03
15) RAD 93NF-06
16) RAD 93NF-10
17) RAD 93NF-12
18) RAD 93NF-15
19) RAD 93NF-20

9404356307 0

B. Take no action, close the file effective on August 1, 1994, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3470
2) MUR 3473
3) MUR 3558
4) MUR 3575
5) MUR 3581
6) MUR 3594
7) MUR 3600
8) MUR 3625
9) MUR 3647
10) MUR 3663
11) MUR 3684
12) MUR 3698
13) MUR 3712
14) MUR 3744
15) MUR 3749
16) MUR 3756
17) MUR 3759
18) MUR 3767
19) MUR 3776
20) MUR 3779
21) MUR 3920
22) MUR 3930
23) MUR 3934
24) MUR 3939
25) MUR 3942
26) MUR 3943
27) MUR 3945
28) MUR 3948
29) MUR 3953
30) MUR 3955
31) MUR 3957
32) MUR 3964
33) MUR 3965
34) MUR 3967

9404356307 1

C. Take no further action, close the file effective on August 1, 1994, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3132
2) MUR 3432
3) MUR 3466
4) MUR 3495
5) MUR 3733

6/30/94
Date

Lawrence M. Noble
General Counsel

9404356307 2

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
	)	Agenda Document
Enforcement Priority	)	#X94-72

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, recording secretary for the Federal Election Commission executive session on July 19, 1994, do hereby certify that the Commission decided by a vote of 6-0 to take the following actions with respect to Agenda Document #X94-72:

A. Decline to open a MUR and close the file in the following matters to be effective on August 1, 1994:

1) RAD 92L-26
2) RAD 93L-25
3) RAD 93L-26
4) RAD 93L-29
5) RAD 93L-31
6) RAD 93L-33
7) RAD 93L-35
8) RAD 93L-36
9) RAD 93L-38
10) RAD 93L-39
11) RAD 94L-22
12) RAD 94L-25
13) RAD 93NF-02
14) RAD 93NF-03
15) RAD 93NF-06
16) RAD 93NF-10
17) RAD 93NF-12
18) RAD 93NF-15
19) RAD 93NF-20

(continued)

2404356307 3

B. Take no action, close the file effective on August 1, 1994, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3470
2) MUR 3473
3) MUR 3558
4) MUR 3575
5) MUR 3581
6) MUR 3594
7) MUR 3600
8) MUR 3625
9) MUR 3647
10) MUR 3663
11) MUR 3684
12) MUR 3698
13) MUR 3712
14) MUR 3744
15) MUR 3749
16) MUR 3756
17) MUR 3759
18) MUR 3767
19) MUR 3776
20) MUR 3779
21) MUR 3920
22) MUR 3930
23) MUR 3934
24) MUR 3939
25) MUR 3942
26) MUR 3943
27) MUR 3945
28) MUR 3948
29) MUR 3953
30) MUR 3955
31) MUR 3957
32) MUR 3964
33) MUR 3965
34) MUR 3967

(continued)

9404356307 4

C. Take no further action, close the file effective on August 1, 1994, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

1) MUR 3132
2) MUR 3432
3) MUR 3466
4) MUR 3495
5) MUR 3733

Commissioners Aikens, Elliott, McDonald, McGarry, Potter, and Thomas voted affirmatively for the decision.

Attest:

7-20-94
Date

Marjorie W. Emmons
Marjorie W. Emmons
Secretary of the Commission

9404356307 5

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

August 2, 1994

Kenneth McInnis
1399 Eustis Street
St. Paul, MN 55108

RE: MUR 3684

Dear Mr. McInnis:

On October 28, 1992, the Federal Election Commission received your complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act").

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action in the matter. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on August 1, 1994. This matter will become part of the public record within 30 days.

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

24043563076

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

August 2, 1994

Frank Walz, Esq.
3500 IDS Tower
Minneapolis, MN 55402

RE: MUR 3684
Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress and
Jacqueline A. Schwietz, as Treasurer

Dear Mr. Walz:

On November 6, 1992, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life Committee for a Pro-Life Congress and Jacqueline A. Schwietz, as treasurer, of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action against your clients. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on August 1, 1994.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

9404356307 7

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

9404356307 8

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

AUG 0 2 1994

Scott R. Riddle, Treasurer
Jude for Congress
1278 Lone Oak Road
Eagan, MN 55121

RE: MUR 3684
Jude for Congress and
Scott R. Riddle, as Treasurer

Dear Mr. Riddle:

On November 6, 1992, the Federal Election Commission notified the Jude for Congress ("Committee") and its treasurer, of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action against the Committee and you, as treasurer. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on August 1, 1994.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

9404356307 9

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

AUG 0 2 1994

Larry Meyer, President
Meyer Associates, Inc.
14 Seventh Avenue, North
St. Cloud, MN 56303

RE: MUR 3684
Meyer Associates, Inc.

Dear Mr. Meyer:

On November 6, 1992, the Federal Election Commission notified Meyer Associates, Inc. of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion to take no action against Meyer Associates, Inc. This case was evaluated objectively relative to other matters on the Commission's docket. In light of the information on the record, the relative significance of the case, and the amount of time that has elapsed, the Commission determined to close its file in this matter on August 1, 1994.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar
Attorney

9404356308 0

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 3684

DATE FILMED 8-30-94 CAMERA NO. 2

CAMERAMAN JMN

9404356308 1