MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Steven T. Walther, Commissioner

DATE: March 11, 2011

SUBJECT: Proposed Revision to the Commission's 2011 Legislative Recommendations

Attached please find my proposed revisions to the draft legislative recommendation titled "Pay Levels for the Staff Director and General Counsel" contained in Agenda Document No. 11-16.

The attached proposal would revise the legislative recommendation to also include a recommendation to Congress that the Commission be provided with authority to create Senior Executive Service positions.

For convenience, also attached is a copy of a February 18, 2011, memorandum jointly issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). The memorandum discusses OMB and OPM's recently launched Senior Executive Service Initiative, which includes a collaborative, cross-agency reinvigoration in the training, development and qualification of SES-certified employees to meet the staffing needs of the government in view of the anticipated loss of SES qualified personnel due to attrition in the near future. The memorandum is also referenced in footnote 2 of the attached proposal.

I request that the attached be placed on the agenda for March 16, 2011.

Attachment
Pay Levels for the Staff Director and General Counsel and Authority to Create Senior Executive Service Positions

Section: 2 U.S.C. § 437c(f)(1); 5 U.S.C. § 3132(a)(1)


Explanation: The Commission believes that two statutory changes are needed to bring the Commission's personnel structure in line with that of other comparable federal agencies. This would ensure that the Commission is able to compete with other government agencies and the private sector in recruiting and retaining key management personnel, including the Staff Director and General Counsel. These changes would also enable the Commission, like other agencies, to move to merit-based pay systems for top executives.

Pay Levels for the Staff Director and General Counsel. The current provision in FECA specifies that the Staff Director and General Counsel are to be paid at Level IV and Level V of the Executive Schedule, respectively. Both positions currently supervise personnel at the GS-15 and Senior Level pay scales, which often provide higher salaries in the Washington, D.C. area than Levels IV and V of the Executive Schedule. The Staff Director and General Counsel have significant responsibilities and oversight duties with respect to both administrative and legal areas, as well as management over almost all agency personnel. According to recruiting specialists working with the Commission, the current limit makes attracting a strong pool of applicants to these positions more challenging. The appointment and retention of these key leaders has been identified as an ongoing challenge to the Commission by the Inspector General in recent Performance and Accountability Reports.

The Commission proposes to remove the statutory references to the Executive Schedule so that the Staff Director and General Counsel would be compensated under the same schedule as the Commission's other senior managers, who are currently in Senior Level positions but for whom, as discussed below, the Commission seeks eligibility to create Senior Executive Service positions. This revision will address the current situation where the Commission's top managers are compensated at a lower rate than many of their direct reports, and will ensure that the Commission can retain highly qualified individuals to serve in those positions as well as enable it to remain competitive in the marketplace for Federal executives when a vacancy arises.

Senior Executive Service. Currently, the Commission is prohibited by law from creating Senior Executive Service positions within the agency. 5 U.S.C. § 3132(a)(1)(C).
The Commission recommends that it be made eligible to create Senior Executive Service positions because: (1) the agency currently has several top management positions that the Commission believes would fully satisfy the criteria for SES positions set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 3132 (e.g., directing the work of an organizational unit, monitoring progress toward organizational goals, etc.); and (2) the SES system would provide institutional benefits to the agency and agency employees.

As a result of the current prohibition, the Commission’s senior managers (other than the Staff Director and the General Counsel, as discussed above) are employed in Senior Level positions. The current Senior Level positions (the Chief Financial Officer, four Deputy Staff Directors, a Deputy General Counsel, and four Associate General Counsels) oversee major programmatic areas and supervise not only staff, but other managers as well. Although these ten top management positions are designated as Senior Level, because supervisory and executive responsibilities occupy 100% of the time of the employees filling these positions, the positions would be more appropriately designated as SES.1

The Commission is also cognizant of a recently launched Senior Executive Service Initiative.2 This initiative includes a collaborative, cross-agency reinvigoration in the training, development and qualification of SES-certified employees to meet the staffing needs of the government in view of the anticipated loss of SES qualified personnel due to attrition in the near future. This request is therefore especially timely because it will afford existing agency staff and future staff the opportunity to participate in and benefit professionally from this initiative, and should allow the agency to develop an increasing level of competence in its labor force.

Additionally, recent legislation has put the salary ranges for Senior Executive Service employees and Senior Level employees in parity. See Senior Professional Performance Act of 2008, Public Law 110-372, 122 Stat. 4043 (2008). In addition, like SES employees, Senior Level employees may now carry over 720 hours of annual leave into the next year, rather than the previous Senior Level limit of 240. Nonetheless, the SES system would provide institutional benefits to the Commission and its employees by enhancing the quality and quantity of the pool of persons available to fill vacancies that may arise.

SES candidates must go through a competitive selection process in order to enter a Candidate Development Program (“CDP”). Completion of a CDP by candidates within the agency ensures that there is a cadre of SES-approved employees to select from and thereby assists in good succession planning. In addition, a fundamental concept underlying the SES system is enabling agencies to hire experienced and skilled leaders

---

1 In fact, OPM’s guidance on the Senior Level positions indicates that the Senior Level system is generally for positions in which supervisory duties occupy less than 25% of the employee’s time. See www.opm.gov/ses/recruitment/sipositions.asp (last visited March 10, 2011). OPM’s guidance does note, however, that “in a few agencies [such as the Federal Election Commission] that are statutorily exempt from inclusion in the Senior Executive Service (SES), executive positions are staffed with SL employees.”
from a government-wide, not just intra-agency, pool with relative ease and with the assurance that all such employees have met the same standards of development and experience. For example, because SES-certified applicants from outside the agency will have met all of the Executive Core Qualifications, the Commission would be able to evaluate their applications with the assurance that fundamental competencies have already been developed.

Accordingly, the Commission believes that the positions of Staff Director and General Counsel, as well as the current Senior Level positions within the agency, would be more appropriately categorized as SES positions and that any future Senior Level positions should be created in the SES. Because salary ranges for Senior Executive Service employees and Senior Level employees are in parity, as discussed above, permitting the Commission to convert its Senior Level positions into Senior Executive Service positions will not require an increase in the Commission's appropriation request.

Legislative Language:


Section 3132(a)(1)(C) of Title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “the Federal Election Commission, or”.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE

FROM: Jeffrey D. Zients, Federal Chief Performance Officer and Deputy Director for Management, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
John Berry, Director, Office of Personnel Management (OPM)

DATE: February 18, 2011

SUBJECT: Senior Executive Service Initiative

The President’s State of the Union address highlighted wide-ranging challenges facing our country and the need to improve government operations to meet those challenges. In September 2010, you received a memo that outlined the Administration’s Accountable Government Initiative (AGI) and our plans to embrace technological innovations and management best practices to improve effectiveness, efficiency, and customer service. As leaders across Federal agencies, members of the Senior Executive Service (SES) are at the forefront of transforming government operations. Your leadership and expertise are critical to our success, and we appreciate the talent, knowledge, and perspective you bring to navigating this difficult terrain.

At the very time we need ever greater output and performance, the SES is under tremendous pressure. Today’s economic environment constrains agency budgets and Federal employee pay; these limitations complicate developing, recruiting, and retaining senior executives. You are consistently asked to do more with less against a backdrop of heightened accountability for performance and too few professional development opportunities. At the same time, the best organizations know that especially in challenging economic times, investing in their senior executives pays off.

In the context of these challenges and others we have heard from you and your colleagues, last May the President’s Management Council (PMC) launched a collaborative, cross-agency initiative to strengthen the SES corps. To shape this project, we consulted with a range of experts, including past and present SES, the Senior Executives Association, and the Partnership for Public Service. Three PMC-chartered working groups analyzed key issues and identified potential enhancements, which we want to share with you. This document summarizes proposals to:

- Improve SES professional development programs
- Streamline burdensome administrative processes
- Strengthen personnel performance management
- Expand and diversify executive talent pipelines

Your insights and engagement will be essential to translating these ideas into reality. The PMC will provide ongoing leadership and oversight, while the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), and agency Chief Human Capital Officers (CHCOs) will direct specific initiatives. In the next few months, we will reach out to you for input. Meanwhile, you can share ideas with us at SESPolicy@opm.gov.

Thank you for your dedication, commitment, and service. As we progress in this ongoing effort, we will continue to update you and look forward to your input.
Overview

The Senior Executive Service (SES) was established by the 1978 Civil Service Reform Act, with the vision of a senior management corps whose members would have shared values, a broad perspective of government, solid executive skills, and mobility across agencies. More than 30 years later, SES members are the backbone of Federal executive leadership and play a crucial role in addressing unprecedented challenges facing our nation and transforming government operations— but the system itself has not fully achieved its founding vision.

In May 2010, the President’s Management Council (PMC), working with the Chief Human Capital Officers (CHCO) Council, launched a collaborative, cross-agency initiative to strengthen the SES corps through enhanced senior leadership engagement, career development, personnel performance management, and recruitment. Coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in partnership with the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), the project was structured in three working groups—comprising more than 50 people from 19 agencies—that analyzed key issues, evaluated potential improvements, and identified a set of recommendations to benefit the SES corps in the years ahead.

This memo summarizes the working groups’ focal areas and anticipated initiatives to implement their recommendations. Given the broad scope and complexity of SES roles, this set of programs is not comprehensive. As this effort evolves, more areas will likely be added to maintain an SES corps that is prepared for new challenges.

Senior Leadership Engagement

The involvement of senior agency leaders is critical to effective career development and performance management. Particularly at the highest levels (i.e. Secretaries, Deputy Secretaries, Under Secretaries, Assistant Secretaries, and the equivalent), agency leaders need to visibly engage with the SES on key issues—setting clear expectations, actively partnering with SES members, and communicating the value of executives’ contributions. To better engage these senior agency leaders in SES issues, related activities include:

- The White House and OMB will work with agencies to identify opportunities for direct engagement with SES members, such as activities related to implementation of the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act of 2010
- OMB and OPM will work closely with leadership councils that have overlapping interests in SES issues, particularly the PMC, CHCO Council, and Performance Improvement Council
- Senior agency leadership will be expected to conduct agency-specific SES forums to address the range of issues pertinent to executives

Career Development

While leading organizations deeply invest in executive development, resource constraints in the Federal government (including both time and funding) often limit opportunities for professional development. Even in challenging and changing times, the Federal government must help equip its executives with the qualities and capabilities required to meet the leadership demands of 21st century. To maximize executive effectiveness and potential while growing skill sets to ready the corps for future challenges, Federal agencies need to emphasize executive development. One opportunity for significant investment is fostering a connected and cohesive corps through centralized solutions, such as shared developmental offerings, a central database of job and rotational opportunities, and a directory of current SES members that facilitates communication and enables tapping into critical skills in times of need. Related activities include:
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- An executive’s first year is essential to productivity, retention, and overall success; to that end, OPM will roll out a one-year onboarding program to facilitate the transition into executive roles and foster a culture of ongoing learning and development.
- OPM and the Federal Executive Institute (FEI) will design a government-wide leadership development approach, including shared candidate development curriculum across agencies, more frequent SES orientation programs, and subsequent centralized cohort gatherings.
- OPM and FEI will also work with agencies to expand ongoing networking and professional development for current SES members, including through the identification of best practices for agency customization and implementation.
- To cultivate a diverse rising generation of SES talent with broad organizational experience and skills to lead in today’s complex inter-agency environment, OPM and OMB will coordinate a pilot project with agencies to enable rotational opportunities for high-potential staff at the GS 13-15 level.

Personnel Performance Management

Personnel performance management is central to successful operations in any work setting. Particularly in the Federal government, where SES members are not eligible for locality pay or national comparability raises, performance planning and implementation of assessments and performance-based awards become critical. It can be difficult to constructively link staff appraisal systems to agency performance, while aspiring to stretch goals can seem at odds with achieving meaningful measurable results. Streamlining administrative burdens and reshaping the SES appraisal system certification process will help improve agency-level implementation. Related activities include:

- Supported by OPM and the CHCO Council, agencies will identify and implement best practices to enhance personnel performance planning, monitoring, assessment, and recognition.
- OPM, OMB, and an agency working group will streamline the appraisal system certification process, focusing requirements and regulations on a set of priority outcomes and management goals and fostering dialogue and collaboration between OPM/OMB and agencies; this involves finding ways to strengthen the linkage of appraisal systems to agency performance goals and mission, developing a more tailored, results-oriented approach.

Recruitment

While the retirement pattern varied in recent years, projections indicate more than half of the SES could leave government service in the next five years – taking with them significant knowledge and expertise. In addition, through the biennial allocation process, a number of agencies have or may receive new SES spaces to meet future needs or address legislative changes, increasing the urgency to build deep and diverse pipelines of talent. Given this confluence of daunting demographic challenges, agencies will have to pursue multiple approaches, coupling active recruitment of current staff with talent channels outside their agencies and government. Related activities include:

- Across agencies, develop a shared capacity to market and recruit within and outside government for SES positions, linking to existing efforts to increase diversity and reach out to veterans.
- Working from a framework developed by OPM and agencies, a new pilot group will broaden use of a resume-based SES hiring model, implementing related tools and templates to expand talent pipelines and incorporate procedural efficiencies.
- To supplement agency-level recruitment efforts, OPM and OMB will organize a set of pilot agencies to conduct an external talent search and collaboratively create a diverse pool of SES candidates with strong leadership and general management skills.
- OPM will oversee a working group focused on the Qualifications Review Board to define a revised model that includes potential improvements related to staffing, timeliness, and effectiveness.