MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton
      General Counsel

      Rosemary C. Smith
      Associate General Counsel

      Amy L. Rothstein
      Acting Assistant General Counsel

      Ron B. Katwan
      Attorney

      Stacey J. Shin
      Law Clerk

Subject: Draft AO 2006-29

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for November 2, 2006.

Attachment
ADVISORY OPINION 2006-29

Mr. Jason Vasquez
Office of the Honorable Mary Bono
405 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Vasquez:

We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Representative Mary Bono, concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the “Act”), and Commission regulations to a proposed appearance by Representative Bono in a televised tourism infomercial to be aired beginning in October, 2006, and running for eight months.

The Commission concludes that Representative Bono’s appearance in the infomercial would not result in the making of an in-kind contribution to Representative Bono or her authorized committee.

Background

The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on August 31, 2006, and on your phone conversation with Commission staff on September 7, 2006.

Representative Bono serves the 45th Congressional District of California. She is currently a candidate for reelection to the House of Representatives in the November 7, 2006 general election.

The Palm Springs Desert Resorts Convention and Visitors Authority (the “CVA”) is an unincorporated organization that promotes tourism from Los Angeles and Orange Counties. The CVA recently invited Representative Bono to become its spokesperson and
host of a 30-minute tourism infomercial. The CVA infomercial campaign is scheduled to
begin in October, 2006, and to continue for eight months.

The CVA would not broadcast its infomercial featuring Representative Bono in the
45th Congressional District of California. Representative Bono would not receive any
financial compensation for her role as the CVA spokesperson. Furthermore, the CVA
infomercial would not disseminate Representative Bono’s campaign materials or
expressly advocate the election or defeat of Representative Bono or of any other clearly
identified Federal candidate.

Question Presented

May Representative Bono appear in the CVA tourism infomercial under the Act
and Commission regulations?

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

Yes, Representative Bono may appear in the CVA infomercial under the Act and
Commission regulations.

The Act and Commission regulations limit the amount a person may contribute to
any candidate, a candidate’s authorized political committees, or their agents with respect
to any election for Federal office. See 441a(a)(1)(A); 11 CFR 110.1(b)(1). These
limitations apply to contributions by unincorporated entities, such as the CVA.¹

Under the Act and Commission regulations, the terms “contribution” and
“expenditure” include any gift of money or “anything of value” made by any person for
the purpose of influencing a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i) and (9)(A)(i); 11

¹ The Commission assumes, for purposes of this advisory opinion, that the cost of the infomercial in which
Representative Bono has been invited to appear would exceed the $2,100 limit that applies to contributions
from the CVA to candidates for the 2006 general election. See 11 CFR 110.1(b)(1).
CFR 100.52(a) and 100.111(a). The phrase “anything of value” includes all in-kind contributions. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1) and 100.111(e)(1). In-kind contributions include expenditures made by any person “in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of” a candidate, a candidate’s authorized committees, or their agents. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B)(i).

Commission regulations specify a three-prong test to determine whether a payment for a communication becomes an in-kind contribution as a result of coordination between the person making the payment and a candidate. See 11 CFR 109.21(a)(1)-(3). Under the first prong of the coordinated communication test, the communication must be paid for by a person other than a candidate, a candidate’s authorized committee, a political party committee, or agents of any of the foregoing. See 11 CFR 109.21(a)(1). The CVA infomercial would satisfy this first prong of the coordinated communication test because the infomercial would be paid for by the CVA.

Under the second prong of the coordinated communication test, the communication must satisfy one of the four content standards set forth in 11 CFR 109.21(c). Representative Bono’s appearance in the CVA infomercial would not satisfy any of the content standards in this second prong of the coordinated communication test.

The infomercial would not be an electioneering communication under 11 CFR 100.29.

---

2 The Commission notes that the coordination regulations at 11 CFR 109.21 are the subject of pending litigation in Shays v. FEC, Civil Action No. 06-1247 (D.D.C. filed July 11, 2006). The Commission’s current regulations remain in full force and effect pending the outcome of this proceeding.

3 The Act and Commission regulations define “electioneering communication” as any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication that (1) refers to a clearly identified candidate for Federal office; (2) is publicly distributed within 60 days before a general election or 30 days before a primary election; and (3) in the case of a candidate for the House of Representatives, is targeted to the relevant electorate. See 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.29(a). In the case of a candidate for the House of Representatives, “targeted to the relevant electorate” means that the communication can be received by 50,000 or more persons in the district the candidate seeks to represent. See 11 CFR 100.29(b)(5)(i).
because it would not be received by 50,000 or more persons in Representative Bono’s Congressional District. See 11 CFR 109.21(c)(1). Additionally, the CVA infomercial would not disseminate, distribute, or republish, in whole or in part, campaign materials prepared by Representative Bono, her authorized committee, or their agents. See 11 CFR 109.21(c)(2). Furthermore, the infomercial would not expressly advocate the election or defeat of Representative Bono or any other Federal candidate. See 11 CFR 109.21(c)(3).

Finally, the CVA would not broadcast the infomercial in Representative Bono’s Congressional District within 90 days of the general election. See 11 CFR 109.21(c)(4).

Under the third prong of the coordinated communication test, the communication must satisfy one of the five conduct standards set forth in 11 CFR 109.21(d). The Commission need not reach this prong of the analysis because Representative Bono’s appearance in the CVA infomercial would not satisfy the second prong set forth in 11 CFR 109.21(c).

Because the CVA infomercial would not be a coordinated communication, it would not be an in-kind contribution to Representative Bono, her authorized committee, or their agents. Thus, Representative Bono’s appearance in the CVA infomercial would not result in the CVA making an in-kind contribution to Representative Bono under the Act and Commission regulations.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Toner
Chairman